

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING
OLDHAM COUNTY
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION
Tuesday, June 23, 2026**

At 9:05a.m., local time on the above date, this meeting of the Oldham County Planning and Zoning Commission, hereinafter called the Commission, was called to order in the Courtroom of the Oldham County Fiscal Court Building, La Grange, Kentucky, by Chair Katie Nasser.

Other Commission members present were:

Wayne Allen
William Douglas
Berry Hampton
Skip Miller

James Allison
Thomas Elder
Allen Hayes
Sue Ann Thompson

Iva Davis
Deborah Graham
Tom Marsh

Commissioners Bob Klingenfus and Debbie Kraus were absent.

Others present and sworn in were Planning and Development Services Director Ryan Fischer, Planner John Hine, and Oldham County Engineer Jim Silliman. County Attorney Berry Baxter was present for the meeting and Administrative Assistant Christy Edgar was the Secretary for the meeting.

.....
Approval of Minutes

Motion was made by Commissioner Elder and seconded by Commissioner Marsh to approve May 26, 2026; minutes as submitted with the following corrections. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

Corrections

- Page 1, under approval of minutes, remove Hayes and add Kraus.
- Page 2, under Jim Silliman, 4th bullet point, remove West and add Old.

.....
Secretary Christy Edgar called and read Docket:

DOCKET PZ-26-007 – An application has been filed by Greenberg Enterprises for a Preliminary Subdivision Plan with one hundred twenty-three (123) lots on property located at 3104 and 3112 Cedar Point Rd., Buckner. The property is 82.92-acres and zoned R-2, Residential District, and CO-1, Conservation District.

1. Introduction of the new information by staff and questions by the Commission:

Planner John Hine presented the following:

- Summary of the application.

- Case History (see Exhibit A, Staff Report dated June 23, 2026).
- Site history.
- Aerial Photos of the site.
- Photos of property.

Jim Silliman, Oldham County Engineer

- April 15, 2026, TRC comments that I made still apply.
- The land-disturbance will be greater than one acre so the applicant will need to obtain a KYR10 Permit from the Kentucky Division of Water and an Oldham County Stormwater Quality Management and Erosion Control permit from Oldham County Engineering Department.
- There are blue line streams on the site, but it looks like the applicant has situated the lots to not disturb the blue line streams, but if they do then they will need to get a permit from Division of Water and the Army Corps of Engineers.
- The second entrance is not required. However, we have discussed with the applicant to potentially make the entrance to the YMCA parking lot an emergency use only.
- We have asked KYTC to perform a traffic speed study in that area and we are still waiting for the results.

Commissioner Allen asked, based on the school boards calculations of 28 units per year, would net 15 students, is that 15 students a year or whole project?

Planner Hine replied, I believe that is 15 students a year, but the school board would need to confirm.

Commissioner Allison asked, has the congestion of the schools been addressed in any of the traffic studies?

County Engineer Silliman replied, I don't think it has and that is a great question for the applicant. But it could be outside of the radius or distance of the traffic studies.

Chair Nasser asked, what is the time frame for KYTC to look at the speed limit?

County Engineer Silliman replied, probably in the next week or two we will have some type of report.

2. Presentation by the applicant or representative and others in support of the application:

Cliff Ashburner, Dinsmore & Shohl, 101 S. 5th Street, Louisville, was present and representing the applicant.

- The property is zoned R-2 and CO-1.
- The minimum lot size is 12,000 square feet in R-2 and the CO-1 portion will be open space.
- This proposed subdivision does not have waivers or variances.

- The entrance to this subdivision would be Cedar Point Road and we will be in talks with YMCA about connections.

Derek Triplett, Land Design & Development, 503 Washburn Ave, Louisville, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- The proposed subdivision is 77.5 acres with 70.4 acres zoned R-2, and 7 acres zoned CO-1.
- Total of 123 lots.
- Will have 27.5 acres of open space.
- There will be two detention basins on this property.
- The property will be served by sewers.

Diane Zimmerman, Traffic Engineering, LLC, 12803 High Meadows Pike, Prospect, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- KY Hwy 393 has now started construction and will be open to traffic in 2029, which will ease the traffic.
- This is a low crash corridor with the exception around the school campus.
- Based on the amount of traffic generated for this subdivision and the completion of Hwy 393, there will be minor impact.
- No mitigation is necessary for the roadway capacity because of this development.

3. Testimony and questions by those opposing the application:

Martha Vokoun, 2500 Fieldstone Drive, LaGrange, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- Will the YMCA entrance be big enough for fire trucks?
- Why was the entrance chosen going directly into the houses instead of going into the green space?
- How were the assumptions made about the number of trips for cars?

4. Questioning of the applicant and those opposing the application by the Commission:

Commissioner Miller asked, where is the construction access to be located?

Mr. Triplett replied, the construction companies would use the main entrance for access into the development.

Commissioner Allison asked, would there be disturbance in the conservation zone areas during constructions?

Mr. Triplett replied, at this time we do not anticipate that, however we do have a detention basin that is just north of the conservation zone.

Commissioner Marsh asked, did you get sanitary approval?

Mr. Triplett replied, we are currently working with MSD, as we are working through taking the pump station offline and designing the one onsite.

Commissioner Hampton asked, can the applicant address the three questions that Mrs. Vokoun asked?

Attorney Ashburner replied, we are currently negotiating the entrance to the YMCA, and we are happy to make access way big enough to handle a fire truck. The main entrance location was chosen because that is where the road frontage is located.

Mr. Triplett replied, the main entrance location was chosen because of the topographic and environmental constraints prevent us from having entrance at another location.

Mrs. Zimmerman replied, the traffic data used comes from the Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation Manual, the 12th edition.

Commissioner Douglas asked, what is the minimum lot size for R-2?

Mr. Triplett replied, 80-feet is the minimum.

Commissioner Douglas asked, how far does it go back onto the lot?

Mr. Triplett replied, the lot width is measured at the front setback line which is measured at 35 feet and on cul-de-sac lots we draw a tangent line across that setback line, and we make sure we have the frontage requirement at that point.

Director Fischer asked, why does lot one look like it sets separate from the other lots in the subdivision?

Mr. Triplett replied, the area between lot 1 and lot 2 gets too encumbered by the topography and by the proximity of that stream to create lots in that area.

- 5. Rebuttal evidence and Cross Examination by the Applicant: None**
- 6. Rebuttal evidence and Cross Examination by the Opposition: None**
- 7. Final statement of the Opposition: None**
- 8. Final statement of the Applicant:**

Attorney Ashburner's final statement.

- The subdivision complies with all the zoning requirements and the subdivision regulations.

END OF PUBLIC HEARING

Director Fischer summarized the docket and added two more binding elements.

Attorney Ashburner agreed to the additional binding elements.

FINDINGS AND DECISIONS
Preliminary Subdivision Plan
3104, 3112 Cedar Point Road
Buckner

Motion was made by Commissioner Elder and seconded by Commissioner Marsh to approve the preliminary subdivision plan located at 3104, 3112 Cedar Point Road, Buckner because it complies with objectives of the comprehensive plan, the zoning ordinance and the subdivision regulations based on evidence and testimony provided today. This motion also includes the following Binding Elements.

Binding Elements

1. The approval applies only to the plan presented at the June 23, 2026, Oldham County Planning Commission Public hearing.
2. There shall be no subdivision of any lot into a greater number of lots without review by the Oldham County Planning Commission.
3. The preliminary plan must comply with all established federal, state, and county ordinances and requirements at the time of construction plan approval.
4. The maximum number of building permits per year is 28, per Oldham County Board of Education.
5. If a connection to the YMCA is finalized, the plan shall include a properly sized connection for emergency vehicle use and pedestrian access only.
6. No construction traffic would be allowed through the Oldham County YMCA property.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Allen, Allison, Davis, Douglas, Elder, Graham, Hampton, Hayes, Marsh, Miller, and Thompson.

NO: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Commissioner Klingenfus and Kraus.

Motion approved on a vote of 11-0

.....
Secretary Christy Edgar called and read Docket:

DOCKET PZ-26-010 – An application has been filed by Prime Property Development Co., LLC for a Development Plan on property located at the 2000 Block of S. Highway 393., La Grange. The property is 51-acres and zoned PUD, Planned Unit Development District.

1. Introduction of the new information by staff and questions by the Commission:

Planner John Hine presented the following:

- Summary of the application.
- Case History (see Exhibit A, Staff Report dated June 23, 2026).
- Site history.
- Aerial Photos of the site.
- Photos of property.

Jim Silliman, Oldham County Engineer

- TRC comments that I made still apply.
- The land-disturbance will be greater than one acre so the applicant will need to obtain a KYR10 Permit from the Kentucky Division of Water and an Oldham County Stormwater Quality Management and Erosion Control permit from Oldham County Engineering Department.
- The basins will be inline basins for this site and those are not used for sediment controls, so the applicant will need to have a temporary sediment basin during the construction.

Commissioner Douglas asked, are we assuming that KYTC will make a signal there on Hwy 393 entrance/exit?

County Engineer Silliman replied, no we are not making that assumption.

Commissioner Douglas asked, will we be making that request?

County Engineer Silliman replied, no, I think if they were concerned by it then they would restrict it.

Commissioner Miller asked, do you know if there have been any warrants filed with the state highway for the Hwy 393 entrance into this development for a traffic signal?

County Engineer Silliman replied, no.

2. Presentation by the applicant or representative and others in support of the application:

Jon Baker, Bricker, Graydon and Wyatt, 400 W. Market Street, Louisville, was present and representing the applicant.

- There will be a home improvement store, grocery store and out lots for other commercial businesses.
- The access point coming from Hwy 393 has already been stubbed in.
- The access point coming from Commerce Pkwy will be located at the existing red light.
- There will be bike parking onsite and pedestrian connections.

Derek Triplett, Land Design & Development, 503 Washburn Ave, Louisville, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- The total tract is 64 acres, and the proposed development will set on 29.9 acres.
- We are working closely with CSX on making sure both construction sites run smoothly.

Attorney Baker continued.

- Based on the traffic impact study that Mrs. Zimmerman has completed, it states that the volume of traffic generated by Buckner Crossing for the years 2028 and 2038 there will be an impact on the existing highway network.
- There are no additional roadway improvements that will improve the level of service.
- The volumes at the entrance do not meet the KYTC volume warrant for right turn lanes.
- The major roadway improvements being provided with the KY 393 will greatly enhance operations within the area.

3. Testimony and questions by those opposing the application: None

4. Questioning of the applicant and those opposing the application by the Commission:

Commissioner Hampton asked, will the Hwy 393 project be completed before this development is completed?

Mr. Triplett replied, the completion of the Hwy 393 will occur after these businesses are opened.

Commissioner Hampton stated, I am concerned about this construction going on while the realignment of Hwy 393 is going on too.

Commissioner Douglas asked, will there be a dead-end access road for the future residential portion?

Mr. Triplett replied, we do not believe the access road for the residential portion will be constructed at this time, but the area will be reserved for the connection in the future.

Commissioner Douglas asked, usually on the plans it shows where the trash pickup would be, however, I don't see that on this set of plans.

Mr. Triplett replied, all the trash pickups will happen at the back of house, and there is plenty of room for maneuverability for the semi-trucks.

Commissioner Douglas asked, is there anywhere on these plans that discuss fire hydrants?

Mr. Triplett replied, not at this time, but we will have coordination with the fire department at civil construction plan level to make sure that all apparatus in the appropriate proximity, meet all the requirements.

Commissioner Miller asked, do you know when the construction will start for this development?

Justin Phelps, Prime Property Development, LLC, 10707 Hobbs Station Road, Louisville, was present and sworn in prior to replying, we are hoping to start some of the site work as early as quarter four. The big retailers would like to be open in quarter one of 2028.

Commissioner Davis asked, do you have commitments from the grocery and home improvement store?

Mr. Triplett replied, yes.

5. **Rebuttal evidence and Cross Examination by the Applicant: None**
6. **Rebuttal evidence and Cross Examination by the Opposition: None**
7. **Final statement of the Opposition: None**
8. **Final statement of the Applicant:**

Attorney Baker's final statement:

- Our development plan meets the guidelines of the Buckner Crossing's Master Plan as well as any guidelines in section 230 of the zoning regulations.
- This development will be a wonderful asset to this community.

END OF PUBLIC HEARING

Director Fischer summarized the docket.

FINDINGS AND DECISIONS **Docket PZ-26-010** **Development Plan** **Commerce Pkwy & S. Hwy 396**

Motion was made by Commissioner Davis and seconded by Commissioner Elder to approve the development plan located at Commerce Parkway and South Highway 393, Buckner because it complies with the comprehensive plan and the zoning ordinances, the subdivision regulations, and the Buckner Crossing's Master Plan. This motion also includes the following Binding Elements.

Binding Elements

1. The approval applies only to the plan presented at the June 23, 2026, Oldham County Planning Commission public hearing.
2. There shall be no changes to the plan without review by the Oldham County Planning Commission and Engineer's Office.
3. The plan must comply with all established federal, state and county ordinances and requirements and comply with all necessary easement restrictions at the time of construction plan approval.
4. The applicant must submit a Landscape and Lighting Plan for review and approval by Oldham County Planning and Development Staff as well as the Buckner Crossings Architectural Review Committee.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Allen, Allison, Davis, Douglas, Elder, Graham, Hayes, Marsh, Miller, and Thompson.

NO: Commissioner Hampton.

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Commissioner Klingenfus and Kraus.

Motion approved on a vote of 10-1

.....
Motion was made by Commissioner Douglas and seconded by Commissioner Elder to take a 5-minute break. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote at 10:45 a.m.

Motion was made by Commissioner Elder and seconded by Commissioner Marsh to come back in session at 10:50 a.m. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

.....
Secretary Christy Edgar called and read Docket:

DOCKET PZ-26-011 – An application has been filed by Maria & Spencer Merwin for a Waiver of the Minor Plat Rule on property located at 5201 Eighteen Mile Creek Rd., Westport. The property is 11.4-acres and zoned AG-1, Agricultural District and CO-1, Conservation District.

1. Introduction of the new information by staff and questions by the Commission:

Planner John Hine presented the following:

- Summary of the application.
- Case History (see Exhibit A, Staff Report dated June 23, 2026).
- Site history.
- Aerial Photos of the site.
- Photos of property.
- Received one letter of support.

Jim Silliman, Oldham County Floodplain Administrator and Engineer

- Showed the flood plain information on the projector screen.

Commissioner Miller asked, is this Oldham County Water?

Planner Hine replied, you will need to ask the applicant.

Commissioner Douglas asked, does this meet all the standards of AG-1, lot sizes and setbacks?

Planner Hine replied, setbacks and lot sizes, yes. It will not meet the road frontage and the applicant will have to request variances for every lot.

2. Presentation by the applicant or representative and others in support of the application:

Neil Salyer, Brammell Law Office, PSC, 401 W. Jefferson St., LaGrange, was present and representing the applicant.

- The subject property is 11.4 acres and zoned AG-1 and CO-1.
- Wanting to create three family-oriented parcels and one residual tract.
- One house and one barn already exist on the property.
- The barn has been renovated and is now a barndominium.

- The proposed acreages would be existing residential 1.44 acres, existing barn 1.01 acres, new tract 1.72 acres and the conservation would be 6.81 acres.

3. Testimony and questions by those opposing the application:

Tom Grace, 4420 W. Hwy 524, Westport, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- Wanting to know how close they will be building to the property line?

4. Questioning of the applicant and those opposing the application by the Commission:

Commissioner Douglas asked, what is the hardship?

Attorney Salyer replied, the applicant wants to create lots so their family can live close to one another and can care for the older generations.

Commissioner Thompson asked, will you address the neighbors question?

Maria Merwin, 5201 Eighteen Mile Road, Westport, was present and sworn in prior to replying, because of the slope of the hill, the home would be closer to the front of the property.

Commissioner Allison asked, if we approve of this and the family decides they do not want to move on the property then what will happen with the property?

Attorney Salyer replied, the family wants to live closer together whether it is to take care of the older family members or not.

Commissioner Hayes asked, is there a way that this can be done with a home on the property and then adding an ADU?

Attorney Salyer replied, I am not sure this can be done with the goal of creating four distinct tracks.

Director Fischer replied, an ADU is an option however there is a size restriction on the ADU, it can not be more than 25% of the primary structure's square footage and then they would have to redo the lot sizes to make this happen because of the septic. They could create two tracks and then wait for the five years to come in and create the third track, so I think the question would be what the hardship is and why they want to create three tracks right now.

Commissioner Hayes asked, is this going to be tiny homes?

Attorney Salyer replied, no tiny homes.

Commissioner Douglas asked, why does this need to be the three lots in a five-year period?

Mrs. Merwin replied, we did consider an ADU but the existing home is 2000 square feet and the ADU would be tiny, and we cannot wait five years because my husband is sick.

Commissioner Douglas asked, how soon does your family members intend to build?

Mrs. Merwin replied, they are ready, we are just waiting for permission.

Commissioner Thompson asked, will they be sharing the driveway?

Attorney Salyer replied, no they will not. The lots with the existing structures will share the current driveway. The 40-foot access to the conservation area will share the driveway with the other lot. And there will be maintenance agreements in place.

- 5. Rebuttal evidence and Cross Examination by the Applicant: None**
- 6. Rebuttal evidence and Cross Examination by the Opposition: None**
- 7. Final statement of the Opposition: None**
- 8. Final statement of the Applicant:**

Attorney Salyer's final statement:

- The goal here today is having family members close to help take care of sick and ailing family members.
- The family is ready to move forward with building their home and updating the existing structures.

END OF PUBLIC HEARING

Director Fischer summarized the docket.

FINDINGS AND DECISIONS **Docket PZ-26-011** **Waiver** **Minor Subdivision Plat** **5201 Eighteen Mile Creek Road**

Motion was made by Commissioner Thompson and seconded by Commissioner Davis to approve the waiver for minor subdivision plat located at 5201 Eighteen Mile Creek Road, Westport, because it is not contrary to public interest giving the neighbors have expressed support and there is no opposition other than a few questions that were addressed and due to the hardship of the aging and medically vulnerable family members that they discussed and the need to go ahead and move on this immediately rather than waiting for five years and they intend to preserve the rural nature of the area and this does not go against that. This motion also includes the following Conditions of Approval.

Conditions of Approval

1. The waiver shall only apply to the plan reviewed at the June 23, 2026, Planning Commission public hearing.
2. A minor plat application and survey must be submitted to staff for review and approval.
3. A shared road maintenance agreement must be filed with the deed room and submitted to the Planning and Development Office prior to approval of the minor plat.
4. The applicant will need to obtain road frontage variances for all four lots from the Oldham County Board of Adjustments.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Allen, Allison, Davis, Douglas, Elder, Graham, Hampton, Hayes, Marsh, Miller, and Thompson.

NO: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Commissioner Klingenfus and Kraus.

Motion approved on a vote of 11-0

.....
Secretary Christy Edgar called and read Docket:

DOCKET PZ-26-012 – A Zoning Text Amendment creating Section 250-340 Data Centers of the Oldham County Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance.

1. Introduction of the new information by staff and questions by the Commission:

Director Ryan Fischer presented the following:

- Summary of the application.
- Case History (see Exhibit A, Staff Report dated June 23, 2026).

Director Fischer explained all the changes from the Study Review Committee.

2. Testimony and questions by those opposing the application:

Laura Parker, 4907 Glenarm Road, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- Concerned about loopholes left open in the ordinance.

Don Erler, no address given, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- Do not agree with a large-scale data centers in IPD zoning.

Mark Herbener, Eighteen Mile Church Road, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- Only allow small and medium size data centers in IPD zoning.

Stewart Robinson, no address given, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- No large-scale data centers should be allowed in IPD.
- Notifications should be mailed to people within 1000 feet and not 500 feet.

Nathan Oberg, no address given, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- Explained his interpretation of how setbacks work.

Barry Laws, no address given, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- If this board approves large-scale data centers in IPD then this board will be sued, because IPD does not allow heavy industrial.

Mark Sutton, LaGrange, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- I would recommend that Oldham County follows the KRC model when making the data center ordinance.
- I recommend that the commissioners do not send this draft forward as this, especially with the IPD, and review the KRC model ordinance.

Amanda Dreckman, 2030 Pioneer Place, Crestwood, was present and sworn in prior to presenting.

- I believe that the proposed regulations will not adequately protect the people and animals of Oldham County from air, water, soil and/or noise pollution.
- And it will not protect the increase in water and electricity bills that has been associated with large-scale data centers.

3. Final Statements by the Opposition.

Mr. Laws' final statement.

- What is allowed and disallowed in IPD?

Mr. Sutton's final statement.

- I would like to reiterate the KRC document.

Mr. Oberg's final statement.

- We are the citizens of this county and we care.

Mrs. Parker's final statement.

- Why don't we use the KRC guidelines and make our life simpler and protect ourselves.

Mr. Erler's final statement.

- I would just like for the commissioners to remove the IPD as an approved zoning for large-scale data centers.

END OF PUBLIC HEARING

County Attorney Baxter gave guidance to the Commissioners.

Page 1—Definitions

Motion was made by Commissioner Hayes and seconded by Commissioner Allison to recommend to Oldham County Fiscal Court that they modify the definition to exclude facilities less than 10,000 square feet and less than 1 megawatt of usage.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Allen, Allison, Davis, Graham, Hayes, and Marsh.

NO: Commissioners Douglas, Elder, Hampton, Miller, and Thompson.

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Commissioner Klingenfus and Kraus.

Motion approved on a vote of 6-5

Motion was made by Commissioner Thompson and seconded by Commissioner Douglas to recommend to Oldham County Fiscal Court to have the definition match the data center classification chart.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Allen, Allison, Davis, Douglas, Elder, Graham, Hampton, Hayes, Marsh, Miller, and Thompson.

NO: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Commissioner Klingenfus and Kraus.

Motion approved on a vote of 11-0

Motion was made by Commissioner Thompson and seconded by Commissioner Marsh to recommend to Oldham County Fiscal Court to remove the verbiage “single building” from the small, medium and large data center gross floor area.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Allen, Allison, Davis, Douglas, Elder, Graham, Hampton, Hayes, Marsh, Miller, and Thompson.

NO: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Commissioner Klingenfus and Kraus.

Motion approved on a vote of 11-0

Page 2—Zoning Districts and Location Restrictions

Motion was made by Commissioner Marsh and seconded by Commissioner Douglas to recommend to the Oldham County Fiscal Court to remove IPD from large classification.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Douglas, and Marsh.

NO: Commissioners Allen, Allison, Davis, Elder, Graham, Hampton, Hayes, Miller, and Thompson.

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Commissioner Klingenfus and Kraus.

Motion denied on a vote of 2-9

Page 3—Building Requirements and Setbacks

Motion was made by Commissioner Allison and seconded by Commissioner Hayes to recommend to the Oldham County Fiscal Court to make 1500 feet setback for all adjoining properties in residential zoning, residential use, and public schools or private schools.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Allen, Allison, Elder, and Hayes.

NO: Commissioners Davis, Douglas, Graham, Hampton, Marsh, Miller, and Thompson.

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Commissioner Klingenfus and Kraus.

Motion denied on a vote of 4-7

Motion was made by Commissioner Marsh and was seconded by Commissioner Elder to recommend to the Oldham County Fiscal Court to remove the sentence "This measurement shall be taken from the structure, building, or equipment." on page 3.

Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

Motion was made by Commissioner Miller and seconded by Commissioner Davis to recommend to the Oldham County Fiscal Court Section 250-340 Data Centers Ordinance with the amendments.

The vote was as follows:

YES: Commissioners Allen, Allison, Davis, Douglas, Elder, Graham, Hampton, Hayes, Marsh, Miller, and Thompson.

NO: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Commissioner Klingenfus and Kraus.

Motion approved on a vote of 11-0

Other Business: None

.....
There being no further business, the Planning Commission meeting adjourned at 1:45 p.m.

The next regular meeting will be on Tuesday, July 28, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. and will be held in the Oldham County Fiscal Court courtroom at 100 W Jefferson Street, La Grange, 40031.

Approved:

Respectfully Submitted:


Katie Nasser, Chair


Christy Edgar, Secretary