

Board of Adjustments and Appeals Oldham County Planning and Development Services Application for Variance

Date: 9 25 2025 Docke	For Staff Use Only: et No: OC Staff Use Only: Staff: Fee: \$430	2
This application musi	t be submitted in person at the Planning and Zoning Office. Paidw	2/
General Information: (A separate	application must be submitted for each Board of Adjustments request.)
Name of Applicant(s): _James Hillar	rd (BHDP), Madison Tucker (Bayer Becker)	-
Project Name: Crestwood Station Re	elocation	_
Project Address: <u>HWY 393 & Comm</u>	erce Pkwy	_
City: Buckner, Kentucky 40010	Is the project within the incorporated city limits? No	_
Subdivision Name:	Current Land Use: Vacant/Undeveloped	
Parcel ID: <u>31-00-00-16B&12</u>	Current Zoning: IPD Lot Size: 1.89 Ac.	_
	sted Variance: <u>Chase Bank and associated ATM with drive-thru lane. The site has a 5</u> e drive-thru and bypass lanes are proposed within this setback.	<u>50'</u>
Are there any past or present relat the cases: N/A	ted Planning and Zoning cases on this property? If yes, please list	t
Signatures: Owner(s):Oldham County Industrial Pa Name: <u>c/o Buckner Development Co</u>	rk, LLC bimpany, Inc Signature:	
Address: 2100 Gardiner Lane, Suite	e 207 Louisville, KY 40205	
Phone:	Email Address:	
Applicant(s): (if other than owner) Name: _James Hillard	Signature: James G Hillard Jr	
Address: 302 W. 3rd, Unit 500, Cinc	innati, OH 45202	
Phone:	Email Address:	
Contact: Name: Madison Tucker	Signature: Madin Z. Topher	
Address: 1404 Race St., Suite 204,	Cincinnati, OH 45202	
Phone: 100 W	Email Address:	

Ph: 502-222-1476 Fax: 502-222-3213

Last Undated 8/30/2015

Board of Adjustments and Appeals

Application for Variance (Page 2)

Justification:

The Board of Adjustments and Appeals requires that each applicant respond to the following four questions (Please refer to the attached checklist for further explanation):

1. What are the specific conditions related to this request that are unique only to the applicant's land and do not exist on other land in the same general vicinity, or in the same zoning district?
The property to the north of the proposed project site is an existing trailer storage facility. With the purchase of this site there is an agreement to provide an access easement to this site through the west portion of the property. This easement causes the proposed Chase Bank facility to be within the east portion of the property and therefore encroach into the 50' setback.
2. If this variance were not granted, how would this deprive the applicant of reasonable use of the land in a manner equivalent to uses permitted to other landowners in the same zoning district? The proposed project is a Chase Bank and without the variance the proposed ATM and drive-thru lanes would not fit within the property and therefore need to be removed. The ATM helps with the quick and
smooth utilization of the bank services.
3. Are the unique conditions and circumstances a result of actions of the applicant taken after the adoption of the zoning regulations from which relief is sought? Yes
4. How will the variance preserve, and not harm, the public safety and welfare of the public and maintain the essential character of the neighborhood?
The project site has existing and proposed topography that is lower than the existing grade of HWY 393, therefore partially shielding the public view from the proposed site. While the drive-thru and bypass lane are within the 50' setback, the overall view in this area would be preserved due to elevation differences along this
street frontage. Street Elevation in the range of 760-756, with a proposed building FF Elevation of 748.25.