

Energy and Groundwater in Montana

Summary of Montana's Geothermal Areas

John Metesh

Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology
July 31, 2012



Geologic Map of Montana

Sedimentary (origins)

Rocky Mountain thrust belt

uplift followed by extension
(thrust faults become normal)

intrusives

Coal, oil, gas (minor)

Base metals, Au, Ag

geothermal?

Sedimentary


Flat lying (somewhat)


Tertiary through Mesozoic
and Paleozoic

Coal, oil, gas,


geothermal?

limestone KGRA






DISTRIBUTION OF SITES WEST OF 111 DEGREES LONGITUDE



Number of Sites = 153

Distribution by temperature - statewide




LEGEND

Springs Wells

- greater than 50 degrees
- 40 to 50 degrees
- 30 to 40 degrees
- 20 to 30 degrees
- less than 20 degrees

SCALE



LAMBERT CONFORMAL PROJECTION

Data-point labels refer to ID numbers for sites listed in the database. ID numbers for wells and springs in Census-Tract Area (CTA) sites are listed separately.

The base map and data points were created at a 1:1,000,000 scale. The locations of the point data are based on the best available information, but were not field-checked for accuracy. The base map conforms to Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology standards for accuracy and completeness.

of Montana

John J. Metesh
Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology
June, 1994

This report was prepared as part of a project sponsored by the United States Department of Energy (DOE). Neither the United States nor the DOE, nor any of their employees, make any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe service by trade name or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the U.S. Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of the authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Government or any agency thereof.

Digital cartography by Dirk S. Vandervoort
Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology

Northeast and eastern Montana (carbonate rocks)

Depth: 2500+m


Madison Limestone – oil/gas wells

90 to 104°C,

flow: 10,000's Lpm

Saline (TDS = 35,000 to 260,000 mg/L)

POPULAR AREA
The hot, highly saline water are contained in the Madison Group and deeper formations at depths of 1650 to 2300 m. Temperatures are 65° to 130°C. Salinities are 35,000 to 260,000 mg/L. Wells in the Poplar oil field produce, as separation water, 18,000 to 20,000 barrels per day at 93° to 104°C and could produce 40,000 barrels per day with increased disposal facilities. Preliminary studies indicate that hot water is rising from deeper formations into the Madison and other shallower formations along faults and fracture systems, and spreading laterally in permeable zones. Shallow ground temperature surveys suggest thermal water usable for direct heating may be obtainable in the depth range of 200 to 500 m near known fault zones. A preliminary design concept prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy shows a disposal system for the town of Poplar, Montana, which would serve space heating needs for 30 non-residential buildings, three schools, and 800 residential units.



West Central Montana (crystalline rocks)

Depth: 2,000m

103°C Marysville


BROOKS WARM SPRING

This high-volume (270,000 L/min), low-temperature (20°C), warm spring has a large heat content. A 2°C temperature drop from the spring to the heat exchangers would allow the production of one trillion Btu's per year by heat pumps and is one of the significant geothermal resources of the State. However, drilling here is not advised as little significant increase in water temperature or total discharge with depth is expected. Current usage is for irrigation.

MARYSVILLE KNOWN GEOTHERMAL RESOURCES AREA

A geothermal anomaly was discovered here during a regional heat flow survey of holes drilled for mineral exploration. There are no surface thermal manifestations. The National Science Foundation supported extensive geologic and geophysical investigations in 1973 and 1974, and the subsequent drilling of the MGE-1 test well to a total depth of 2,100 m. The highest temperature measured was 103°C. The anomaly shows high heat flow over an area of 50 km². Data from the MGE-1 test well show the hydrothermal convection system is in Tertiary Oligocene granite porphyry source of hot water is not known. Heat may be from deep circulation. Chemical geothermometers temperatures as high as 180 somewhere in the system. This being maintained for the cause of geophysical tools by the state and public sectors.

Depth, meters



mg/L

WHITE SULPHUR SPRINGS

Springs in this thermal area have an average surface temperature of 58°C. A shallow well, at a depth of 80 m, supplies 80% of the space heating requirement of a bank. Warm water from the bank flows to a nearby motel which uses it in conjunction with another thermal well for space heating and a heated swimming pool. Estimated subsurface temperature for the White Sulphur reservoir, based on sodium-potassium-calcium and silica geothermometers, is 125°C.

Southwest Montana (crystalline rock, deep valley fill)

Depth: ? (fault controlled springs)


20 to 80+°C

Spring flow rates: 100's Lpm

Water quality: TDS = 100's mg/L

GALLOGLY SPRING Water

The Lost Trail Resort uses this thermal water for a naturally heated swimming pool. The use of the pool outflow water with heat pumps for space heating of the resort cabins is currently being studied by the owners.



This spring has a temperature of 21° and a variable discharge of 300 to 1000 L/min. The variable discharge is typical of the shallow spring system originating within the Madison Group. Most of this warm water is mixed with cold spring water to maintain a constant temperature in the ponds operated by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for research and development at the Fish Cultural Development Center.

Located on the margin of a deep sedimentary basin, this area is believed to be one of the major geothermal resources in the State of Montana. The 59°C water, with a flow of 4920 L/min, is being considered for greenhouse heating and heated fish-growing ponds. State lands in the immediate vicinity were nominated for lease and deep exploration may occur in the near future.

Southcentral Montana


(crystalline rock, deep valley fill)

Depth: ? (fault controlled springs)

80+°C

Spring flow rates 100's Lpm

TDS = 100 to 500 mg/L





Heat Flow (mW/m²)

187	White Earth (east of Helena)
800	Ennis
500	Marysville
	Butte
40,000	YNP

Geothermal Gradient (°C/Km and °F/100ft)

72-231	5-13.6	White Earth
400	23	Ennis
240	14	Marysville
32+	3.0+	Butte
400+	23.0+	YNP
22.1	0.7	“background”







7 square miles

5,600 miles of workings

49 miles of shafts



Butte Historic Mining District



Copper production from the "Richest Hill on Earth" by method

TOTAL
ANNUAL
PRODUCTION
ALL
METHODS


LB



L.H.D.



OPEN
PITS




BLOCK
CAVING



LEACHING



VEIN
MINES



1940

1950

1960

1970

Production 1880 through 2000

Cu 22,799,000,000 pounds

Zn 4,909,202,540

Mn 3,702,787,341

Pb 854,797,405

Mo 326,671,890

Ag 725,486,448 ounces

Au 2,922,446 ounces

Production 1880 through 2000

\$48 billion (2003 dollars)

~half was from copper production

~3100 feet in 30 years
(5285 amsl, April 2012)

Kelley shaft

December 2003 (5252' amsl)


December 1983 (4320' amsl)


bottom of pit


April 1982 (2140' amsl)


~930 feet in 20 years

~2,200 feet in 20 months









Ring This Bell 
If You Want Cage

NO SMOKING
Within 25ft. Of Shaft

DANGER
KEEP AWAY

There's plenty more where that came from...


State Geological Survey Contributions to the NGDS

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY

Energy Efficiency &
Renewable Energy



\$401,000 over 3 years



National Geothermal Data System (NGDS)

The NGDS is a distributed network of repositories and data sites mitigating the upfront risk of geothermal energy development by employing state-of-the-art information science to provide access to quality and comprehensive data.

Top 15 Funded States


State	Funding Amount
Washington	\$1,249,146
Nevada	\$1,070,639
Utah	\$966,834
Oregon	\$958,847
Idaho	\$873,562
Texas	\$743,481
Colorado	\$617,021
New Mexico	\$605,483
Kentucky	\$585,977
Massachusetts	\$515,901
Illinois	\$507,809
Hawaii	\$499,951
Montana	\$401,009
Indiana	\$378,499
Wisconsin	\$329,135

***AZ & CA data collection is part of the AZGS award and is not included in this summary**

NGDS Architecture: An Integrated, Distributed Data Network


U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY


Energy Efficiency &
Renewable Energy



State Geothermal Data Contribution Status by Data Theme

8/11/2011





Gunderson, J. A., 2011, Preliminary geothermal map of Montana using bottom-hole temperature data, Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology: Open-File Report 608.

Analytical Integration



Contents

- ☒ Geothermal Potential Service
 - ☐ Active Geothermal Sites (Moderate to High-Temperature)
 - ☐ Public Lands Not Withdrawn
 - ☐ Land Managed for Biodiversity
 - ☐ Electrical Transmission Lines
 - ☐ Known Geothermal Resource Areas
 - ☐ Ave. Potential (Linear Regression)
- ☒ Google, Temperature with Depth
 - ☐ 3.5 km
 - ☐ 4.5 km
 - ☐ 5.5 km
 - ☐ 6.5 km
 - ☐ 7.5 km
 - ☒ 10 km
- ☒ 2004 Heatflow Maps
- ☐ Continental US,

