Virginia Citizens Defense League, Inc. P.O. Box 513 • Newington, VA 22122 • 804-639-0600 • survey.statewide@vcdl.org 2025 Statewide Candidate Survey

Name: Address: City/State/ZIP: Email:	Position Sought: Party: Phone: Web Site
public place in	nes not have any law prohibiting law-abiding citizens from legally carrying a firearm in a nopen view (open carry). Thus, no permit is required in Virginia to open carry. However, require a permit for those who choose to carry a firearm concealed.
purposes. Wh Arkansas, Ida North Dakota, issue optional	recognize that every citizen has the right to carry a gun, openly or concealed, for all lawful hile Vermont neither requires nor issues permits at all, 19 other states (Alaska, Arizona, tho, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, New Hampshire, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Tennessee, Utah, West Virginia, and Wyoming) continue to permits for those who wish to take advantage of reciprocity agreements with other states ing this "Constitutional Carry".
citizei reaso	ou <u>SUPPORT</u> "Constitutional Carry" legislation that would allow law-abiding ns to carry firearms openly or concealed (at the individual's discretion) for any on except for the commission of a crime, while still allowing the individual the optional plants of the concealed handgun permit?
Yes _	No
for the police Attorneys with	w generally prohibits the carrying of guns in both public and private K-12 schools, except (including off-duty, vacationing LEOs from other states) and judges and Commonwealth no firearms training. In 2021, the General Assembly added daycare and preschools to a free killing zones.
loaded gun in training can't of special priviled almost certain	ned judge or even an off duty, vacationing game warden from Hawaii can legally carry a to a Virginia school classroom, but a Virginia parent with a concealed handgun permit and even get out of his car! This ban 1) infringes the rights of law abiding Virginians, 2) grants ges to citizens of other states who know little or nothing of Virginia law, and 3) makes it in that children and school personnel would remain helpless in the face of a Columbine by a deranged student or stranger who will ignore the law against bringing a gun in the
	conian federal Gun Free School Zone Act specifically exempts concealed handgun permit ts restrictions and allows permit holders to carry their firearms into classrooms.
stand	ou <u>SUPPORT</u> legislation to more closely conform school gun policy with federal ards by allowing concealed handgun permit holders to have a gun on their person on school grounds?
Yes _	No

3. In 2015, the governor enacted emergency regulations prohibiting concealed firearms in offices owned or occupied by Executive Branch Agencies.

In 2021, these "no guns" policies were codified into state law by the General Assembly and only disarm the law-abiding who are then unable to provide for their own defense, as seen in recent school and government office massacres where such gun control was in effect.

5
As the tragedy at Virginia Tech proved, the "I'm unarmed, please don't hurt me" approach is not ar effective means of self defense, especially when faced with a violent criminal determined to kill. Unfortunately, the police can't be everywhere all the time and usually arrive <u>after</u> the crime to take a report from any survivors.
Will you <u>SUPPORT</u> legislation that would repeal the state government building gun ban?
Yes No
4. Effective July 1, 2010, concealed handgun permit holders are allowed to carry concealed in all restaurants in Virginia provided they don't consume any alcoholic beverages while carrying concealed. However, on & off duty Virginia police officers, retired law enforcement officers, vacationing law enforcement from other states, Commonwealth Attorneys, and any judge or justice of the Commonwealth are all exempted from this prohibition. This un-American arrangement creates two classes of citizens: those on the government payroll who get special privileges and the rest of us who don't.
It seems only appropriate that there should be one standard for whether or not it is appropriate for someone carrying a concealed handgun to consume alcoholic beverages in a restaurant.
Will you <u>SUPPORT</u> legislation to eliminate this double standard on carrying of concealed handguns in restaurants licensed to sell alcoholic beverages for on premises consumption?
Yes No
5. Gun control advocates frequently attempt to discourage gun ownership by creating a patchwork of differing local government ordinances.
Effective July 1, 2020, the law was changed and now allows localities to ban carry in local government buildings, parks, recreation & community centers, and at permitted events and adjoining streets.
Will you <u>SUPPORT</u> legislation to repeal the law that allows localities to restrict the carrying or possession of firearms by law-abiding citizens in recreation centers, libraries, parks, permitted events, or other local government buildings and facilities?
Yes No

6. In the 2020 Legislative Session, gun control advocates succeeded in passing legislation establishing "universal background checks" to criminalize <u>all</u> direct, private gun-sales.	
In addition, under the "universal background check" scheme, the Right to Keep and Bear Arms would be the only right enumerated in the US Constitution that would require permission from the government to exercise that right.	ne
Will you <u>SUPPORT</u> legislation to repeal the "universal background check" legislat passed into law in 2020?	tion
Yes No	
7. A few states, such as California, have implemented Red Flag laws, also called Gun Violence Restraining Orders (GRVO), Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO), or Emergency Substantia Risk Orders (ESRO). Unlike a temporary detention order, the fact that the accused is left free a unsupervised instead of the Court mandating psychiatric observation, detention, or supervision proves that these are really gun-confiscation laws disguised as "gun-violence prevention" or "suicide prevention" laws and are being pushed hard at both the state and federal levels.	al
Red Flag laws allow a judge to direct the police to confiscate a person's firearms merely on the word of another that the gun owner is a danger to himself or others. The accused does not get due process, or even any notice, before the police show up at his door to remove all his firearm. Only after a period of time can the gun owner petition the court to return his firearms. The court can deny the petition for as long as they choose.	s.
Red Flag laws strip a citizen of not only his right to keep and bear arms, but also his right to due process and presume guilt in advance of a crime being committed.)
In the 2020 Legislative Session, a Red Flag bill was passed and Governor Ralph Northam signed into law.	ed it
Will you <u>SUPPORT</u> legislation to repeal the Emergency Substantial Risk Order that was signed into law?	ıt

Yes _____ No ____

8. In 1993, Governor Doug Wilder led an emotional stampede that limited the number of handguns law-abiding citizens may purchase to one every 30 days -- with police permission required for multiple purchases. Today, most Americans realize that: 1) criminals will always find ways of obtaining weapons whether legally or illegally and 2) limiting the legal activities of honest citizens reduces popular support for laws in general and undermines our legal system.

South Carolina was the first state to pass a "one-handgun-a-month" law and repealed that law in 2004. In 2012, Virginia also repealed its "one-handgun-a-month" law. In 2015, the US Court of Appeals struck down Washington DC's "one-handgun-a-month" lay

Appeals struck down washington, DC's one-handgun-a-month law.
In 2020, the General Assembly passed and Governor Ralph Northam signed a bill reinstating the "one-handgun-a-month" law.
Will you <u>SUPPORT</u> legislation to repeal Virginia's "one-handgun-a-month" rationing law?
Yes No
Recently, there has been an effort to ban suppressors based upon one illegal use of this firearm accessory, even though there are well over one million legally owned suppressors in the United States that are used safely and responsibly by law-abiding citizens.
Unfortunately, too many people believe Hollywood movies that, for dramatic effect, vastly overstate the noise reduction capability of a suppressor. When a gun is fired, three sounds are produced – the supersonic crack of the bullet, the muzzle blast of gasses created by burning gunpowder, and the cycling of the weapon. A suppressor reduces only one of these sounds – the muzzle blast. Even with a suppressor, most common rifles and pistols are still very loud. Suppressors lower the sound level for most firearms from much louder than a jet plane taking off down to the level of a jackhammer.
A suppressor helps prevent long-term damage to the hearing of a shooter, hunter, or nearby people. It also reduces environmental noise levels affecting nearby residences & businesses.
Will you <u>OPPOSE</u> any legislation to ban the sale or possession of suppressors in the Commonwealth?
Yes No
10. Gun control advocates have attempted to ban various classes of firearms and related items such as:
 semi-automatic copies of popular military rifles and carbines (strictly due to their cosmetic appearance when they are, in fact, identical in function to standard semi-automatic hunting rifles)
 inexpensive and affordable handguns (frequently demonized with the racist and fear inducing "Saturday Night Special" label)
 high-capacity magazines (standard capacity magazines misrepresented as "high-capacity" based solely upon an arbitrary definition of what is "high-capacity") expensive, top shelf guns (like .50 caliber rifles)
• etc.
Will you <u>OPPOSE</u> ALL gun bans?
Vas No

11. With the recent push by the federal government to ban various types of guns and magazines, and with talk of registration and even confiscation, it is very important that Virginia protect its citizens as much as possible from having constitutional rights unlawfully stripped away. Will you <u>SUPPORT</u> legislation to prevent any agency, political subdivision, or employee of Virginia from assisting the Federal government of the United States in any investigation, prosecution, detention, arrest, search, or seizure, under the authority of any federal statute enacted, or Executive Order or regulation issued, after December 31, 2025, that infringes on the individual Right to Keep and Bear Arms by imposing new restrictions on private ownership, private transfer of firearms, firearm magazines, ammunition, or components thereof?
Yes No
12. Most firearms experts recognize that mechanical devices, such as trigger locks, create an extremely dangerous condition, whereby a gun can be fired accidentally. These dangerous "lock up your safety" devices may render a firearm ineffective when most needed and leave an individual or family defenseless and vulnerable to attack. Additionally, trigger locks create a false sense of security similar to that created when child safety caps were mandated which resulted in a significant increase in child poisonings when parents came to rely on the "safety" caps rather than education to protect their children. Will you OPPOSE government mandated use of trigger locks or other such hazardous "safety" devices which have the effect of making it difficult, if not impossible, to have a gun available to defend your home and family? Yes No
13. Most experts agree that "ballistic fingerprinting" is not a valid fingerprinting of firearms but rather just a snapshot in time because the markings on the shell and bullets change over time with use, parts replacement, and/or intentional modifications.
Two studies done for the California Department of Justice concluded that ballistic fingerprinting is not a viable methodology. Most recently, a Maryland State Police report on Maryland's ballistic fingerprinting program called it expensive and ineffective. It is not only a waste of taxpayer dollars, but prohibitively increases costs for hobbyists and sportsmen. In addition, the head of the Maryland State Police testified before a Maryland House committee that the mandate to collect ballistics information hasn't helped solve any crimes.
Will you <u>OPPOSE</u> any legislation designed to impose these useless and expensive "feel good" ballistic fingerprinting schemes in the Commonwealth?
Yes No

14. In 1989, the Supreme Court of Virginia (SCOVA) MANDATED, in Diffendal vs Commonwealth, that "Moreover, the force used must be reasonable in relation to the harm threatened." However, in 1995, the General Assembly changed concealed weapons permits from "weapon" permits, to "handgun" permits, stripping Virginians of ANY less-lethal option, despite SCOVA's mandate. This puts case law and the Code of Virginia in direct conflict with each other, and creates a dangerous liability for all carriers in Virginia, open or concealed. Will you SUPPORT less-lethal options for Virginia's citizens by restoring Virginia's concealed handgun permit back into a concealed weapons permit, as it was prior to 1995? Yes _____ No ____ Feel free to attach additional comments to this survey. **Candidate Authorization** My signature affirms that the answers given above accurately represent my beliefs as a candidate for elective office. Candidate's Signature Date