Third District Court of Appeal

State of Florida, July Term, A.D. 2011

Opinion filed November 23, 2011. Not final until disposition of timely filed motion for rehearing.

Nos. 3D09-3521, 3D10-2486 Lower Tribunal Nos. 08-36794, 09-42020

Alvaro Gorrin, Jr.,

Appellant,

VS.

Poker Run Acquisitions, Inc., a Florida corporation, et al., Appellees.

Appeals from the Circuit Court for Miami-Dade County, Scott J. Silverman, and John Schlesinger, Judges.

Kreusler-Walsh, Compiani & Vargas and Jane Kreusler-Walsh and Rebecca Mercier-Vargas and Stephanie L. Serafin (West Palm Beach); Harry A. Payton and Lisa Hu Barquist, for appellant.

Cohen/Fox and Robert A. Cohen and Aaron C. Wong and R. Edson Briggs; White & Case and Raoul G. Cantero and David P. Draigh and Kerri L. McNulty, for Poker Run Acquisitions, Inc.

Before CORTIÑAS and ROTHENBERG, JJ., and SCHWARTZ, Senior Judge.

PER CURIAM.

We reverse the entry of final summary judgments for breach of personal loan guaranties where, as here, there was not an express waiver of duties by the See Warner v. Caldwell, 354 So. 2d 91, 96 (Fla. 3d DCA 1977) ("Notwithstanding language in the guaranty agreement to the effect that the contract of guaranty would be 'unconditional,' beyond the duties imposed in the contract of guaranty, the law imposes on the creditor an obligation not to deal with the debtor, or any security for the debt, in such a manner as to harm the interest of the guarantors."); Burton v. Linotype Co., 556 So. 2d 1126, 1127 (Fla. 3d DCA 1989) ("The law in Florida is well settled that a party may not contractually thwart liability for its own fraud."); TD Bank, N.A. v. ARS Partners Poplar Plains, LLC, No. CV095026521, 2010 WL 745757 at *4 (Conn. Super. Ct. Feb. 2, 2010) (finding language that "[n]o act or omission of any kind on the part of the [b]ank shall in any way whatsoever affect or impair this guaranty," insufficient to constitute an express waiver of the guarantor's rights to challenge the lender's conduct regarding secured collateral). The waiver in this case is distinguishable from the absolute waiver discussed in Von Dunser v. Southeast First National Bank of Miami, 367 So. 2d 1094, 1096 (Fla. 3d DCA 1979), where this Court found there was a waiver of the impairment of collateral defense because the guaranty specifically provided that "[n]o act or omission of any kind by the [b]ank shall affect or impair this guaranty and the [b]ank shall have no duties to [g]uarantors." (emphasis added).

Reversed.

CORTIÑAS and ROTHENBERG, JJ., concur.

Gorrin v. Poker Run Acquisitions, Inc., etc., et al. Case Nos. 3D09-3521 & 3D 10-2486

SCHWARTZ, Senior Judge, dissenting.

In my judgment, the controlling documents in this case are not meaningfully distinguishable from those in Von Dunser v. Southeast First National Bank of Miami, 367 So. 2d 1094 (Fla. 3d DCA 1979). I would affirm the judgment below on the authority of that decision.

4