



Ref	Date	R&BBC Officer	Address	Proposal	Parish Council Decision
26/0377/TPO Planning link	12/03/26	Guy Stephens	12 Harwood Park Salfords Surrey RH1 5EJ	Oak tree - Reduce the crown volume (not size) by pruning to the previous cut points. The dominant primary branches will be removed, not beyond previous cuts, so that the subordinate/secondary branches take their place in hierarchy. This strategy on this tree equates to branch shortening of 1m.	No Observation
25/01993/HH OLD Planning link	18/03/26	Helen Love	3 Honeycrock Lane, Salfords, RH1 5DG	Retrospective application for 1 outbuilding, pergola and raised decking terrace. Amendment - pool removed	Object - See below.
26/00343/F Planning link	23/03/26	Stephen Yeowell	The Haven 57 Reigate Road Hookwood RH6 0HL	Demolition of existing single-storey dwelling and erection of a replacement detached dwelling with associated landscaping	No Observation
26/00486/HH OLD Planning link	30/03/26	Riordan Kitt	12 Dunraven Avenue Salfords RH1 5JW	Proposed garden annexe, the outbuilding is ancillary to the main dwelling	No observation
26/00475/HH OLD Planning link	02/04/26	Helen Love	75 Honeycrock Lane Salfords RH1 5JN	Ground floor rear and side extension.	No Observation subject to neighbours

25/01993/HHOLD

The Parish Council originally objected to this application stating the building does not have permission for the change of use. The Council reconsidered the application at their council meeting on Monday 9 February and having obtained additional information made further representation. The amended application was reviewed at their planning surgery on Wednesday 8 April and using scheme of delegation agreed to continue to object.

There is a covered swimming pool building which is not shown on the plan but covers most of the space between the house and the other buildings. This has resulted in considerably more than 50% of the rear external area being built on. It is questionable if this application should have been registered with such a major omission which Parish councillors understand the planning department is aware of.

Previous comment

The building does not have permission for the change of use. Councillors request that R&BBC check the video and promotional material and reconsider whether this is really Class C3 and to say on the basis of the evidence in the promotional video in the public domain, This is a day centre business being operated and therefore question if this application has been properly verified. The size of the outbuildings is inappropriate development on a residential plot.

There is no detail on how water surface will be controlled on site and prevent run off to neighbouring properties and sites.

We also request that should R&BBC look to approve the application that conditions are included: -

- To restrict the number of people using the facilities to the residents of the property which would reduce the noise impact on neighbours.
- Have a suitable water drainage scheme which should be submitted and approved to ensure the works carried out do not increase flood risk on or off site – as outlined by Surrey County Council as lead local flood authority