



SALFORDS AND SIDLOW PARISH COUNCIL
PLANNING DECISIONS NO 382 NOVEMBER 2025
Planning and Licence Decisions for Salfords & Sidlow
determined by the Borough/ District Councils

Planning Ref	Location	Brief description of proposed development	R&BBC/SCC Decision (S&SPC comment)
25/01498/CU	2 Avondale 43 Reigate Road Hookwood RH6 0HL	Change of use from ancillary dwelling use to separate dwelling use of the bungalow and erection of cycle storage shed.	Approved with conditions (Object - see below)
25/01536/HHOLD	25 Harwood Park Salfords Surrey RH1 5EJ	Proposed single storey side extension	Approved with conditions (No observation subject to any comment from the neighbours)
Appeals Lodged			
25/00183/F/AP APP/L3625/W/25/ 3372701	Sunnyacres Nursery 18 Reigate Road Hookwood RH6 0HJ	Erection of 4 x new build dwellings. As amended on 04/04/2025 and on 06/08/2025	(Case Officer – James Amos)
25/00935/RET APP/L3625/W/25/ 3375647	Land To West Of Wrays Farm Horse Hill RH6 0HN	Retrospective agricultural building	Written statements Comment by 23/12/25 (There is insufficient information to support the application as it does not show how near the land is to the building or what other buildings, if any, there are now. It is also not clear if the recently developed buildings were used for this purpose. It requires proof of requirement and justification to identify need. Neighbours' comments must be considered. Should the application be approved, if agricultural use ceases the building must be removed)

25/01498/CU - 2 Avondale

We commented on application 25/00874/S73 in June to retain a bungalow which should have been demolished when the new one was built, but wasn't.

Our comment on this was no objection but the retained building must be ancillary to the new bungalow

The officer report says - the lawful use of the site is for 1 dwelling

The decision letter says - The removal of condition 8 does not purport to grant permission for subdivision of the site and a separate planning unit. The use of the bungalow as a separate dwelling would require a full planning application which this is.

We agreed the condition should remain.