Agent-Powered Growth

DEPLOY AI AGENTS THAT BUILD YOUR MARKETING PIPELINE 24/7

STU SJOUWERMAN

WILEY

Contents

Introduction

Hundreds of Tools and No Results

It was 5 AM when the report came in, and Naomi Simson struggled to believe her tired eyes. RedBalloon was her baby, an online experience marketplace that she'd built from her home office with just $25,000. She knew the company was losing money, but she needed to understand why.

The answer was in front of her, written in the report on her customer acquisition cost, but it read more like a death sentence: $50 per customer.[1]

How had her marketing operations gotten so out of control? It wasn't through a lack of attention, and it certainly wasn't through negligence. Every month, RedBalloon spent $45,000 in retainers for multiple ad agencies. The results weren't worth the costs.

"We had little transparency into what these agencies were doing," Simson later confessed. The agencies pulled the same search engine marketing levers (SEM levers), talked to the same audiences, and created the same campaigns with diminishing returns. "It was just not sustainable. We were being held to ransom." Despite massive brand awareness, RedBalloon's traditional audience for experiential gifts had lost their emotional connection with the brand.[2]

The entrepreneur and Shark Tank Australia host couldn't help but remember 2001, when she was "mucking around with"

Google AdWords as one of its first users. Back then, finding a new customer cost just 5 cents. Now, based on her 2017 CAC report, that cost had multiplied 1,000-fold.[3]

Simson's crisis was far from unique. Across boardrooms worldwide, business owners and chief marketing officers faced the same challenging economics: skyrocketing customer acquisition costs and, despite hundreds of new AI marketing tools, a failure of traditional marketing strategies to produce sustainable ROIs. The promises of digital marketing in the Information Age were increasingly complex and outrageously expensive to manage.

As of 2024, the average enterprise juggled over 120 marketing tools.[4] Despite this technological abundance, marketing leaders still reported spending four hours daily on manual, administrative tasks. More tools meant more chaos, like adding more cooks to an already crowded kitchen that was always out of salt.[5]

For many companies, the complexities of marketing only increased with the emergence of generative AI technology. By 2025, 66 percent of marketers around the world claimed to use "AI." However, that wasn't what most were doing. People were mistaking the true capabilities of AI with glorified, single-point automations. These included email schedulers, content spinners, and chatbots that frustrated customers with their inability to understand simple requests like, "I already tried turning it off and on again."[6]

While the majority struggled with traditional tools, a small group of early adopters discovered autonomous AI agents that could think, learn, and act independently. A step beyond generative AI, this new technology quickly became known as agentic AI. Think of it as the difference between an electronic piano player that follows a preset scroll and a jazz musician who can improvise, respond to the audience, and create something new every night. The market was splitting into two camps: those riding the agentic AI wave and those about to be crushed by it.[7]

Naomi Simson did not want to be crushed. In desperation, her team researched solutions. Eventually, they found Albert, an agentic AI platform that autonomously analyzed data, targeted audiences, ran campaigns, and optimized performance 24/7 across all channels—a tireless digital marketer that never complained, never needed coffee, and never took bathroom breaks.[8]

On its first day, Albert tested over 6,400 variations of Google text ads, identifying and executing keywords to improve performance. A human SEM (search engine marketing) expert would need a year to achieve this—assuming they never slept, ate, or checked Instagram.[9]

Albert discovered customers that Simson and RedBalloon didn't know existed. It found that Australian expats in the United States and the United Kingdom were buying experiences as gifts for family back home. Men over 65 in Melbourne who loved flying also emerged as a profitable segment. The AI found these micro-segments by testing thousands of creative combinations humans would never attempt—partly because humans have better things to do than create thousands of ad variations, and partly because they lack the computational power to analyze the data.[10]

The results of Albert's autonomous work transformed RedBalloon's business model and pulled Simson's company back from the brink:

- Customer acquisition costs (CAC) dropped 25 percent in less than 30 days[11]
- Within 60 days, the company's CAC went from $50 to just $11[12]
- Return on ad spend averaged 1,100 percent, with some campaigns hitting 3,000 percent[13]
- Social reach expanded from 1 percent to 99 percent of the addressable market[14]

Simson fired all her ad agencies. Her marketing team, freed from manual campaign execution and keyword research, finally focused on strategy and creativity. The transformation was so profound that Simson, ever the entrepreneur, started another marketing company as Albert's exclusive distributor in Australia and New Zealand.[15]

Simson's story illuminates a critical distinction most marketers miss. Traditional marketing follows a linear path (plan → execute → measure), but autonomous agents operate in a continuous "Sense → Reason → Act" loop (SRA loop). These SRA loops are familiar to people in robotics but perhaps less so to those in marketing. AI agents are, for all intents and purposes, autonomous robots, constantly perceiving market signals, reasoning about optimal responses, and taking action in real time. When they work in tandem, they create a self-improving revenue engine that learns from every interaction. So, rather than build a web of single-point automations that would need constant maintenance, Simson instead deployed one autonomous agent that could think, learn, and act independently.

To further understand the difference between generative AI systems and agentic AI systems, imagine hiring a new marketing coordinator. Traditional automation practices might provide a generative AI engine a detailed checklist: "Send email A to list B at time C." The engine will execute efficiently, but when something unexpected happens—say, a significant news event that makes your scheduled content tone-deaf—the execution will continue as if the status quo hasn't changed.

On the other hand, an autonomous AI agent functions like an experienced marketing director who understands a campaign's goals, monitors the environment, and makes informed decisions. An autonomous AI agent might postpone a campaign in light of groundbreaking news. Or, it might adjust the messaging. It may even identify an unexpected opportunity to engage with the

target audience differently. What's more valuable is that if it observes any of its actions not achieving the intended outcome (sense), it will analyze a litany of factors as to why (reason) and then modify its approach all on its own (act).

By 2025, this transformation from generative AI utilization to agentic AI was accelerating across industries. Over 8,000 businesses had deployed Salesforce's Agentforce platform. ReadingMinds.ai's autonomous research agents had augmented traditional market research teams for over 1,500 marketers. The industry-wide transformation was under way, yet most business leaders and chief marketing officers (CMOs) remained unaware of its existence.[16]

Today, while marketing leaders worldwide still struggle with their 120-tool stacks and 4-hour daily administrative burdens, autonomous agents are delivering results that once seemed impossible: 24/7 operation, infinite personalization, and strategic decision-making without human intervention.

This book lays out a practical roadmap to deploying marketing agents that deliver measurable results. The goal is to help as many marketers and their teams ride the agentic wave and not be crushed by it. Companies implementing these systems report average revenue increases of 15.8 percent and productivity improvements of 22.6 percent.[17] And while Gartner also predicts that 30 percent of GenAI projects will be abandoned after proof of concept by the end of 2025, autonomous agents will succeed where generative AI fails. The difference lies in understanding what makes an agent truly autonomous—and how to implement one correctly.[18]

Like Naomi Simson, I've lived the entrepreneur's journey. I built KnowBe4 from a startup to a $4.6 billion cybersecurity leader, and I've pioneered practical AI agent implementations that work.[19] As a member of the *Wall Street Journal*'s CMO Council and author of four business books, I've spent years collaborating with marketing leaders facing these exact challenges.

I transitioned from CEO of KnowBe4 to Executive Chairman specifically so I could focus on AI innovation strategy. This book represents everything I've learned about transforming marketing operations with autonomous agents—in practice, where the rubber meets the road (and occasionally catches fire).[20]

The market forces driving this transformation are significant. Reports from the first quarter of 2025 project global AI spend for sales and marketing to increase from $58 billion to $240.58 billion by 2030.[21] This projection anticipates a restructuring of how marketing will operate in the future, akin to the shift from horse-drawn carriages to automobiles but occurring over years instead of decades.

With 82 percent of organizations planning to integrate autonomous agents within three years, the question has shifted from "whether" to "when and how." Early movers are already establishing advantages that late adopters may never overcome—imagine trying to compete with Amazon today using only a physical storefront and a fax machine.[22,23]

Marketing leaders face an important decision. They can continue managing multiple tools and budgets as Simson once did, dealing with their 120 APIs that often create more problems than they solve. Or they can adopt autonomous agents and reinvent their marketing operations for the agentic age.

The chapters ahead reveal the fatal flaw in modern marketing AI—the misperception that costs CMOs millions and keeps them trapped in the automation hamster wheel. They will explore exactly how autonomous agents differ from the AI tools flooding the market, why most AI projects fail (and how to ensure success), and a step-by-step implementation guide that delivers results in 30 days.

Marketing teams are deploying autonomous AI agents across the industry and shifting their daily operations from mundane tasks to focus on strategy and creativity. Campaigns that once

took weeks now launch in hours. AI-conducted interviews can now gather customer insights at a fraction of the cost of traditional focus groups.

The journey from Simson's $50 customer acquisition cost to 3,000 percent ROI followed a repeatable methodology.

That methodology awaits in the pages ahead.

CHAPTER

1

The Fatal Flaw in Modern Marketing AI

During a 2024 podcast interview, Chris Koehler recalled a moment that was revelatory of the marketing industry's most expensive misunderstanding. The CMO of Twilio had stood before his 200-person marketing team at the company's San Francisco headquarters. The man who once helped Box surpass $1 billion in annual revenue, and who brought 25 years of experience across Adobe, E*TRADE, and multiple disciplines—from customer success to product management—delivered what he thought would be an energizing message: "Hey, I think there's a massive opportunity for AI in marketing. Everyone should use it!"

The room fell silent. Then came the tentative questions that would reshape his understanding: "Okay, well, some of us are. What do you mean? What are the use cases?"[1]

Koehler's internal realization arrived with uncomfortable clarity. "I was guilty of this," he would later admit. His team had been using AI—Jasper for content creation, ChatGPT for copywriting, and automated email tools for campaigns. Yet none of these represented the transformative AI he envisioned. The gap between using AI-powered tools and deploying AI intelligence suddenly became painfully apparent. For someone whose philosophy centered on "getting comfortable being uncomfortable" and who prided himself on continuous learning, this moment of confusion proved particularly unsettling.[2]

That moment in front of his 200-person team encapsulates a misunderstanding plaguing marketing departments worldwide. If the CMO of a company, one whose entire business model revolves around APIs and automation, struggles to articulate AI's role in marketing, the confusion extends far beyond traditional industries. The irony deepened: Twilio already deployed AI agents for customer engagement, yet Koehler himself hadn't fully grasped what made these different from their other AI tools.

The statistics confirm this universal challenge: while 88 percent of marketers claim to use AI daily, only 1 percent of organizations have achieved mature AI implementations.[3] The knowledge gap continues to widen even as adoption accelerates—71.7 percent of AI non-adopters cite lack of understanding as their main barrier, nearly doubling from 41.9 percent in 2023.[4]

Benjamin Royce, Head of Strategy & Innovation at AKQA—a global agency working with brands like BMW, Audi, and American Express—articulated the enterprise blind spot that compounds this confusion: "Marketers, and especially ad agencies, have an under-appreciation for enterprise-level tools. Consumers aren't talking about them. Journalists aren't talking about them." He explained the critical distinction most miss: "If your organization

has non-disclosure agreements or client conflict issues, you need to go enterprise. They treat your data more seriously in terms of privacy and security." This enterprise-consumer divide creates a dangerous vulnerability—marketers celebrate their ChatGPT deployments while missing the security, indemnity, and reliability that enterprise platforms provide.

The AI adoption paradox reveals itself in the numbers. Marketing AI usage jumped from 29 percent to 66 percent in two years, yet 85 percent of this usage focuses on basic content creation—writing tools, image generation, and simple chatbots.[5] Only 12 percent of companies have deployed autonomous AI agents at a large scale.[6] Marketers believe they're implementing AI because they use Jasper, Copy.ai, or ChatGPT, but these are only generative AI tools, which require human operation for every task. Their chatbots use natural language but follow limited prompts rather than making autonomous decisions.

Modern marketers are driving cars with cruise control while believing they have self-driving vehicles. They possess tools that enhance individual actions but lack the sensing and reasoning capabilities that create autonomous operation. The distinction between enhancement and autonomy defines the fatal flaw in modern marketing AI implementation: marketers are investing millions in tools that make human workers marginally faster when they could be deploying AI agents that operate independently.

This evolution from enhancement to autonomy was anticipated years before the current confusion took hold. In 2019, Davenport and colleagues predicted that "AI will take over simple marketing tasks first, then move to more complex ones requiring judgment." Their framework identified three stages: task automation (handling repetitive activities), task augmentation (assisting human decision-making), and eventually full autonomy (independent operation). Today's fatal flaw—mistaking the

first two stages for the third—validates their warning that organizations would struggle to recognize when AI capabilities crossed the threshold from assistance to autonomy.[7]

The Stakes Are Worse Than You Think

The confusion about AI's role in marketing creates consequences far beyond missed opportunities. While companies struggle to distinguish between AI tools and autonomous agents, their competitors build insurmountable advantages. The gap widens daily between organizations deploying genuine agentic AI transformation and those mistaking incremental improvements for innovation. Marketing leaders face a brutal reality: resource constraints intensify while competitive pressures accelerate, creating an environment where understanding this distinction will determine survival.

Koehler's vulnerability in his 2024 interview extended beyond Twilio's walls. "I think because AI technology is rapidly evolving every week, that as a leader, I feel like—and I've talked to a lot of other CMOs—we're all feeling behind. We're all feeling like we're not doing enough," he confessed.[8] The admission carried extra weight coming from someone known for his no-nonsense style and hands-on approach. His anxiety reflected concrete pressures. Boards expected AI strategy while budgets hit their lowest levels since pre-pandemic. High performers were leaving for companies with clearer AI vision. Competitors announced AI wins while Twilio struggled with basic implementation questions.

Even personal experiments highlighted the disconnect. Koehler had used ChatGPT to create a social post about business clichés that garnered 50,000 views in days—a five-second effort that outperformed carefully crafted campaigns. Yet he couldn't translate these individual wins into organizational transformation. Meanwhile, in the podcast, he revealed a striking observation

about the future of marketing: AI agents were beginning to visit websites and make purchasing decisions autonomously. The future had already arrived, but they weren't prepared for it.[9]

The resource reality compounds these challenges. Marketing leaders reported that 64 percent lacked resources to execute their 2024 strategies, with marketing budgets dropping to 7.7 percent of company revenue—the lowest in over a decade.[10] At the same time, 75 percent of marketers feel AI provides a competitive advantage.[11] This disconnect between aspiration and capability creates a staggering amount of organizational paralysis. It was this paralysis that Koehler had to overcome, but he didn't have much time.

While Koehler grappled with use cases, competitors transformed their operations. EcomPlus, working with SuperAGI, reduced their sales team's manual lead qualification time from 64 percent to nearly zero through autonomous agents that achieved 95 percent accuracy. This resulted in 25 percent higher conversion rates and 40 percent better conversion from AI-driven nurturing. Their innovation surpassed the premise of automating individual touchpoints, as their AI agents handled the entire customer journey autonomously.[12]

Salesforce deployed Agentforce internally. Since its launch, it has handled over 100,000 visitor conversations. The platform delivers 40 percent faster lead qualification and a 21.5 percent increase in conversion rates while reducing response times from hours to seconds for qualified leads. The system operates 24/7 across all time zones without human intervention.[13]

Lumen Technologies achieved a $50 million annual impact by implementing Microsoft Copilot agents. Sales representatives who previously spent four hours daily on prep work now complete the same tasks in 15 minutes. The freed capacity enables sellers to close 20 percent more deals.[14] These aren't marginal gains from optimization—they represent transformational returns

that redefine competitive dynamics. Companies achieving these results operate in a different league from those still fine-tuning their email subject lines with AI.

The market reality demands attention. Industry projections show 82 percent of organizations plan to integrate autonomous agents within three years.[15] Enterprise software containing agentic AI will grow from less than 1 percent in 2024 to 33 percent by 2028.[16] Work decisions made autonomously will increase from 0 percent in 2024 to 15 percent by 2028. And the AI marketing market will expand from $58 billion in 2025 to $240.58 billion by 2030.[17]

Even now, companies using AI report 15.8 percent average revenue increases, with early adopters seeing 22.6 percent productivity improvements while laggards struggle with the return on their investment.[18] Revenue growth in AI-exposed industries has accelerated sharply since 2022. Companies with enterprise-wide AI agents report 35 percent average productivity gains. Still, somehow, there are only 22 percent of firms aggressively pursuing AI integration, leaving 78 percent at an increasing disadvantage. Perhaps it's because of what BCG research found, that only 4 percent achieved "cutting-edge" AI capabilities enterprise-wide.[19]

So, why is this implementation success rate so small?

You're Thinking About AI All Wrong

Koehler's evolution of understanding mirrors the industry's gradual awakening. His initial framework positioned AI as enhanced automation for efficiency, using AI to write blog posts faster, personalize email subject lines, or power chatbots that answer customer questions. These applications represent incremental improvements to existing processes rather than a fundamental transformation to organizational operations.

His polymath background—spanning customer success at Adobe, product management at Visual Sciences, and marketing analytics at E*TRADE—had taught him to see patterns across disciplines. Yet even this breadth of experience hadn't prepared him for AI's paradigm shift.

The breakthrough came during a conversation with his CFO about marketing efficiency. "One of the things that, as marketers, we have to do a much better job of is highlighting how we're leveraging AI to drive growth for the business, not just how we're leveraging AI to drive efficiency," Koehler realized.[20] This shift reframes AI from a faster way to execute existing tasks to autonomous agents that discover and act on new opportunities. The change moves from "human decides, AI-assists" to "AI identifies opportunities, plans approach, executes, and optimizes."

This revelation deepened when Koehler grasped an even more profound shift that would redefine the entire discipline: "What happens when buyers actually have agents? In a world where we're not creating content for people, we're creating content for the agents of the people that we're trying to engage with. What is the purpose of our website?" This question haunted him and crystallized the transformation ahead. In his podcast conversation, he revealed that AI agents were already visiting Twilio's site and making purchasing decisions. Marketing wouldn't target humans anymore—it would target other AI agents. The entire discipline required reimagining.[21]

The marketing technology landscape reveals three distinct levels of sophistication. Think of marketing technology evolution like transportation: Level 1 is a faster horse, Level 2 is a car with cruise control, and Level 3 is a fully autonomous vehicle on a road with other autonomous vehicles. Most marketers are upgrading their horses to cars with cruise control, and wondering why they're not getting the results promised by autonomous vehicles.

Let's take a moment to see what this looks like in marketing.

Level 1 is rules-based automation. It already encompasses most current implementations. These systems follow predetermined paths: if a visitor clicks an email, an automation sends a follow-up. If a lead score exceeds 80, an automation alerts sales. HubSpot workflows and Marketo engagement programs exemplify this approach, and while they are efficient, they are also inflexible.

Level 2 is AI-enhanced tools. A growing minority has reached a mature integration of this technology and is experiencing incremental productivity improvements. Generative AI helps write better subject lines through A/B testing, provides predictive lead scoring based on historical data, and powers chatbots with natural language processing. Drift chatbots and Seventh Sense email optimization demonstrate this level—AI assists, but it doesn't act independently.

Level 3 is autonomous AI agents. This level defines what only 12 percent of companies have deployed at scale. These AI agents identify high-intent visitor behavior patterns humans never noticed, independently create and execute multi-channel nurture campaigns, and discover new market segments while developing targeting strategies. Salesforce Agentforce and AWS Agentcore exemplify this approach.

The technical difference among single-point automation, generative AI, and agentic AI proves fundamental. Traditional automation follows scripts through if-then statements. Generative AI tools enhance these scripts with contextual if-then logic. In contrast, autonomous AI agents pursue goals through independent reasoning, determining optimal paths without predefined rules.

Market examples continue to illustrate this misperception. Companies celebrate chatbots and AI assistants such as Yes Bank's

relationship manager tool, Marriott's guest service bot, and Square Enix's developer assistant as AI transformations. However, this technology is still only based on scripted responses with natural language wrappers, each requiring human intervention for every decision.

Contrast these with ReadingMinds.ai's Emma, which completely augments market research teams. Emma conducts emotionally intelligent interviews with researchers to determine the objectives of marketing efforts. It then produces an interview guide which researchers can review and approve. Upon approval, Emma autonomously performs outreach to schedule and conduct hundreds of interviews with the target market to gather insights and perspectives. Emma reads tone, prosody, and emotional undertones; generates insights and reports autonomously; and delivers up to 90 percent cost reductions for market research with 10× speed improvement.[22]

In clothing retail, H&M's virtual shopping assistant achieves 70 percent query resolution without human intervention and a 25 percent conversion rate increase during AI interactions. The system autonomously handles inventory checks, style recommendations, and purchase assistance.[23]

The 2023–2024 period marked a technological inflection point. AutoGPT demonstrated that agents could chain multiple tasks toward complex goals without human intervention. Given a goal like "increase website traffic by 30 percent," AutoGPT would analyze current traffic patterns, research competitor strategies, generate a content plan, create and publish content, then monitor results and adjust—all without human intervention between steps.[24]

The evolution from experiments to enterprise happened rapidly. In 2023, AutoGPT and BabyAGI proved the concept but lacked reliability. By 2024, enterprise platforms emerged

with production-ready capabilities. In 2025, Salesforce Agentforce, Microsoft Copilot Studio, and Amazon Bedrock made autonomous agents accessible to organizations. The key advance: reasoning engines that enable planning and decision-making beyond simple task execution.[25]

In such a short amount of time, the splash of generative AI has turned into a ripple against the tidal wave of agentic capabilities. It's easy to feel caught off guard, overwhelmed, and underprepared. This is normal.

It's Not Your Fault

Koehler's struggle validates thousands of CMOs facing similar challenges. Leading marketing at a $3.8 billion technology company with over 5,000 employees, access to cutting-edge technology, and nearly unlimited resources, he still struggled to articulate and implement meaningful AI transformation. His admission that "we're all feeling behind" speaks for an entire industry grappling with a sudden paradigm shift.[26]

The challenge runs deeper than technology adoption. Koehler understood the need to "unlearn the way that we've done marketing for the last 20 to 30 years." His wife, a psychologist, had taught him about this kind of evolved change management. Yet unlearning regularly proves harder than learning. Koehler's team had formed an AI council, developed use cases, and approved tools through security and compliance. They implemented AI agents for handling customer inquiries. Still, the transformation felt incomplete. The organization moved too slowly while AI evolved weekly. The distributed approach—with separate AI councils for marketing and the broader company—created coordination challenges rather than enhanced clarity.[27]

Even Koehler's son, working on his master's degree with an internship leveraging generative AI for the intelligence community, represented a generation entering the workforce that was native to these technologies. This generational divide added another layer of complexity. Younger employees expected AI integration while senior leaders struggled to define it.[28]

The systemic challenges run deep. Nearly half of CMOs cite difficulty with AI implementation, with their top barrier being the need to demonstrate the value of the new tools.[29] Marketing budgets are also at historic lows—7.7 percent of revenue versus 11 percent pre-pandemic—while pressure mounts to do more with less and to learn entirely new paradigms. Additionally, 90 percent of chief innovation officers report that AI cost management is a limiting factor of value creation.[30]

What else? The average enterprise uses 120 marketing tools from an ecosystem of 14,106 MarTech solutions, yet fundamental marketing challenges persist.[31] The failure statistics reveal a pattern: 30 percent of GenAI projects fail proof of concept and are abandoned because they were tools, not agents; 80 percent of companies report no material earnings impact because they're automating tasks, not transforming operations; less than 10 percent of use cases make it past pilot stage because they lack a constant positive feedback and self-optimization loop; only 4 percent achieved enterprise-wide capabilities because they're the ones who understood the technical needs and full capabilities of autonomous AI agents.[32]

Using our Levels analogy, this is what it all means: about 96 percent of companies are failing to make the jump from horses to self-driving cars because they're only researching and investing in cruise control technology. If you want the power of agentic AI, then you have to look beyond generative AI. More importantly, you must understand that agentic AI cannot be

implemented in the same way previous technologies have been in the past.

Smart companies fail for four reasons. First, they expect AI to work like previous marketing technology implementations: buy licenses, train users, and expect results. Second, they measure success by efficiency gains instead of transformation: the productivity of individual contributors is improving; therefore, the tool must be working. Third, they underestimate change management requirements: people will perform the same tasks with different tools, rather than have their roles and responsibilities completely rewritten. And finally, they start with tools instead of outcomes: new AI technology will help growth, but will it increase team outcomes by factors of hundreds, if not thousands? These four misconceptions have resulted in one painful reality: marketers spend four hours daily on manual tasks despite having more than 120 tools, because tools without autonomy create more work rather than less.[33]

Three decades of marketing automation evolution have trained marketers to think incrementally about technology. From Unica's 1992 database management through today's 14,000-plus MarTech tools, each new wave promised transformation but delivered just another incremental improvement. This history sets expectations that AI represents the next feature upgrade, rather than a fundamental reimagining of how marketing operates.

The Promise of Transformation

The mental and operational shift that is required of marketing leaders and their teams is to move from human-centric to agent-centric operations. The old model positions human marketers using tools to execute tasks—marketers decide to run campaigns, then use AI to write copy. The new model features AI agents identifying opportunities and executing autonomously—agents

identify market opportunities, then create and execute entire campaigns.

This transformation requires moving from enhancement to replacement of job functions. AI doesn't make human content creation 50 percent faster; it replaces the need for humans to create content entirely. AI doesn't help qualify leads better; it autonomously manages the entire lead life cycle. These shifts move human operations from reactive to proactive roles. Even the best tools must wait for human commands, but AI agents can identify opportunities and act on their own. Tools execute predefined tasks, while agents determine optimal actions toward goals.

Koehler had spent a decade at Adobe championing "the art and science of marketing." Now he faced a new challenge: preserving the art while embracing autonomous science. "We should be data-driven with everything we do, but we can't lose sight of the art of marketing," he insisted. The balance became more delicate as AI handled the science. What remained uniquely human? Strategy, creativity, and the emotional intelligence to understand what numbers couldn't capture.[34]

Most marketers think in campaigns and batches—launch, measure, adjust, repeat. This linear thinking overlooks the benefits of a continuous feedback loop, where each interaction generates new data for immediate action. Running weekly email campaigns differs from an agent continuously identifying and engaging high-intent visitors. Koehler's "test and learn" philosophy required acceleration from quarterly experiments to hourly iterations.

There is a framework that provides the required mental model adjustments: the Sense-Reason-Act loop (SRA loop). This continuous loop—borrowed from robotics and military strategy—transforms marketing from a series of campaigns into a living system that never stops learning and improving. Sensing involves continuous perception of market signals, intent data,

and behavioral patterns—forward-looking intelligence about what's happening right now rather than backward-looking analytics about what happened.

Reasoning requires real-time decision-making grounded in context and business goals. This goes beyond if-then rules like "if lead score > 80, send email" to intelligent evaluation such as "given this account's behavior, industry, and our goals, what's the optimal action?" Salesforce Agentforce's reasoning engine, determining multi-step engagement strategies, exemplifies this capability.

Acting means immediate multi-channel execution with feedback loops. This means a dynamic response ("engage now across their preferred channel") rather than scheduled campaigns ("send Tuesday at 10 AM").

Current "AI Marketing" only automates the "Act" phase. AI tools excel at execution given clear direction—writing emails, scheduling posts, personalizing content—but lack sensing capabilities (what's happening in the market *right now*) and reasoning capabilities (what should we do about what's happening). However, most attempts by marketing teams to take advantage of cutting-edge AI technology only achieve a faster execution of the same old playbook, rather than a total transformation of their operations.

Switching from GenAI implementations to agentic ones is not so straightforward, either. No single platform provides all three capabilities at the required depth. However, some platforms achieve the desired results when implemented in tandem. 6sense excels at Sensing with real-time intent data from trillions of B2B signals. Agentforce excels at Reasoning through its Atlas reasoning engine for complex decision-making. Marketing Cloud and Sales Cloud excel at Acting through omnichannel execution at scale. The complete loop requires all three agents working as one system.[35]

Some leaders are already implementing this complete Sense-Reason-Act framework, and their results prove the model. Microsoft's comprehensive transformation showcases the power: sales teams achieve 9.4 percent higher revenue *per seller* with Copilot agents and close 20 percent more deals, with agents handling all prep work while sellers focus on relationships. Their customer service delivers 12 percent faster case resolution, with 68 percent of routine issues handled without the human touch. Their agents learn from each interaction and continuously improve. Finally, their HR transformation shows 42 percent greater accuracy in self-service responses and a 73 percent reduction in ticket volume, with employees getting instant, accurate answers 24/7.[36]

In another example, Pets at Home faced profit protection, requiring a manual analysis of thousands of transactions. Microsoft Copilot Studio autonomous agents now compile complex cases, flag anomalies, and prepare reports, delivering seven-figure annual savings and an 80 percent reduction in analysis time.[37] CIO William Hewish explains: "We're using AI to do the time-consuming work so our colleagues can use all resource and expertise to make decisions quickly based on large amounts of data."[38] Agents eliminate human drudgery, not human judgment.

In one more notable case, McKinsey reduced client onboarding from two to three weeks to two to three days with autonomous agents, achieving a 90 percent reduction in lead time and a 30 percent reduction in administrative work. Agents handle research compilation, initial analyses, and meeting scheduling while consultants focus on strategy. Senior Partner Rodney Zemmel noted, "We see tremendous potential for agents to help our clients rewire the way their businesses operate."[39]

The evolution of agent platforms shows rapid maturation. First Generation (2023) proved the concept with AutoGPT

showing agents could chain tasks toward goals, and BabyAGI proving minimal code could create agents. Second Generation (2024) brought framework proliferation with CrewAI for multi-agent orchestration, LangGraph for sophisticated workflow control, and Microsoft AutoGen for enterprise-grade deployment. Third Generation (2025) delivers production platforms including Amazon Bedrock AgentCore with seven core services for enterprise deployment, and Salesforce Agentforce 3.0 built on Atlas Reasoning Engine with 50 percent lower latency and production deployments across over 8,000 businesses.[40]

But what does all of this mean for you?

The Choice Before You

Koehler's journey from enthusiastic confusion to clearer understanding provides the roadmap every marketing leader needs. His mistakes are your shortcuts, his realizations are your accelerator. He started with vague enthusiasm ("Everyone should use AI"), conflating tools with transformation, and feeling behind but unsure why. His journey led to his understanding of the distinction between efficiency and growth, recognizing the need for total operational change.

His transformation showed in concrete changes. By 2025, Koehler launched a complete brand refresh at Twilio, centered on "builders"—those who create rather than consume. He brought in new talent like Adam Morgan as VP of Brand to reimagine how Twilio presented itself in an AI-driven world. His philosophy evolved from asking "How can we use AI?" to "How do we build for a world where AI agents are our customers?" The man who once struggled to articulate AI use cases now spoke fluently about agent-to-agent commerce and autonomous decision-making.[41]

His personal practice changed, too. No blank screens remained—every document, email, and strategy started with AI

collaboration. His "test and learn" mantra became a daily practice. The CMO who previously felt behind once again became someone actively building the future.

The destination becomes clear when leaders embrace autonomous marketing operations. Agents identify opportunities humans never see. Marketing teams focus on strategy rather than execution. And companies measure competitive advantage through AI leverage rather than tool adoption.

The technology inflection point has arrived. From 2023 to 2025, costs dropped 93 percent for running large models. Context windows expanded to 2 million tokens, enabling the processing of entire databases. Reasoning capabilities now pass bar exams and medical licensing. Enterprise platforms have matured to production-ready status. The economics have inverted: deploying an autonomous agent now costs less than hiring a junior employee, yet delivers capabilities that entire teams cannot match.

Market dynamics accelerate the urgency. By 2028, 33 percent of enterprise software will include agentic AI. The AI agent market is growing at a 44.8 percent compound annual growth rate. McKinsey projects $2.6 trillion–$4.4 trillion in additional value potential.[42] Yet at the time of writing, only 4 percent of companies have achieved "cutting-edge" AI capabilities enterprise-wide.[43] These early adopters are building insurmountable advantages through network effects that compound with improving agent intelligence. At a market-wide level, customer expectations are also shifting to assume that companies are operating with agentic AI capabilities. All this is to say that the window for first-mover advantage is closing rapidly.

The mindset shift required for immediate transformation starts with asking different questions. Stop asking "How can AI make us faster?" and start asking "What could agents do independently?" Stop thinking "AI enhances our marketing" and start thinking "Agents transform our operations." Stop thinking in

linear campaigns and batches. Start thinking in continuous Sense-Reason-Act loops. As Koehler learned, embracing discomfort becomes essential to unlearn decades of marketing practice and reimagine the discipline entirely.

I applaud Koehler's vulnerability in admitting "we're all feeling behind." His confession demonstrates leadership by giving permission for honest assessment and acknowledging the challenge as real and universal. His willingness to share failures—like the distributed AI council approach that created confusion, or his struggle to move at AI's pace—hopefully makes the journey ahead less daunting. Because the companies that are succeeding aren't inherently more innovative, better resourced, or more technical, they simply understand one distinction sooner: the difference between automation and autonomy.

Every CMO faces this choice today: continue adding AI features to existing operations or reimagine marketing with autonomous agents. The stakes extend beyond technology adoption to marketing leadership survival. Companies with autonomous agents will operate at different speeds. Those without won't compete.

Koehler's story represents recognition rather than failure. The polymath who built his career on continuous learning faced his greatest learning curve yet. His story isn't about being behind but moving forward. The future isn't about AI tools but AI teammates. And it starts with understanding the fatal flaw we've all been making: mistaking enhancement for transformation, tools for intelligence, and automation for autonomy.

As Koehler discovered when contemplating a market of AI agents visiting websites and making purchasing decisions autonomously, the future has already arrived. I prefer the words of cyberpunk author William Gibson: "The future is already here, but it is unevenly distributed."

Most marketers haven't noticed.

Once you wrap your head around the Sense-Reason-Act framework in your operations, you won't want to let it go. But before you can build your autonomous marketing engine, you need to understand why this misperception took hold so completely. It's not enough for you to know the necessary changes without understanding why they are so hard to implement.

The next chapter reveals how decades of marketing technology evolution trained an entire industry to mistake incremental improvement for transformation—and why the vendors, consultants, and even your own organization have incentives to keep you trapped in the old paradigm. Getting full buy-in from your team on agentic AI implementations will be an uphill battle without understanding the mental models holding your team back. Only by understanding these forces can you break free from them.