

Devina Wadhwa MD FRCPC
Assistant Professor, Department of Psychiatry
Northern Ontario School of Medicine University (NOSM University)
Thunder Bay, Ontario, Canada

The Pause Before the Signature: Reflections on Compassion and Involuntary Care in Psychiatry

There are few moments in psychiatry that carry more moral weight than signing an involuntary admission form. We spend years learning the legal criteria, the clinical indications, and the ethical principles that justify involuntary care. We are taught how to assess risk, determine capacity, and document our reasoning. Yet no amount of training fully prepares us for the moment when a patient looks across the room and asks a question that cannot be answered by legislation or clinical guidelines alone.

"I thought you were going to help me."

She said it quietly, almost as though she were trying to understand where our conversation had changed.

An hour earlier, she had come to the emergency department seeking protection. She believed her neighbours had been entering her apartment at night, moving her belongings just enough that she would notice but no one else would. They watched her through the windows. They followed her when she left home. She had stopped sleeping because she believed they would come while she slept.

She came because she wanted someone to believe her.

After a careful assessment, I concluded that she was experiencing psychosis and that she required involuntary admission for her safety. The legal test was met and the clinical decision was clear.

What was not clear was how that decision would be experienced by the person sitting across from me.

The completed involuntary admission form lay between us. I reached for my pen, then paused.

Not because I doubted the decision.

Because I understood what my signature would mean to her.

"I'm trying to help," I said.

She shook her head.

"If you were trying to help, you'd let me go."

For a brief moment, I wished there were another sentence available to me. One that would allow both of us to leave the room believing we had been heard.

There wasn't.

Instead, I said, "I believe that you're frightened."

She studied my face.

"No," she replied. "You think I'm crazy."

I signed the form.

The sound of the pen moving across the paper was almost imperceptible.

It was also the loudest thing in the room.

Years have passed since that afternoon. I no longer remember the exact date or the details I documented in my assessment. Memory has a way of releasing facts while holding tightly to moments that continue to shape us.

I remember her face.

I remember the silence.

Most of all, I remember the pause before my signature.

That pause has remained with me throughout my career because it represents something I was never explicitly taught during residency. We learned the law. We learned risk assessment. We learned how to determine when involuntary care was necessary. What we did not discuss nearly enough was the moral experience of becoming the person who removes another human being's freedom, even temporarily, with the intention of protecting it.

In psychiatry, coercive care occupies an uncomfortable but sometimes unavoidable place. Used appropriately, involuntary admission can prevent suicide, reduce suffering, and allow patients to receive treatment they would otherwise be unable to accept because of severe mental illness. Many patients later recognize that admission occurred during a period when their illness profoundly altered their judgment.

Yet the ethical justification for involuntary treatment does not erase its emotional consequences. At

the moment the form is signed, the patient's experience may be one of fear, betrayal, or loss. The psychiatrist understands the intervention as an act of protection, while the patient may experience it as an act of harm. Both realities exist simultaneously.

As clinicians, we often speak about holding uncertainty. Psychiatry also asks us to hold competing truths.

I believed I was preventing harm.

She believed I had become part of it.

Neither perspective invalidated the humanity of the other.

Over time, I have become more confident in recognizing when involuntary admission is necessary. Experience has strengthened my clinical judgment. It has not lessened the weight of exercising that authority.

I hope it never does.

The pause before the signature has become a quiet ritual of reflection. It is not hesitation born of indecision. Rather, it is an acknowledgment that every involuntary admission represents an extraordinary intrusion into another person's autonomy. If that moment ever becomes routine, I worry that I will have lost something essential to the practice of psychiatry.

Compassion is not measured solely by kindness when agreement is easy. Sometimes it is measured by whether we can continue to see the humanity of the person sitting across from us when they can no longer see the humanity in us.

Looking back, I do not question the decision to admit that patient. I believe it was the right decision, and I hope that treatment ultimately allowed her to recover. What stays with me is not doubt about the clinical judgment but gratitude for the lesson she unknowingly taught me.

She reminded me that the authority entrusted to psychiatrists carries responsibilities that extend beyond legal criteria and diagnostic formulations. It demands humility. It demands reflection. Above all, it demands that we never allow the exercise of power to become emotionally effortless.

Perhaps one of the most important lessons in psychiatric training is not simply learning when to sign the form.

It is learning never to lose the pause that comes before it.

Acknowledgements: none

Competing Interests: none

Funding and Support: none

Address for Correspondence: Email: devinamaya@gmail.com

Publication Date: Sept 10, 2026