

RENEWAL PROJECT: PSH

Factor #	Factor	How Evaluated	Max Points	Points Breakdown
A	Grant and Financial Management		20	
A1	Audited Financial statements	Ranking Committee will review Audited Financial Statements for FY ending 6/30/2025. Submission of audit is a threshold requirement for application, applications will not be reviewed without audit submission.	4	Audit w/ no findings for a FY ending 6/30/25 or more recent = 4 points Findings in the past 2 years with documented resolution plan = 2 points Audit not recent or has unaddressed findings = 0 points
A2	CoC or ESG Monitoring	If applicable Ranking Committee will review Monitoring reports and resolution strategies.	4	Monitoring w/ no findings in last 3 years or monitoring not required = 4 points Findings in the past 2 years with documented resolution plan = 2 points Monitoring not within last 3 years or has unaddressed findings or not submitted = 0 points
A3	Expenditure of Grant Funds	Facilitator will review APR expenditure data and submitted applicant comments. Facilitator will determine reasonableness of applicant explanation for expended funds less than 100%.	4	Grant 95-100% expended = 4 points Grant 0-94% expended = 0 points Up to 2 points back with reasonable explanation for unexpended funds
A4	Regular ELOCCs Draw Downs	Facilitator will review applicant submitted ELOCCS draws documentation.	4	Draws completed at least once every quarter = 4 points Draws completed at least 4 times in the grant year, but not every quarter = 2 points Draws completed fewer than 3 times in the grant year = 0 points
A5	Timely APR Submission	Facilitator will review applicant submitted APR submission documentation.	4	On time submission = 4 points Within 30 days of due date = 2 points More than 30 days late = 0 points
B	Collaboration and Participation		4	
B2	CoC Participation	The Collaborative Applicant will confirm GRCoC participation in general meetings, committees, and or workgroups.	2	Staff participates in 1 or more committees/ workgroups = 2 points No participation = 0 points
B3	Point In Time Count Involvement	The Collaborative Applicant will review PIT Participation records from 2025 Winter and Summer PIT Counts and/or Housing Inventory Count (HIC).	2	Winter and Summer = 2 points Winter or Summer = 1 point No involvement = 0 points
C	HMIS and Comparable Database Quality		6	
C1	Data Quality- destination	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored Q 6c for all projects.	2	All project types: 2% or less = 2 points More than 2% = 0 points
C2	Data Quality- Income at entry	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored Q 6c for all projects.	2	All project types: 2% or less = 2 points More than 2% = 0 points
C3	Data Quality- Income at exit	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored Q 6c for all projects.	2	All project types: 2% or less = 2 points More than 2% = 0 points
D	HUD and Local Policy Priorities		35	
D1	Wrap-around service quality	Ranking Committee will review submitted policies and procedures sections and score applicant narrative describing the organization's provision of on-site behavioral health, assessing program participant need for higher care, and formalized move-on strategy.	9	Strong response = 7 to 9 points Average response = 4 to 6 points Weak response = 3 points or less
D2	Responsiveness to changing community needs	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing current and/or planned efforts remain responsive to changing community needs.	7	Strong response = 6 to 7 points Average response = 4 to 5 points Weak response = 3 points or less
D3	Disability, Health, and Treatment & Recovery Resources	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing efforts to provide or partner with resources that provide disability, behavioral health, and treatment & recovery resources for program participants	8	Strong response = 7 to 8 points Average response = 4 to 6 points Weak response = 3 points or less
D4	Improving economic self-sufficiency	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing their effectiveness in increasing economic self-sufficiency.	6	Strong response = 5 to 6 points Average response = 3 to 4 points Weak response = 2 points or less
D5	Mandatory Services Agreement	The ranking committee will review submitted supportive services participation requirement forms and description of how mandatory service participation fits into program design, in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75(h)	5	Service requirement form submitted = 5 points Service requirement form not submitted = 0 Points
E	Objective Project Performance		35	
E1	Obtain or Maintains Permanent housing	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored from Q5 & Q23C	10	PSH maintains housing: 90+ = 10 points 75% to 89% = 6 points Below 75% = 0 Points
E2	Returns from Project Back to Homelessness	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored from Question 23C.	9	PSH: 10% or below = 9 points 11% to 20% = 4 points More than 20% = 0 points
E3	Maintains or Increases Employment Income	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored from Question 19 A1 & A2 for all projects.	9	ALL: 25% or above = 9 points 15% to 24% = 4 points Less than 15% = 0 Points

E4	Cost Efficiency	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored from Question 5a1,5,&8; and total project budget from most recently completed FY award. The calculation will determine the average cost per person served per day, weighted for median length of stay for both leavers and stayers.	7	ALL: Most cost efficient of project type: 7 points Projects will receive a 1 point deduction for their cost effectiveness relative to most cost efficient project submitted for that component. Submitted projects will only be compared to submitted projects of the same component type. Projects may submit a narrative description to address cost effectiveness metric performance for Ranking Committee consideration, but the scoring will remain objective.
TOTAL POINTS			100	
F	Bonus Points		25	
F1	Voluntary Reallocation	Projects that voluntarily reallocate a portion of their grant will receive bonus points.	10	15% or more of grant = 10 points 11 to 14% of grant = 4 points 10% or less of grant = 3 points
F2	NOFO Ranking History	Organization has demonstrated capacity to perform competitively in local CoC competitions for the last 3 years. Points will be awarded to renewing projects that have been wholly or partially placed in tier 1 consistently for the last 3 years.	15	ALL: Project placed in tier 1 for last 3 years= 15 Project not placed in tier 1 for last 3 years=0

Approved by GRCoC Ranking
Committee, 6.18.2026

RENEWAL PROJECT: SSO-CE

Factor #	Factor Name	Evaluation Criteria	Max Points	Points Breakdown
A Grant and Financial Management			20	
A1	Audited Financial statements	Ranking Committee will review Audited Financial Statements for FY ending 6/30/2025. Submission of audit is a threshold requirement for application, applications will not be reviewed without audit submission.	4	Audit w/ no findings for a FY ending 6/30/25 or more recent = 4 points Findings in the past 2 years with documented resolution plan = 2 points Audit not recent or has unaddressed findings = 0 points
A2	CoC or ESG Monitoring	If applicable Ranking Committee will review Monitoring reports and resolution strategies.	4	Monitoring w/ no findings in last 3 years or monitoring not required = 4 points Findings in the past 2 years with documented resolution plan = 2 points Monitoring not within last 3 years or has unaddressed findings or not submitted = 0 points
A3	Expenditure of Grant Funds	Facilitator will review APR expenditure data and submitted applicant comments. Facilitator will determine reasonableness of applicant explanation for expended funds less than 100%.	4	Grant 95-100% expended = 4 points Grant 0-94% expended = 0 points Up to 2 points back with reasonable explanation for unexpended funds
A4	Regular ELOCCs Draw Downs	Facilitator will review applicant submitted ELOCCS draws documentation.	4	Draws completed at least once every quarter = 4 points Draws completed at least 4 times in the grant year, but not every quarter = 2 points Draws completed fewer than 3 times in the grant year = 0 points
A5	Timely APR Submission	Facilitator will review applicant submitted APR submission documentation.	4	On time submission = 4 points Within 30 days of due date = 2 points More than 30 days late = 0 points
B Collaboration and Participation			7	
B1	DV Collaboration	Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative response describing how project works with DV providers to manage referrals quickly and safely, especially for victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking and human trafficking.	3	Strong response = 3 points Average response = 2 points Weak response = 1 point or less
B2	CoC Participation	The Collaborative Applicant will confirm GRCoC participation in general meetings, committees, and or workgroups.	2	Staff participates in 1 or more committees/ workgroups = 2 points No participation = 0 points
B3	Point In Time Count Involvement	The Collaborative Applicant will review PIT Participation records from 2025 Winter and Summer PIT Counts and/or Housing Inventory Count (HIC).	2	Winter and Summer = 2 points Winter or Summer = 1 point No involvement = 0 points
C HUD and Local Policy Priorities			38	
C1	Reducing referral time	Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing current and/or planned efforts to reduce times between assessment, referral, and matching to resources.	10	Strong response = 7 to 10 points Average response = 4 to 6 points Weak response = 3 points or less
C2	Responsiveness to changing community needs	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing current and/or planned efforts to remain responsive to changing community needs.	7	Strong response = 7 points Average response = 4 to 6 points Weak response = 3 points or less
C3	Disability, Health, and Treatment & Recovery Resources	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing efforts to partner with resources that provide disability, behavioral health, and treatment & recovery resources for projects that receive CE referrals.	8	Strong response = 7 to 8 points Average response = 4 to 6 points Weak response = 3 points or less
C4	Improving system coordination	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing how the CE ensures that completed referrals result in project enrollment and housing.	7	Strong response = 7 points Average response = 4 to 6 points Weak response = 3 points or less
C5	Fulfills CES Responsibilities	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing how the project ensures compliance with CES responsibilities.	6	Strong response = 5 to 6 points Average response = 4 points Weak response = 3 points or less
D Objective Project Performance			35	
D1	Covers 100% of geographic	Applicants must confirm that the Coordinated Entry system is easily available and reachable for all persons, including persons with disabilities, within the CoC's geographic area who are seeking homelessness assistance.	10	Confirm 100% = 10 points Not confirm 100% = 0 points
D2	Standardized Assessment Process	Applicants must confirm that the Coordinated Entry system has a standardized assessment process.	7	Confirm = 7 points Not confirm = 0 points
D3	Provides referrals to 100% of CES required projects	Applicants must confirm that the Coordinated Entry system provided referrals to 100% of the CES required projects.	10	Confirm = 10 points Not confirm = 0 points
D4	Ensures Program participants are directed to appropriate services	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing how the project ensures program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs.	8	Confirm = 8 points Not Confirm = 0 points
TOTAL POINTS			100	
F Bonus Points			25	
F1	Voluntary Reallocation	Projects that voluntarily reallocate a portion of their grant will receive bonus points.	10	15% or more of grant = 5 points 11 to 14% of grant = 4 points 10% or less of grant = 3 points
F2	NOFO Ranking History	Organization has demonstrated capacity to perform competitively in local CoC competitions for the last 3 years. Points will be awarded to renewing projects that have been wholly or partially placed in tier 1 consistently for the last 3 years.	15	ALL: Project placed in tier 1 for last 3 years= 10 Project not placed in tier 1 for last 3 years=0

RENEWAL PROJECT: HMIS

Factor #	Factor Name	Evaluation Criteria	Max Points	Points Breakdown
A	Grant and Financial Management		20	
A1	Audited Financial statements	Ranking Committee will review Audited Financial Statements for FY ending 6/30/2025. Submission of audit is a threshold requirement for application, applications will not be reviewed without audit submission.	4	Audit w/ no findings for a FY ending 6/30/25 or more recent = 4 points Findings in the past 2 years with documented resolution plan = 2 points Audit not recent or has unaddressed findings = 0 points
A2	CoC or ESG Monitoring	If applicable Ranking Committee will review Monitoring reports and resolution strategies.	4	Monitoring w/ no findings in last 3 years or monitoring not required = 4 points Findings in the past 2 years with documented resolution plan = 2 points Monitoring not within last 3 years or has unaddressed findings or not submitted = 0 points
A3	Expenditure of Grant Funds	Facilitator will review APR expenditure data and submitted applicant comments. Facilitator will determine reasonableness of applicant explanation for expended funds less than 100%.	4	Grant 95-100% expended = 4 points Grant 0-94% expended = 0 points Up to 2 points back with reasonable explanation for unexpended funds
A4	Regular ELOCCs Draw Downs	Facilitator will review applicant submitted ELOCCS draws documentation.	4	Draws completed at least once every quarter = 4 points Draws completed at least 4 times in the grant year, but not every quarter = 2 points Draws completed fewer than 3 times in the grant year = 0 points
A5	Timely APR Submission	Facilitator will review applicant submitted APR submission documentation.	4	On time submission = 4 points Within 30 days of due date = 2 points More than 30 days late = 0 points
B	Collaboration and Participation		7	
B1	Collaboration and Data Sharing	Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative response describing how project works with local stakeholders to share appropriate data to ensure a community response to homelessness.	3	Strong response = 3 points Average response = 2 points Weak response = 1 point or less
B2	CoC Participation	The Collaborative Applicant will confirm GRCoC participation in general meetings, committees, and or workgroups.	2	Staff participates in 1 or more committees/workgroups = 2 points No participation = 0 points
B3	Point In Time Count Involvement	The Collaborative Applicant will review PIT Participation records from 2025 Winter and Summer PIT Counts and/or Housing Inventory Count (HIC).	2	Winter and Summer = 2 points Winter or Summer = 1 point No involvement = 0 points
C	Timely Submission of reports		35	
C1	Timely Submission of reports	Applicants must document the timely submission of reports including, Housing Inventory (HIC), Point-in-Time (PIT), Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA), and FY24 System Performance Measures.	35	Submitted 4= 35 points Submitted 3 = 20 points Submitted 2 = 15 points Submitted 1 = 5 points 0 = 0 points
D	HUD + Local Priorities		20	
D1	Responsiveness to changing community needs	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing current and/or planned efforts to remain responsive to changing community needs.	10	Strong response = 8 to 10 points Average response = 5-7 points Weak response = 0-4 points or less
D2	Fulfilling HMIS responsibilities	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing how the project fulfills the responsibilities of HMIS compliance.	10	Strong response = 8 to 10 points Average response = 5-7 points Weak response = 0-4 points or less
E	Objective HMIS Functionality		18	
E1	Collects all required elements	Applicants must confirm that the HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements as set forth in the HMIS Data Standards.	6	Confirm = 6 points Not confirm = 0 points
E2	Un-duplicate client records	Applicants must confirm that the ability of the HMIS to de-duplicate client records.	6	Confirm = 6 points Not confirm = 0 points
E3	Produces all required reports	Applicants must confirm that the HMIS produces all HUD required reports and provides data as needed for HUD reporting (e.g., APR, quarterly reports, data for CAPER/ ESG reporting) and other reports required by other federal partners.	6	Confirm = 6 points Not confirm = 0 points
	TOTAL POINTS		100	
F	Bonus Points		25	
F1	Voluntary Reallocation	Projects that voluntarily reallocate a portion of their grant will receive bonus points.	10	15% or more of grant = 5 points 11 to 14% of grant = 4 points 10% or less of grant = 3 points

F2	NOFO Ranking History	Organization has demonstrated capacity to perform competitively in local CoC competitions for the last 3 years. Points will be awarded to renewing projects that have been wholly or partially placed in tier 1 consistently for the last 3 years.	15	ALL: Project placed in tier 1 for last 3 years= 10 Project not placed in tier 1 for last 3 years=0
----	----------------------	--	----	--

Approved by GRCoC
Ranking Committee,
6.18.2026

NEW PROJECT: TRANSITIONAL HOUSING

Factor #	Factor Name	Evaluation Criteria	Max Points	Points Breakdown
A	Applicant Capacity		20	
A1	Experience Serving Target Population	The applicant must confirm the organization's experience working with the proposed target population for the proposed project, including any relevant expertise with the specific subpopulation to be served.	4	Has experience = 4 points Has no experience = 1 point or less
A2	Experience Operating a Comparable Program	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing agency (and subrecipient if applicable) experience with comparable projects, including experience with program operations and service delivery.	4	Strong response = 4 points Average response = 2 to 3 points Weak response = 1 point or less
A3	Experience providing service/housing as part of a coordinated system of care	Applicant must confirm agency (and subrecipient if applicable) has experience delivering programs within a CoC or comparable system.	4	Experience with CoC or comparable system: 4 points No experience: 0 point
A4	Experience Managing Federal or Other Complex Funding	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing the agency's experience and capacity in leveraging and managing Federal funding or other sources.	4	Strong response = 4 points Average response = 2 to 3 points Weak response = 1 point or less
A5	Financial Management Capacity	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing the organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) financial management structure. Score will also factor in financial audit, with a recent, clean audit (and/or fully resolved findings) part of a high score.	4	Strong response = 4 points Average response = 2 to 3 points Weak response = 1 point or less
B	Collaboration and Participation (Objective)		4	
B1	CoC Participation	The Collaborative Applicant will confirm GRCoC participation in general meetings, committees, and or workgroups.	2	Staff participates in 1 or more committees/workgroups = 2 points No participation = 0 points
B2	Point In Time Count Involvement	The Collaborative Applicant will review PIT Participation records from 2025 Winter and Summer PIT Counts and/or Housing Inventory Count (HIC).	2	Winter and Summer = 2 points Winter or Summer = 1 point No involvement = 0 points
C	HUD and Local Policy Priorities		26	
C1	Wrap-around service quality	Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing current and/or planned efforts to develop robust wrap-around services, including partnerships with workforce development partners and obtaining positive housing destinations for exiting clients.	7	Strong response = 5 to 7 points Average response = 3 to 4 points Weak response = 2 points or less
C2	Responsiveness to changing community needs	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing current and/or planned efforts to remain responsive to changing community needs.	6	Strong response = 6 points Average response = 4 to 5 points Weak response = 3 points or less
C3	Disability, Health, and Treatment & Recovery Resources	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing efforts to provide or partner with resources that provide disability and behavioral health for program participants	8	Strong response = 7 to 8 points Average response = 4 to 6 points Weak response = 3 points or less
C4	Mandatory Services Agreement	The ranking committee will review submitted supportive services participation requirement forms and description of how mandatory service participation fits into program design, in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75(h)	5	Service requirement form submitted = 5 points Service requirement form not submitted = 0 Points
D	HMIS and Comparable Database Quality		6	
D1	Data Quality- destination	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored Q 6c for all projects.	2	All project types: 2% or less = 2 points More than 2% = 0 points
D2	Data Quality- Income at entry	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored Q 6c for all projects.	2	All project types: 2% or less = 2 points More than 2% = 0 points
D3	Data Quality- Income at exit	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored Q 6c for all projects.	2	All project types: 2% or less = 2 points More than 2% = 0 points
E	Objective Project Performance		35	
The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR for the existing project being replaced using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. Projects transitioning to TH will use their PSH, RRH, or TH/RRH data from the previous calendar year. New applicants not currently using HMIS and DV providers may submit HMIS-comparable data from calendar year 2025 to be scored by the Collaborative Applicant. See competition P&P for more details.				
E1	Obtain or Maintains Permanent housing	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR for the existing project being replaced using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored from Q5 & Q23C for PSH projects and Q23C for RRH, Joint TH/RRH projects.	10	PSH maintains housing: 90+% = 10 points 75% to 89% = 6 points Below 75% = 0 Points RRH, Joint TH/RRH, TH obtains housing: 70+% = 10 points 50% to 69% = 6 points Below 50% = 0 Points
E2	Returns from Project Back to Homelessness	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored from Question 23C for all projects.	9	PSH: 10% or below = 9 points 11% to 20% = 4 points More than 20% = 0 points RRH, Joint TH/RRH, TH: 25% or below = 9 points 35% to 26% = 4 points More than 35% = 0 points

E3	Maintains or Increases Employment Income	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored from Question 19 A1 & A2 for all projects.	9	ALL: 40% or above = 9 points 25% to 39% = 4 points Less than 25% = 0 Points
E4	Cost Efficiency	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored from Question 5a1,5,&8; and total project budget from most recently completed FY award. The calculation will determine the average cost per person served per day, weighted for median length of stay for both leavers and stayers.	7	ALL: Most cost efficient of project type: 7 points Projects will receive a 1 point deduction for their cost effectiveness relative to most cost efficient project submitted for that component. Submitted projects will only be compared to submitted projects of the same component type. Projects may submit a narrative description to address cost effectiveness metric performance for Ranking Committee consideration, but the scoring will remain objective.
F	Project-Specific Criteria: Transitional Housing		9	
F1	Exiting within 24 months	The applicant has prior experience operating transitional housing or other projects that have successfully helped homeless individuals and families exit homelessness within 24 months. Responses should include program elements designed to support long-term economic self-sufficiency.	3	Prior experience = 3 point no prior experience = 0 points
F2	Person-centered supportive services	Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing how the project will provide 20 hours of customized services. This response should specifically describe plans to meet HUD requirements for 20 hours of mandatory supportive service participation.	3	Strong response = 3 points Average response = 2 points Weak response = 1 points
F3	Treatment and Recovery Services Availability	Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing current and/or future plans to provide on-site substance use treatment. This response should specifically describe whether the project requires substance abuse treatment services or as a condition of continued participation in the program in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75, and how many CoC-funded units/beds under this project require treatment participation. Project may also describe partnerships to provide on-site services or connect participants with appropriate community-based services.	3	Service Availability: 3 points No Services Availability = 0 points
TOTAL POINTS			100	
G	Bonus Points		25	
G1	HUD Opportunity Zones	Projects that can demonstrate more than 50% of the project will operate in a HUD-opportunity zone	5	ALL: Opportunity zone: 5 points Not opportunity zone: 0 points
G2	Transition/ Replacement Projects	Project is requesting a transition grant or is a YHDP replacement project	10	ALL: Transition or replacement Project: 10 points Not transition or replacement project: 0 points

Approved by GRCoC Ranking
Committee, 6.18.2026

NEW PROJECT: SSO STANDALONE

Factor #	Factor Name	Evaluation Criteria	Max Points	Points Breakdown
A	Application Capacity		20	
A1	Experience Serving Target Population	The applicant must confirm the organization's experience working with the proposed target population for the proposed project, including any relevant expertise with the specific subpopulation to be served.	4	Has experience = 4 points Has no experience = 1 point or less
A2	Experience Operating a Comparable Program	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing agency (and subrecipient if applicable) experience with comparable projects, including experience with program operations and service delivery.	4	Strong response = 4 points Average response = 2 to 3 points Weak response = 1 point or less
A3	Experience providing service/housing as part of a coordinated system of care	Applicant must confirm agency (and subrecipient if applicable) has experience delivering programs within a CoC or comparable system.	4	Experience with CoC or comparable system: 4 points No experience: 0 point
A4	Experience Managing Federal or Other Complex Funding	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing the agency's experience and capacity in leveraging and managing Federal funding or other sources.	4	Strong response = 4 points Average response = 2 to 3 points Weak response = 1 point or less
A5	Financial Management Capacity	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing the organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) financial management structure. Score will also factor in financial audit, with a clean audit (and/or fully resolved findings) part of a high score.	4	Strong response = 4 points Average response = 2 to 3 points Weak response = 1 point or less
B	Collaboration and Participation		4	
B2	CoC Participation	The Collaborative Applicant will confirm GRCoC participation in general meetings, committees, and or workgroups.	2	Staff participates in 1 or more committees/workgroups = 2 points No participation = 0 points
B3	Point In Time Count Involvement	The Collaborative Applicant will review PIT Participation records from 2025 Winter and Summer PIT Counts and/or Housing Inventory Count (HIC).	2	Winter and Summer = 2 points Winter or Summer = 1 point No involvement = 0 points
C	HUD and Local Policy Priorities		29	
C1	Wrap-around service quality	Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing current and/or planned efforts to develop partnerships with workforce development partners and obtain positive housing destinations for unsheltered clients.	9	Strong response = 7 to 9 points Average response = 4 to 6 points Weak response = 3 points or less
C2	Responsiveness to changing community needs	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing current and/or planned efforts to remain responsive to changing community needs.	6	Strong response = 6 points Average response = 4 to 5 points Weak response = 3 points or less
C3	Disability, Health, and Treatment & Recovery Resources	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing efforts to provide or partner with resources that provide disability, behavioral health, and treatment & recovery resources for program participants	8	Strong response = 7 to 8 points Average response = 4 to 6 points Weak response = 3 points or less
C4	Improving economic self-sufficiency	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing their effectiveness in increasing economic self-sufficiency.	6	Strong response = 6 points Average response = 4 to 5 points Weak response = 3 points or less
D	HMIS and Comparable Database Quality		6	
D1	Data Quality- destination	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored Q 6c for all projects.	2	All project types: 2% or less = 2 points More than 2% = 0 points
D2	Data Quality- Income at entry	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored Q 6c for all projects.	2	All project types: 2% or less = 2 points More than 2% = 0 points
D3	Data Quality- Income at exit	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored Q 6c for all projects.	2	All project types: 2% or less = 2 points More than 2% = 0 points
E	Objective Project Performance		35	
The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR for the existing project being replaced using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. Projects transitioning to SSO will use their PSH, RRH, or TH/RRH data from the previous calendar year. YHDP Replacement SSO applications combining multiple projects will use HMIS data from the applicant's YHDP project. New applicants not currently using HMIS and DV providers may submit HMIS-comparable data from calendar year 2025 to be scored by the Collaborative Applicant. See competition P&P for more details.				
E1	Obtain Positive Housing Destination	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored from Q5 & Q23C for PSH projects and Q23C for RRH, Joint TH/RRH projects. Projects transitioning to SSO will use their HMIS data from the previous calendar year.	10	SSO exiting to positive housing destinations: 70+% = 10 points 50% to 69% = 6 points Below 50% = 0 Points
E2	Returns from Project Back to Homelessness	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored from Question 23C for all projects.	9	SSO: 25% or below = 9 points 35% to 24% = 4 points More than 35% = 0 points

E3	Maintains or Increases Employment Income	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored from Question 19 A1 & A2 for all projects.	9	ALL: 40% or above = 9 points 25% to 39% = 4 points Less than 25% = 0 Points
E4	Cost Efficiency	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored from Question 5a1,5,&8; and total project budget from most recently completed FY award. The calculation will determine the average cost per person served per day, weighted for median length of stay for both leavers and stayers.	7	ALL: Most cost efficient of project type: 7 points Projects will receive a 1 point deduction for their cost effectiveness relative to most cost efficient project submitted for that component. Submitted projects will only be compared to submitted projects of the same component type. Projects may submit a narrative description to address cost effectiveness metric performance for Ranking Committee consideration, but the scoring will remain objective.
F	Project-Specific Criteria: SSO Standalone		6	
F1	Increasing economic self-sufficiency	Ranking committee will score applicant narrative response describing that the Supportive Services project is necessary to assist people in exiting homelessness and increasing self-sufficiency.	2	Strong response = 2 points Average response = 1 points Weak response = 0 points
F2	Engagement Strategy	Ranking committee will score applicant narrative response describing the strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services.	2	Strong response = 2 points Average response = 1 points Weak response = 0 points
F3	Accessing mainstream resources	Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative response describing mainstream resource supplementation.	2	Strong response = 2 points Average response = 1 points Weak response = 0 points
	TOTAL POINTS		100	
G	Bonus Points		15	
G1	HUD Opportunity Zones	Projects that can demonstrate more than 50% of the project will operate in a HUD-opportunity zone	5	ALL: Opportunity zone: 5 points Not opportunity zone: 0 points
G2	Transition/ Replacement Projects	Project is requesting a transition grant or is a YHDP replacement project	10	ALL: Transition or replacement Project: 10 points Not transition or replacement project: 0 points

Approved by GRCOC Ranking
Committee, 6.18.2026

NEW PROJECT: SSO-SO

Factor #	Factor Name	Evaluation Criteria	Max Points	Points Breakdown
A	Application Capacity		20	
A1	Experience Serving Target Population	The applicant must confirm the organization's experience working with the proposed target population for the proposed project, including any relevant expertise with the specific subpopulation to be served.	4	Has experience = 4 points Has no experience = 1 point or less
A2	Experience Operating a Comparable Program	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing agency (and subrecipient if applicable) experience with comparable projects, including experience with program operations and service delivery.	4	Strong response = 4 points Average response = 2 to 3 points Weak response = 1 point or less
A3	Experience providing service/housing as part of a coordinated system of care	Applicant must confirm agency (and subrecipient if applicable) has experience delivering programs within a CoC or comparable system.	4	Experience with CoC or comparable system: 4 points No experience: 0 point
A4	Experience Managing Federal or Other Complex Funding	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing the agency's experience and capacity in leveraging and managing Federal funding or other sources.	4	Strong response = 4 points Average response = 2 to 3 points Weak response = 1 point or less
A5	Financial Management Capacity	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing the organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) financial management structure. Score will also factor in financial audit, with a clean audit (and/or fully resolved findings) part of a high score.	4	Strong response = 4 points Average response = 2 to 3 points Weak response = 1 point or less
B	Collaboration and Participation		4	
B2	CoC Participation	The Collaborative Applicant will confirm GRCoC participation in general meetings, committees, and or workgroups.	2	Staff participates in 1 or more committees/workgroups = 2 points No participation = 0 points
B3	Point In Time Count Involvement	The Collaborative Applicant will review PIT Participation records from 2025 Winter and Summer PIT Counts and/or Housing Inventory Count (HIC).	2	Winter and Summer = 2 points Winter or Summer = 1 point No involvement = 0 points
C	HUD and Local Policy Priorities		29	
C1	Wrap-around service quality	Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing current and/or planned efforts to develop partnerships with workforce development partners and obtain positive housing destinations for unsheltered clients.	8	Strong response = 7 to 8 points Average response = 4 to 6 points Weak response = 3 points or less
C2	Responsiveness to changing community needs	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing current and/or planned efforts to remain responsive to changing community needs.	6	Strong response = 6 points Average response = 4 to 5 points Weak response = 3 points or less
C3	Disability, Health, and Treatment & Recovery Resources	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing efforts to provide or partner with resources that provide disability, behavioral health, and treatment & recovery resources for program participants	8	Strong response = 7 to 8 points Average response = 4 to 6 points Weak response = 3 points or less
C4	Improving economic self-sufficiency	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing their effectiveness in increasing economic self-sufficiency.	7	Strong response = 7 points Average response = 4 to 6 points Weak response = 3 points or less
D	HMIS and Comparable Database Quality		6	
D1	Data Quality- destination	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored Q 6c for all projects.	2	All project types: 2% or less = 2 points More than 2% = 0 points
D2	Data Quality- Income at entry	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored Q 6c for all projects.	2	All project types: 2% or less = 2 points More than 2% = 0 points
D3	Data Quality- Income at exit	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored Q 6c for all projects.	2	All project types: 2% or less = 2 points More than 2% = 0 points
E	Objective Project Performance		35	
The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR for the existing project being replaced using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. Projects transitioning to SSO-SO will use their SSO-CE, PSH, RRH, or TH/RRH data from the previous calendar year. New applicants not currently using HMIS and DV providers may submit HMIS-comparable data from calendar year 2025 to be scored by the Collaborative Applicant. See competition P&P for more details.				
E1	Obtains Positive Housing Destination	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored from Q5 & Q23C for PSH projects and Q23C for RRH, Joint TH/RRH projects. Projects transitioning to SSO will use their HMIS data from the previous calendar year.	10	SSO exiting to positive housing destinations: 70+% = 10 points 50% to 69% = 6 points Below 50% = 0 Points

E2	Returns from Project Back to Homelessness	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored from Question 23C for all projects.	9	SSO: 25% or below = 9 points 35% to 24% = 4 points More than 35% = 0 points
E3	Maintains or Increases Employment Income	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored from Question 19 A1 & A2 for all projects.	9	ALL: 40% or above = 9 points 25% to 39% = 4 points Less than 25% = 0 Points
E4	Cost Efficiency	The Collaborative Applicant staff will run a project APR using calendar year 2025 as the performance period. This factor will be scored from Question 5a1,5,&8; and total project budget from most recently completed FY award. The calculation will determine the average cost per person served per day, weighted for median length of stay for both leavers and stayers.	7	ALL: Most cost efficient of project type: 7 points Projects will receive a 1 point deduction for their cost effectiveness relative to most cost efficient project submitted for that component. Submitted projects will only be compared to submitted projects of the same component type. Projects may submit a narrative description to address cost effectiveness metric performance for Ranking Committee consideration, but the scoring will remain objective.
F	Project-Specific Criteria: SSO Street Outreach		6	
F1	Outreach Experience	Ranking committee will score applicant narrative describing experience providing outreach services consistent with the activity description at 24 CFR 578.53(e)(13).	2	Strong response = 2 points Average response = 1 points Weak response = 0 points
F2	Engagement Strategy	Ranking committee will score applicant narrative describing the proposed project has a strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services.	2	Strong response = 2 points Average response = 1 points Weak response = 0 points
F3	Partnering with First-Responders & Law Enforcement	Ranking committee will score applicant narrative describing history of partnering with first responders and law enforcement to engage people living in places not meant for human habitation to access emergency shelter, treatment programs, reunification with family, transitional housing or independent living. The applicant must cooperate, assist, and not interfere or impede with law enforcement to enforce local laws such as public camping and public drug use laws.	2	Strong history = 2 points No confirmed history = 0 points
	TOTAL POINTS		100	
G	Bonus Points		15	
G1	HUD Opportunity Zones	Projects that can demonstrate more than 50% of the project will operate in a HUD-opportunity zone	5	ALL: Opportunity zone: 5 points Not opportunity zone: 0 points
G2	Transition/ Replacement Projects	Project is requesting a transition grant or is a YHDP replacement project	10	ALL: Transition or replacement Project: 10 points Not transition or replacement project: 0 points

Approved by GRCoC Ranking
Committee, 6.18.2026

Factor #	Factor Name	Evaluation Criteria	Max Points	Points Breakdown
A	Application Capacity		20	
A1	Experience Serving Target Population	The applicant must confirm the organization's experience working with the proposed target population for the proposed project, including any relevant expertise with the specific subpopulation to be served.	4	Has experience = 4 points Has no experience = 1 point or less
A2	Experience Operating a Comparable Program	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing agency (and subrecipient if applicable) experience with comparable projects, including experience with program operations and service delivery.	4	Strong response = 4 points Average response = 2 to 3 points Weak response = 1 point or less
A3	Experience providing service/housing as part of a coordinated system of care	Applicant must confirm agency (and subrecipient if applicable) has experience delivering programs within a CoC or comparable system.	4	Experience with CoC or comparable system: 4 points No experience: 0 point
A4	Experience Managing Federal or Other Complex Funding	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing the agency's experience and capacity in leveraging and managing Federal funding or other sources.	4	Strong response = 4 points Average response = 2 to 3 points Weak response = 1 point or less
A5	Financial Management Capacity	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing the organization's (and subrecipient(s) if applicable) financial management structure. Score will also factor in financial audit, with a clean audit (and/or fully resolved findings) part of a high score.	4	Strong response = 4 points Average response = 2 to 3 points Weak response = 1 point or less
B	Collaboration and Participation		7	
B1	Coordinated Entry Collaboration	Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative response describing how project works with Coordinated Entry to manage referrals quickly and safely, especially for victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking and human trafficking.	3	Strong response = 3 points Average response = 2 points Weak response = 1 point or less
B2	CoC Participation	The Collaborative Applicant will confirm GRCoC participation in general meetings, committees, and or workgroups.	2	Staff participates in 1 or more committees/ workgroups = 2 points No participation = 0 points
B3	Point In Time Count Involvement	The Collaborative Applicant will review PIT Participation records from 2025 Winter and Summer PIT Counts and/or Housing Inventory Count (HIC).	2	Winter and Summer = 2 points Winter or Summer = 1 point No involvement = 0 points
C	HUD and Local Policy Priorities		38	
C1	Reducing referral time	Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing current and/or planned efforts to reduce times between assessment, referral, and matching to resources.	10	Strong response = 7 to 10 points Average response = 4 to 6 points Weak response = 3 points or less
C2	Responsiveness to changing community needs	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing current and/or planned efforts to remain responsive to changing community needs.	7	Strong response = 7 points Average response = 4 to 6 points Weak response = 3 points or less
C3	Emergency Transfer Plan	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing current and/or planned efforts to develop or operate HUD required Emergency Transfer Planning activities.	8	Strong response = 7 to 8 points Average response = 4 to 6 points Weak response = 3 points or less
C4	Improving system coordination	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing how the CE ensures that completed referrals result in project enrollment and housing.	7	Strong response = 7 points Average response = 4 to 6 points Weak response = 3 points or less
C5	Fulfills CES Responsibilities	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing how the project ensures compliance with CES responsibilities.	6	Strong response = 5 to 6 points Average response = 4 points Weak response = 3 points or less
D	Objective Project Performance		35	
D1	Covers 100% of geographic	Applicants must confirm that the Coordinated Entry system is easily available and reachable for all persons, including persons with disabilities, within the CoC's geographic area who are seeking homelessness	10	Confirm 100% = 10 points Not confirm 100% = 0 points
D2	Standardized Assessment Process	Applicants must confirm that the Coordinated Entry system has a standardized assessment process.	7	Confirm = 7 points Not confirm = 0 points
D3	Provides referrals to 100% of CES required projects	Applicants must confirm that the Coordinated Entry system provided referrals to 100% of the CES required projects.	10	Confirm = 10 points Not confirm = 0 points
D4	Ensures Program participants are directed to appropriate services	The Ranking Committee will score applicant narrative describing how the project ensures program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs.	8	Confirm = 8 points Not Confirm = 0 points
	TOTAL POINTS		100	
E	Bonus Points		15	
E1	HUD Opportunity Zones	Projects that can demonstrate more than 50% of the project will operate in a HUD-opportunity zone	5	ALL: Opportunity zone: 5 points Not opportunity zone: 0 points
E2	Transition/ Replacement Projects	Project is requesting a transition grant or is a YHDP replacement project	10	ALL: Transition or replacement Project: 10 points Not transition or replacement project: 0 points