



OFF WALL STREET

August 24, 2026

OWS Notes: Lindblad Expeditions Holdings (LIND)

TLDR: LIND is more differentiated than it appears. Its smaller ships enable a more immersive expedition experience, while the Disney partnership provides a unique customer acquisition advantage. These factors create meaningful differentiation from smaller experiential cruise competitors and larger luxury-cruise peers.

Summary: We initially viewed Lindblad as vulnerable to intensifying competition, as niche “educational cruise” offerings seemed easily replicable by new entrants. Competitive threats, coupled with a margin headwind resulting from contract renegotiations with Disney, appeared to support a potentially compelling short thesis. However, further research suggests LIND has two sustainable competitive advantages: smaller ships that enable a more immersive expedition experience and a robust lead generation pipeline from the Disney partnership.

Competitive threats became more pronounced in recent years as larger cruise operators, notably Viking, began expanding their fleets with a focus on luxury vessels capable of withstanding harsher polar conditions. Concurrently, operators discounted trips to polar and other “bucket-list” destinations, seemingly aiming to replicate LIND’s unique offerings and take market share. However, competitors appear to have underestimated the importance of smaller guest capacities in new ship builds.

LIND’s fleet of 23 owned and chartered ships each have a maximum capacity of ~148 guests. Comparatively, larger operators such as Viking and Silversea (RCL) operate competing voyages using vessels with ~3x the capacity. This results in a less immersive travel experience due to legal limitations constraining the number of travelers permitted to disembark at certain locations. Per International Association of Antarctica Tour Operators (IAATO) bylaws, no more than 100 visitors can be ashore at any one site at the same time, and vessels carrying more than 500 passengers are prohibited from making landings. This allows LIND to offer a “boots-on-the-ground” experience that is unique among Antarctic cruise operators.

Smaller ships also support a more differentiated education-first experience. While other expedition cruises provide a variety of experiential and educational offerings, including on-board laboratories, field experts accompanying the crew, etc., LIND benefits from the National Geographic brand and a superior expert-to-passenger ratio. This fosters an “education-first” environment and reputation that competitors cannot match. As a result, larger cruise ships are forced to compete by offering more luxurious

accommodations due to limitations on large disembarkations and the perception that their educational services are lower quality.

LIND's exclusive partnership with Disney provides a second, additional competitive advantage - low-cost access to a large pool of affluent travelers. The partnership is structured such that National Geographic promotes LIND's offerings, distributes LIND's expeditions through the Disney Signature Experiences platform, and licenses the National Geographic brand to LIND in exchange for a royalty fee. The companies recently renewed their partnership through 2040. The renegotiated contract includes a step-up in the royalty rate paid to DIS, structurally compressing LIND margins. However, the negative impact of this headwind on LIND Y-Y margin comparisons has largely run its course, as LIND is now competing against the higher, renegotiated royalty rates as of 2Q26. More importantly, the renegotiation appears to be providing a net benefit to LIND by increasing occupancy rates (>90% currently vs. 78% in 2024). Bookings sourced through Disney's travel advisory service, EarMarked, increased 67% year-over-year in 1Q26 and 35% in 2025, further highlighting the partnership's role in driving occupancy rate growth.

LIND is not inexpensive, and currently trades at ~17.5x 2026E EV/EBITDA. This is a premium multiple relative to the ~14.5x EBITDA RCL paid for LIND peer Silversea. However, we do not see a near term catalyst for multiple compression given LIND's differentiated product, improving occupancy, and structurally advantaged customer acquisition channel.

Description: Lindblad Expedition Holdings (NASDAQ: LIND) is a provider of high-end experiential cruising and adventure travel services to "bucket list" destinations. The company has two operating segments: the Lindblad (cruise; 64% of 2025 revenue) segment, and the Land Experience (hiking tours, group cycling; 36% of 2025 revenue) segment. Lindblad's customer base is generally composed of individuals ~60 years of age with an average net worth exceeding \$3 million.

Market cap: \$2.1B

Why did we investigate this company?

- 1) Potential competitive threats from larger, better-capitalized companies entering the experiential cruise market.
- 2) Contract renegotiations with Disney structurally compressing margins due to a step-up in royalty fees.
- 3) Trading at a premium relative to precedent transactions.

What research did we perform?

- 1) Standard preliminary company research - reviewed recent filings, transcripts, presentations, “street” research, etc.
- 2) Built a financial model

All information contained herein is obtained by Off Wall Street Consulting Group, Inc. from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. However, such information is presented "as is," without warranty of any kind, and Off Wall Street Consulting Group, Inc. makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any such information or with regard to the results to be obtained from its use. Off Wall Street has strict policies prohibiting the use of inside information. We have also implemented policies restricting the use of experts. Among other things, Off Wall Street (1) does not hire expert networking firms; (2) does not hire as experts employees of those companies we research; and (3) specifically instructs consultants whom we hire to not provide us with inside information. All expressions of opinion are subject to change without notice, and Off Wall Street Consulting Group, Inc. does not undertake to update or supplement this report or any of the information contained herein.

Neither Off Wall Street Consulting Group, Inc. nor any of its employees or affiliated parties enter into short sale transactions (which includes short-oriented hedging strategies, such as buying put options) on any individual securities that are the subject of a “sell” recommendation by the company, at any time, either before or after the publication of a report. However, Off Wall Street Consulting Group, Inc. hereby discloses that we the company, or our officers and directors, employees and relatives, may now have and from time to time have, directly or indirectly, a long position in the securities assigned “buy” recommendations in reports issued by the company, and may sell or buy such securities at any time. Note that certain employees of Off Wall Street Consulting Group, Inc. are affiliated with a registered investment advisory firm, which does not short sell or buy puts on any individual-company securities, although it may have a long position in securities assigned “buy” recommendations in reports issued by the company.

Copyright 2026 by Off Wall Street Consulting Group, Inc.

N.B: Federal copyright law (Title 17 of the U.S. Code) makes it illegal to reproduce this report by any means and for any purpose, unless you have our written permission. Copyright infringement carries a statutory fine of up to \$150,000 per violation. We offer a reward of \$2,000 for information that leads to the successful prosecution of copyright violators.