**RE: Urgent Concerns About Gene Technology and Glyphosate Bills Affecting New Zealanders’ Health and Food Safety**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Summary:**

1. Two proposed bills—the Gene Technology Bill and the Glyphosate MRL Increase Bill—pose significant risks to public health, food safety, and New Zealand’s environment.
2. Independent research has linked glyphosate and gene-edited crops to hormone disruption, cancer, changes in the gut microbiome, biodiversity loss, and increased chemical residues in food.
3. Economic and social consequences could affect sustainable sectors, organic markets, responsible investment, and tourism.
4. Strong public concern exists, as surveys show that 75–89% of New Zealanders prefer to avoid GMOs and sectors linked to environmental degradation.
5. Responsible policy alternatives exist.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Dear [MP’s Name],

Insert Date

I am writing as a concerned constituent regarding two government proposals that would significantly impact public health, food safety, and New Zealand’s environmental integrity:

* **The Gene Technology Bill** is awaiting the Select Committee’s review **on August 22**.
* **The Glyphosate Increase Bill** (Maximum Residue Level (MRL) Increase).

Both initiatives are being advanced with minimal public awareness, yet they have far-reaching implications for our health, food quality, and international reputation. Please find relevant research studies in the footer.

**Gene Technology Bill – Risks of Deregulation**

The proposed changes are being presented as “science-based” innovation. However, they bypass internationally recognised biosafety standards, including risk assessment, long-term ecological monitoring, and transparency. Gene-edited plants—engineered for pesticide resistance—could reach supermarket shelves with minimal oversight.

Independent studies indicate that such crops lead to:

* Increased pesticide use
* Soil degradation and biodiversity loss
* Higher chemical residues in food
* Hormone disruption and increased cancer risks
* Other health diseases, such as Parkinson’s

New Zealand’s organic sector has grown to around NZ$1.8 billion in revenue (2025 Organic Market Report), reflecting strong consumer demand for chemical-free, safe food. A more sustainable path forward includes supporting organic and chemical-spray-free farming, as well as strengthening transparency in food labelling. However, awareness of these bills is limited because, while the organic sector is valuable annually, many Kiwis can’t afford to buy organics regularly. They may miss news circulating within organic and sustainability circles.

But many NZ home gardeners often reject chemical sprays and genetically engineered foods. ‘Spray-free’ produce is in demand at local and online markets. Organic, GE, and market group sectors actively promote these choices, and the turnout and social media comments for natural expos, which draw tens of thousands of attendees each year, demonstrate a strong and growing public interest.

**Economic and Sectoral Risks**

There are economic risks: The Sustainable Business Council’s members collectively represent NZ$169 billion in annual turnover, reflecting the significant economic scale of businesses committed to sustainability in NZ.

**These bills could threaten key sectors:**

* **Sustainable construction:** NZ$5 billion/year, projected NZ$142 billion by 2050, dependent on healthy biodiversity and uncontaminated natural resources.
* **Responsible investment:** NZ$153.5 billion in ESG-aligned funds, with NZ$4.74 billion in impact investments. Many exclude high chemical/GMO exposure; these changes could trigger divestment.
* **Sustainable tourism:** Tourism contributes around NZ$40 billion annually to New Zealand’s economy. The sustainable and eco-tourism sectors are growing rapidly. The New Zealand sustainable tourism market is projected to grow from USD 12.7 million in 2025 to USD 57.7 million by 2035, representing a Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) of 16.3%.

**A 2024 survey shows that 75% of New Zealanders want to avoid investing in companies involved in genetic engineering (GMOs).**

These sectors depend heavily on New Zealand’s clean environment, biodiversity, and food integrity to attract discerning international visitors. Pristine landscapes and natural food systems, prized especially in markets sensitive to GMOs such as the EU and Japan, are key assets for sustaining this growth.

**Glyphosate Proposal – Escalating Health Risks**

The proposal to increase glyphosate residue limits—by up to 100 times in certain crops—is concerning, given growing evidence of its health risks. International research suggests glyphosate:

* Can accumulate in breast milk and body tissues
* Disrupts gut health, hormone balance, and DNA integrity
* Could impair cognition and behaviour in adolescents
* Increases the risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma

Approx. 20 countries worldwide are banning or phasing out the use of glyphosate. With many EU countries that have voted against it for upcoming reforms.

New Zealand should align with international safety standards, not permit higher exposures to a known carcinogen.

**A Call for Responsible Policy**

It is possible to support farmers while prioritising public health, environmental protection, and sustainable food production. Transparent labelling, stricter monitoring, and investment in organic and regenerative farming are proven ways to achieve these goals.

Please consider the independent scientific research and public concern regarding these proposals. Protecting New Zealanders’ health and food safety should be a top priority.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

**[Your Name]**

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**References & Supporting Scientific Studies (Footnote)**

**Glyphosate Health Risks**

* Ramazzini Institute (2018) – Early-life exposures disrupt development, gut microbiome, hormone levels, and DNA integrity: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5972398/?utm_source>
* Panzacchi et al., 2025 – Lifetime exposure to glyphosate at low doses in rats caused benign and malignant tumours: <https://ehjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12940-025-01187-2>
* NHANES Analysis, 2013–14 – Higher urinary glyphosate associated with memory loss, depression, and hearing difficulties: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S001393512301664X>
* Ecuador Teen Study – Adolescent glyphosate exposure linked to poorer attention, memory, language, and inhibitory control: <https://www.eurekalert.org/news-releases/1003778>
* Rodent study – Maternal glyphosate exposure caused autism-like behaviours in offspring: [https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32398374/](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32398374/%22%20%5Ct%20%22_blank)
* Environmental Health (2022) – Glyphosate detected in 99% of pregnant women; higher exposure associated with shorter pregnancies: <https://ehjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12940-022-00906-3?utm_source>
* Oxidative organ damage – Glyphosate provokes oxidative damage in rat liver and kidneys: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4756530/?utm\_source](https://ehjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12940-022-00906-3?utm_source)
* Glyphosate in breast milk – Linked to infant deaths in India: <https://beyondpesticides.org/dailynewsblog/2023/02/pesticides-in-breast-milk-linked-to-over-100-newborn-deaths-in-less-than-a-year/>

**Gene Technology & GMO Concerns**

* Gene-edited crops lead to increased pesticide use, soil degradation, biodiversity loss, and the presence of chemical residues in food.
* Monte Maíz, Argentina – High glyphosate exposure linked to cancer incidence 225% above national average; mortality 232.7% higher; spontaneous abortion 10% vs 3%; congenital abnormalities 4.3% vs 1.4%: <https://www.scirp.org/html/4-6703530_83267.htm>
* International GMO bans/restrictions: EU member states, Australia, Japan, Bhutan, Turkey, Russia, Switzerland, parts of Africa, Belize, Ecuador, Mexico, Peru, Venezuela, China, India.
* Pesticide effects on human health, from acute intoxication to chronic diseases that include various types of cancer (brain cancer, breast cancer, prostate cancer, bladder cancer, and colon cancer) [11,12], Alzheimer’s disease (AD) [13], Parkinson’s disease [14], neurotoxicity [15,16], infertility [17,18], leukemia [19] and diabetes” [**https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405844024051594**](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405844024051594)
* A medical study published in the Journal of the American Medical Association about Parkinson’s Disease (PD) finds that: “Living within 1 mile of a golf course was associated with 126% increased odds of developing PD compared with individuals living more than 6 miles away from a golf course.” These findings suggest that pesticides applied to golf courses may play a role in the incidence of PD for nearby residents. [**https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamanetworkopen/fullarticle/2833716?utm\_campaign=articlePDF&utm\_medium=articlePDFlink&utm\_source=articlePDF&utm\_content=jamanetworkopen.2025.9198**](https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamanetworkopen/fullarticle/2833716?utm_campaign=articlePDF&utm_medium=articlePDFlink&utm_source=articlePDF&utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2025.9198)

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Sustainable Investment Preferences:**

* 75% want to avoid investing in companies involved in genetic engineering (GMOs).
* Shows public opposition to GMOs, directly supporting resistance to the Gene Technology Bill that would deregulate oversight of gene-edited agricultural products.
* 89% want to avoid sectors linked to environmental degradation (air, land, and water damage).
* Strengthens the case against the Glyphosate Increase Bill, as glyphosate use is tied to soil degradation, biodiversity loss, and water contamination.

Refs:

* [https://cdn.prod.website-files.com/67a17dcc2362afe3723b9c97/6850b4471db834eb99a1a8da\_RIAA-Responsible-Investment-Benchmark-Report-Aotearoa-New-Zealand-2024\_compressed.pdf](https://cdn.prod.website-files.com/67a17dcc2362afe3723b9c97/6850b4471db834eb99a1a8da_RIAA-Responsible-Investment-Benchmark-Report-Aotearoa-New-Zealand-2024_compressed.pdf%22%20%5Ct%20%22_blank)
* <https://cdn.prod.website-files.com/67a17dcc2362afe3723b9c97/67da52588efb4602d3e83ed6_Voices-of-Aotearoa-Demand-for-Ethical-Investment-in-New-Zealand-2024.pdf>

|  |
| --- |
| **GLYPHOSATE REGULATION INCLUDES:** |
| **Country/Region**  | **Restriction** | **Notes** |
| Belgium | Partial **ban** | Banned for household use |
| France | Partial **ban** | Banned for household use  |
| Germany | Partial **ban** | Banned in public spaces; full nationwide ban planned for 2024. |
| Netherlands | Partial **ban** |  Banned for household useRestrictions against full-field applications of glyphosate shortly before harvest in crops like cereals, peas, pulses, linseed, oilseed rape, and mustard, |
| Vietnam | **Full ban** | Nationwide ban announced in 2019 |
| Mexico | Glyphosate ban and ban on genetically modified (GM) corn,  | Nationwide ban announced 2024 |
| Bermuda  | Partial ban | Over 2% strength; temporary suspension |
| Canada | Restricted use | Restrictions vary by province; some provinces have implemented bans or restrictions |
| Portugal | Partial **ban** | Banned in public spaces |
| Gulf Cooperation Council:BahrainKuwaitOmanQatarSaudi ArabiaUAE | **Full ban – 6 countries**  | Full ban Implemented in 2016. |
| Scotland (UK)  | Partial **ban** | Cities like Aberdeen and Edinburgh phased out or reduced use; national policy varies. |
| Slovenia  | **Proposed ban** | Signed a letter calling for an EU-wide exit plan for glyphosate. |
| India | Restricted use  | Restrictions in certain states; national policy varies. |
| Italy | **Banned the use of glyphosate as a pre-harvest treatment.**Restricted use.**Voted against glyphosate.** | In 2016, the Italian government banned the use of glyphosate as a pre-harvest treatment and placed restrictions on glyphosate use in areas frequented by the public. In November of 2017. **Italy was one of many EU nations to vote against relicensing glyphosate.** |