1 JULIAN HAMMOND (SBN 268489) Electronically FILED by ihammond@hammondlawpc.com Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles 4/18/2024 1:58 PM 2 ADRIAN BARNES (SBN 253131) abarnes@hammondlawpc.com David W. Slayton, 3 POLINA BRANDLER (SBN 269086) Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, pbrandler@hammondlawpc.com By J. Nunez, Deputy Clerk 4 ARI CHERNIAK (SBN 290071) acherniak@hammondlawpc.com 5 HAMMONDLAW, P.C. 1201 Pacific Ave. Suite 600 Tacoma WA 98402 6 (310) 807-1666 7 (310) 295-2385 (Fax) 8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Putative Classes 9 10 SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES** 12 ADAM FLIGSTEN and JONATHAN WANG, CASE NO. 21STCV38365 13 individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, **DECLARATION OF ADAM FLIGSTEN IN** 14 SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR Plaintiffs, 15 FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND FOR ATTORNEYS' VS. 16 FEES AND COSTS AND SERVICE AWARDS FOR CLASS 17 REPRESENTATIVES MUSICIANS INSTITUTE, INC., a California 18 Corporation, Date: June 24, 2024 19 Time: 9:00 a.m. Defendant. Dept. 6 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

DECLARATION OF ADAM FLIGSTEN ISO FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

- I, Adam Fligsten, declare as follows:
- 1. I am a named Plaintiff and putative Class Representative in this matter. I am over 18 years of age. I have personal knowledge of the following facts and could and would testify competently to them.
- 2. I have been employed as a music composition instructor by Musicians Institute since March 2013 although I have not taught any courses since Fall 2021.
- 3. I first discussed this lawsuit with my attorneys at HammondLaw, P.C. in August 2021. Over the course of several calls, we discussed my employment with Musicians Institute, my job duties and responsibilities, the types of classes I taught, the hours I spent each week teaching classes, preparing for classes, and grading homework; and Musicians Institute's policies for paying instructors for their class prep time and grading time. We also discussed Musicians Institute's shift to remote work in March 2020 and home office expense reimbursement policies applicable to instructors. Finally, we discussed the *Tagliarino* case that was brought by another Musicians Institute instructor in 2020 and what effect, if any, it could have on my potential class claims.
- 4. Finally, during these initial conversations, we discussed what it meant to take on the role of a class representative and the duties it entailed. Based on our conversations, I understood that as a class representative I would act as a fiduciary to the Class and would be required to put the interests of the Class Members before my own, which is what I have done throughout this case. My attorneys sent me a written description of these duties, which I reviewed and agreed to.
- 5. Over the two months following those calls, I sent my attorneys relevant documents and emails including copies of my pay stubs; course scheduling emails with the course title, dates, and times of the classes or sessions I was scheduled to teach; communications with Musicians Institute about getting paid for prep time including screen shots of pay request forms; communications I had with Musicians Institute about receiving CARES Act funding to pay for software I needed to teach my courses; communications related to returning to campus after the COVID shutdown; and a 2019 faculty handbook. I also shared my correspondence with the Settlement Administrator in the *Tagliarino* case disputing the number of work weeks included on the share form I was sent. I also reviewed and signed a file request, which my attorneys submitted to Musicians Institute.
- 6. Altogether, I spent approximately 10 to 15 hours discussing the case with my attorneys, gathering documents and emails, reviewing them, and sending them to my attorneys.

27

28

- 7. In August 2021, my attorneys sent me a draft PAGA Notice to review and approve. In October 2021, my attorneys sent me a draft Complaint to review and approve. I spent approximately 1.5 hours reviewing these documents, providing feedback, and approving them for filing.
- 8. In January 2022, my attorneys told me that Musicians Institute had agreed to mediate. In April 2022, my attorneys sent me a detailed mediation brief to review prior to the mediation. I attended the mediation for about 30 minutes before work obligations forced me to leave. However, I made sure to be available by phone after I logged off in case my attorneys had to reach me. Following the mediation, my attorneys notified me that a settlement was not reached.
- 9. In July 2022, my attorneys sent me a copy of the Motion to Strike filed by Musicians Institute, and explained to me that Musicians Institute was seeking to strike the portion of my lawsuit that overlapped with a settlement that had been reached in the Tagliarino case in 2020. I spent approximately 45 minutes reviewing the motion and discussing it with my attorneys.
- 10. In April 2023, my attorneys notified me that a class-wide settlement had been reached with Musicians Institute. We discussed the general terms of the Settlement which I accepted on behalf of the class as fair and reasonable. At approximately the end of July or early August 2023, I carefully reviewed the long form Settlement Agreement my attorneys sent me before approving and signing it. In support of the motion for preliminary approval, I also reviewed and signed a declaration attesting to the fact that I have no conflict with the Class Members and have no relationship with the Settlement Administrator. Reviewing and signing these documents took me about approximately 1.5 hours.
- 11. Throughout the case, I regularly communicated with my attorneys by phone and email to stay up to date on the progress of the litigation. I estimate that these additional communications took several hours over the life of the case.
- 12. Since the initiation of this lawsuit, I estimate that I have devoted at least 15 to 20 hours to assist my attorneys with litigating this case. That does not include additional time I may spend on other issues that may require my involvement before the case is concluded.
- 13. I was actively teaching courses for Musicians Institute when I brought this lawsuit. I knew that by bringing this lawsuit, I would risk not being offered anymore courses, which were a stable source of income for me. This fear was not just theoretical; in fact, I haven't been scheduled to teach any courses since this case was filed. But, unlike a typical job where retaliation might be obvious, Musicians Institute picks and chooses when to offer me courses on a semester-by-semester basis, so I can't be certain if I'm being retaliated against because of my involvement in this case.

- 14. Further, by prosecuting this action, I feared that I would suffer reputational harm and that my chances of employment with other institutions would be jeopardized if they learned during the application process that I was a named plaintiff in a class action case against a university. This caused significant concern for me as well.
- 15. Despite the fear of retaliation and risks my involvement in this suit poses, I brought this lawsuit because I believe that Musicians Institute was violating California law by systemically failing to pay me and other instructors for all the time we spent working each semester.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of California that the 4/5/2024 foregoing is true and correct. Executed on _

_____llan Fligsten_ ADAM FLIGSTEN