

Draft AGM Minutes

Cleveland Bay Horse Society

Saturday, 18th October 2025 13.30 BST

Present:

Mary-Ann Reay, Matthew Stonehouse, Catherine Lea, Dan Cracknell, Elaine Keith, Liz Worthy, Maggie Brown, Karen Wylie, Jackie Sparrow, Jo Firth, Danny Welch, Caroline Scurrah, Mary Scurrah, Coleen Benfold, Gerard Welford, Norma Wilson, Barbara Martindale, David Stonehouse, Heather Ketley, Reece Wolfenden, Lesley Wolfenden, Sandra Anderson, Mike Tait, Steve Pullan, Jenson York, C. Smith, Muick Wood, Elaine Cracknell, Paula Thompson, Jess Thompson, Dawn Odgers, Jessica Odgers, Tracy Williams, & S.W Smith.

Apologies for Absence

Apologies were received from: Rod Ward, Rowena Scrimshaw, Claire O'Connell, Beverley and James Hefferman, Judy Emmerson, Kate and Richard Lines, David Anderson, Rob Lee, Ms Lorraine Chapman, Karen Wylie, Alison Wilson, and Ms Mel Inglis.

President's Opening of Meeting

Mrs Mary-Ann Reay opened the meeting and welcomed attendees to the 2025 Annual General Meeting. She reflected warmly on her long family association with the Cleveland Bay Horse Society and its heritage within the equestrian world. She praised the commitment of Council members over the past year, highlighting their voluntary service and collective dedication to the breed's preservation. Mrs Reay emphasised that the pure Cleveland Bay remains central to all Society aims and encouraged members to support Council through participation and constructive engagement. She concluded by affirming her confidence in the current Council and their stewardship of the Society's objectives, describing the Cleveland Bay and its custodians as "a rare breed worth preserving."

Approval of Minutes of the 2024 AGM

The minutes of the previous meeting held on 19th October 2024 were taken as read and approved. Proposed by: Mrs Liz Worthy Seconded by: Ms Jackie Sparrow Votes recorded: For – 16, Against – 0, Abstentions – 4. Motion Carried.

Elections to Council

Dan Cracknell, on behalf of the Scrutineer - Mr Graham Smith, presented the election results. Total votes cast: 28, Valid votes: 24, & Invalid votes: 4

Results: -

Beverley Hefferman: 21 votes (75%) – Elected
Tierney Hill – 17 votes (60%) – Elected
Elaine Keith – 18 votes (64%) – Elected
Catherine Lea – 20 votes (71%) – Elected
Jessica Odgers – 17 votes (60%) – Elected
Michelle Snooks – 11 votes (39%) – Not elected
Matthew Stonehouse – 22 votes (78%) – Elected

Recommendations from the Scrutineer included ensuring inclusion of membership numbers on future ballot papers and advising members to use first-class post to avoid delays. The Chair extended thanks to Mr Smith and congratulated those elected to Council.

Treasurer's Report

Catherine Lea delivered her first AGM report as Treasurer, summarising the financial year ending 31st May 2025. The accounts were independently examined by Stuart Strike of the Barker Partnership, providing an annual saving of approximately £400.

Key Financial Points: • Income: £45,311 • Expenditure: £55,985 • Net loss: £10,674 • Total funds carried forward: £78,647 • Fixed assets: £24,289 The primary contributors to increased expenditure were postage, printing, and the cost of producing passports. 76% of Society income was derived from Horse Race Betting Levy Board (HBLB) grants and membership fees.

Catherine Lea stressed that continued fundraising is vital to maintain the Society's eligibility for HBLB funding, which requires a 20% matching contribution. Without this, reserves may need to be drawn upon within five years. Discussion followed regarding passport production costs and registration fees, currently £25 per foal. Material costs alone now exceed £11, excluding staff time and postage. A review of registration fees will be brought to Council. Questions were raised by Liz Worthy concerning magazine expenditure, Somerford Camp profits, and creditor increases. Mrs Lea clarified each point, confirming the Society's financial position remains stable but requires active fundraising. Appreciation was expressed to Coleen Benfold for ongoing fundraising work through raffles and tombolas.

Motion to approve the Accounts - Proposed by: Liz Worthy, Seconded by: Elaine Keith
Accounts approved by majority vote. For – 34, Against – 0, Abstentions – 0

Breed Committee Report

Dan Cracknell presented the Breed Committee report. Members of the Committee: Dan Cracknell (Chair), Catherine Lea, Maggie Brown, Karen Wylie, and Matthew Stonehouse.

Topics addressed:

- The Integration of SPARKS data into the Grassroots database following the retirement of Andy Dell. The SPARKS migration to Grassroots; the physical transfer has been completed, but the new system remains a work in progress - substantial data clean-up is required (deceased horses, ownership changes and loaned horses). Members are asked to notify the office of changes and provide loan agreements where applicable.
- Issues of accuracy regarding deceased or transferred horses. Questions were raised concerning the accuracy and robustness of SPARKS data. Caroline Scurrah, Danny Welch, and Jo Firth highlighted inconsistencies and data reliability issues. Dan Cracknell agreed the Committee would liaise with Grassroots to verify data integrity and ensure its suitability for ongoing breeding analysis.
- Discussion of initiatives to encourage the licensing and upkeep of younger stallions. It was noted that there was a decline in the active licensed stallions population. Dan Cracknell outlined initial proposals to help alleviate these issues including breeder co-ops, and syndicates to pool resources for colt licensing and upkeep. These are exploratory only.

- Management of the HBLB grant, which now follows a calendar-year funding cycle. The HBLB grant application timing has changed: HBLB now pays on a calendar year basis. Grant application for 2026 were submitted at a similar level to 2025(c. £27,000). Committee cautious about applying for larger sums due to the society's 20% co-contribution requirement.

Show Committee Report

Elaine Keith reported on behalf of the Show Committee. Members of the Committee: Elaine Keith, Maggie Brown, Karen Wylie, and Claire O'Connell, and herself).

The report, included in the AGM pack, summarised ongoing show attendance, issues and successes:

- Participation; nationally the numbers on the circuit increased in 2025; pure-breds were up from 35 to 45, with additional part-bred representation.
- Class amalgamation: smaller shows sometimes amalgamate yearling, two- and three-year classes for entry and cost reasons; where amalgamation occurs the committee has urged show secretaries to award separate rosettes for age sub-sections and sought sponsorship to fund these rosettes.
- Prize-money: HBLB funds cannot be used for prize money; historic small-show payments from the Society have ceased and any reinstatement requires dedicated funds or sponsorship.
- Judges: concerns were raised by Reece Wolfenden regarding judges on the panel who are not actively judging; the committee intends to broaden the judge pool, develop confirmation clinics and refine panel criteria.

Elaine Keith confirmed that references to CBHS sponsorship in some local show schedules, such as Hinderwell, were outdated. These have been corrected where possible, and correspondence with show secretaries continues to ensure accuracy. Liz Worthy thanked Mrs Keith and offered local support in liaising with show organisers.

Promotions and Publicity (P&P) Report

In Claire O'Connell's absence, Elaine Keith read her report. Members of the Committee: Claire O'Connell, Elaine Keith, and Sarah Kirk.

The P&P Committee aims to focus on promotion, communication, and member engagement. Plans for the future include:

- Full website redesign to improve usability and accessibility.
- Production of a 2026 CBHS calendar (pre-orders encouraged).
- Preparation of the 2026 magazine; available for collection at the AGM to reduce postage costs.
- Redevelopment of the Breeders' Directory and creation of a new Show Directory.

A discussion followed regarding the criteria for inclusion in the Breeders' Directory. Ms Jo Firth suggested broadening the criteria to include breeders who may not have registered foals within the past three years but remain active in maintaining stock or stallions. Mrs Reay proposed renaming the list "Breeders and Stallion Owners," which was well received and referred to Council for review.

Resolutions

Four formal written resolutions were submitted for the 2025 AGM, however one was deemed by

Council to be too broad to be considered as a single resolution and was considered in the Q&A section.

Claire O'Connell (Three Resolutions)

1. **To switch all publications to email based delivery. ((still allowing some printed copies available for those who specifically want them)postage to be paid by member))**

My proposed resolution will benefit the Society and the breed in the following ways:

The cost of postage will be removed from Society allowing for that money to go to other uses, also delivery will be quicker and updates easier to make. Print costs and auxiliary costs will also be reduced

I believe my proposed resolution is needed because:

With costs rising drastically moving the publications to email will lessen the effect of postage and print rises therefore allowing us to leave membership at current rate.

A number of members commented that they felt that the Magazine was the only benefit they received for their membership, and to remove it as a physical item would be a detraction.

Votes recorded: For – 7, Against – 21, Abstentions – 4. Motion Failed.

2. **To find a way to include European pure-bred animals entry into main studbook on outside society passports.**

My proposed resolution will benefit the Society and the breed in the following ways:

It will allow those animals in EU countries to maintain connection with stud book so not to be lost, may also allow more people in EU to return to the breed.

I believe my proposed resolution is needed because:

In a breed as under threat as we are we need to be as inclusive as possible and find solutions rather than discounting a continent.

A discussion followed with members expressing support for the registration of such animals, and annoyance with the interference by the government in the Society's work as a register.

Votes recorded: For – 35, Against – 0, Abstentions – 0. Motion Passed.

3. **To search for funding to Join the World breeding federation.**

My proposed resolution will benefit the Society and the breed in the following ways:

It will bring recognition and support to part bred animals allowing them to be seen as Cleveland Bays in world sport. I believe my proposed resolution is needed because:

To help bring knowledge and recognition back to the Cleveland Bay Breed.

A discussion followed regarding the costs and benefits of joining the scheme. Matthew Stonehouse stated that associate membership cost £500, with full membership costing £1000. Jo Firth highlighted requirement for Stallion owners to put their animals forward for assessment at

considerable cost, and questioned whether this would be cost effective.

Votes recorded: For – 21, Against – 16, Abstentions – 5. Motion Passed.

Election of the President-elect

One candidate was put forward and elected: Gerard Welford.

Elaine Keith as the nominator highlighted Gerard's support for the breed, and history with the animals. His families long association, and the respect his prefix (Mulgrave) had obtained within the breed.

Questions and Answers

ROWENA SCRIMSHAW

1. WELFARE OFFICER/SUB COMMITTEE: I requested at the 2024 AGM that a welfare officer would be appointed, on council, with a policy and procedure. [Add: an email address for the welfare officer/sub committee provided.] Jackie Sparrow also asked for a CBHS sub committee be set up, so that any members struggling with horse welfare could get help. See 2024 AGM minutes for further details. I add that the policy should make clear the need to report any cases of neglect direct to the RSPCA and persevere till something is done about it. As of 28 September 2025, I cannot see anything on the website about this. I appreciate that council and committee members are very busy, but this issue is of the highest importance. Why has the approved resolution not been implemented? Can this be done as priority, please?

A: Following the 2024 AGM, the Society became a member of the National Equine Welfare Council (NEWC) and remains committed to upholding its recommended welfare protocols. Members seeking guidance on reporting potential welfare concerns are encouraged to consult the British Horse Society and NEWC websites, which offer comprehensive resources. As part of our affiliation with NEWC, we liaise with other equine welfare organisations, who in turn contact us regarding matters involving Cleveland Bays. We will ensure our own website is updated accordingly to reflect these procedures.

With regard to the proposal for a dedicated welfare sub-committee and email contact: regrettably, due to the current limitations in active committee membership, we are not in a position to establish a separate sub-committee at this time. It was also felt that a dedicated email address, without the capacity for consistent monitoring, might not serve members as effectively as intended. That said, we remain fully committed to supporting members with welfare concerns and will continue to explore practical and sustainable ways to enhance communication and accessibility in this area.

2. BREED COMMITTEE: As per the 2024 AGM minutes, a request was made to organise a Zoom meeting (as from January 2025), to give an opportunity for breeders to discuss issues. Also to offer information and support to new breeders (essential to include this on the website in the breed committee section and elsewhere as appropriate). It could be useful to make this an annual or bi-annual event.

As of 28 September 2025, this has not taken place. I cannot check if there is any information on the website, as clicking on About/Committees Learn More nothing happens (reported to the CBHS office). I politely ask why have these important issues not been followed up? Again, I appreciate

that council is very busy, but it is key. Breeders are so scattered and it can be valuable to exchange ideas and share information.

Zoom is a wonderful resource, as it makes such meetings accessible, worldwide. Also request that this meeting (and others as appropriate) be available for members to watch, on the website (members' section). With the other societies I belong to, there is an alert at the start of any Zoom meeting that agreement is assumed for the meeting to be filmed. There is always the option to switch off the camera on your device, if you do not wish to be filmed.

A: Thank you for raising these important points. The suggestion to hold Zoom meetings for breeders—particularly to support new breeders and facilitate discussion across our geographically dispersed community—is appreciated and remains under consideration.

We recommend contacting the Breed Committee Chair directly regarding the scheduling of any such meetings. We acknowledge the value of Zoom as a tool for accessibility and community-building.

3. HBLB GRANT ZOOM MEETINGS: A meeting was arranged in 2025 by Zoom. This was a great idea, to follow on from the 2024 meeting. However, ONLY six days' notice was given, which was far too short. Members need more time, perhaps a month, or a minimum of two weeks, as they have many commitments and have to plan ahead.

As per point 2 above, request that the meetings be available to watch on video on the CBHS website (members' section).

A: As above.

4. BEHAVIOUR WHEN DEALING WITH JUDGES, ROYALTY AND DIGNITARIES. This is a rather sensitive matter, which I have debated long and hard, but it is important to raise. It follows that all members should act with good manners with anyone, concerning the society and breed generally. I have witnessed truly appalling, highly embarrassing and disrespectful behaviour by one member towards a member of the royal family (with other dignitaries present and witnessed by others) at a CB class. I know that person has also behaved in a very rude and inappropriate way to a judge at a show. This could lead to the CBHS being blacklisted and it might not get another royal patron. I appreciate that several letters have been sent to the Royal Household, requesting a new royal patron, but no replies have been received.

A: The CBHS Rules and Regulations clearly outline the standards of conduct expected from all members, particularly when representing the Society in public or official settings. Respectful behaviour towards judges, dignitaries, and members is essential to uphold the reputation of both the Society and the breed.

While we cannot comment on specific incidents that have not been formally reported or verified, we take concerns about member conduct seriously. Any behaviour that risks damaging the Society's standing or future relationships will be addressed accordingly should a formal complaint be submitted.

5. CBHS ROSETTES: This issue has been raised in the past, which is to provide CBHS rosettes for all shows where there are CB classes, including small ones. Also, to provide rosettes for non CB classes, to encourage members to enter their pure and partbred Cbs in hunter classes and so on. It should be noted that for the pure and partbred CB classes at the national hunter show in August 2025, rosettes were given to all entries, which was a big success. The sponsorship and production of

the splendid array of rosettes by Northants Rosettes was a great contribution and should be acknowledged again.

A: As a Society, we are in full agreement that promoting the Cleveland Bay breed through the showing circuit is of great importance, and the provision of rosettes plays a meaningful role in encouraging participation and recognising achievement.

Rosettes are presently funded through a combination of Society resources and the generous support of sponsors and donors. In recent years, Best Pure and Part-Bred Cleveland Bay rosettes have been presented to horses competing in non-specific classes, such as hunter and sport horse sections. These rosettes have kindly been sponsored by Ditchley Cleveland Bays for the past three seasons, and we are now actively seeking a new sponsor for 2026.

Where rosettes are not supplied directly to show secretaries, eligible competitors are asked to notify the Show Committee via email, following which their rosette will be posted out. As the Committee cannot reasonably be expected to track every Cleveland Bay entry across all classes, we do rely on members to inform us accordingly. These arrangements are reviewed annually to ensure they remain fair and workable.

6. MERCHANDISE – CBHS PINS: I suggested to Claire O’Connell in September 2025 that it could be a good idea to provide CBHS lapel/stock pins, as these are a popular accessory and helps to identify the wearer as a supporter of the society and the breed. Also to have these available for sale (together with any other small merchandise items) on the CBHS stand at shows.

A: Thank you for your suggestion regarding CBHS lapel and stock pins. We agree that such items are a popular and tasteful way to show support for the Society and the breed, and they would make a welcome addition to our merchandise offering.

At present, CBHS merchandise is available to members via J S Teamwear (<https://www.jsteamwear.co.uk>), which enables us to avoid the logistical challenges and costs associated with holding and transporting stock.

Your proposal to offer pins and other small items for sale at the CBHS stand at shows has been given due consideration. While we have explored the possibility of producing pins, the minimum order quantity required to achieve reasonable value for money is in the region of 200 to 300 units. This represents a significant initial outlay, and the practicalities of recouping those costs are not without difficulty.

That said, we continue to explore options, including seeking sponsorship to underwrite the cost of pins, alongside other fundraising initiatives such as calendars and rosettes.

7. CBHS ARCHIVES/REQUESTING DONATIONS: I have raised this issue several times over a few years. I requested that it would be publicised on the CBHS website where the archives of the society were lodged. I also asked there to be a request for members to donate any useful documents, such as photographs (historic and good current ones) and other documents. It is very important that these are kept for posterity. Also ask members to include any proposed donations of photos and documents in their will and to tell their executors to do this. Unfortunately, some executors or those clearing possessions throw away valuable items in terms of historic records, without knowing their importance, so it is important to brief them accordingly. As of 7 October 2025, I cannot see anything on the CBHS website about this matter. It may be that I have missed it, but it should be

located in a logical area. I also ask that this matter be publicised again in a Bay Window edition.

A: Thank you for your continued interest in the preservation of the Society's heritage. Information regarding the CBHS archives is currently available in the Members' Downloads section of the website—please refer to the Archive Booklet. While the publication is somewhat dated, the details remain relevant, and the principal repository for the Society's historical materials continues to be the North Yorkshire Records Office.

Your suggestion to encourage members to donate photographs, documents, and other items of historical significance is greatly appreciated. We wholeheartedly share your concern that valuable records may be inadvertently discarded if their importance is not clearly conveyed to executors and family members. The idea of including such donations in wills, with appropriate instructions, is both commendable.

The P&P Committee will give this proposal due consideration, including the possibility of publicising the initiative more prominently on the website and in a future edition of *Bay Window*. While we do include general calls for contributions in each issue, it is often the same few individuals who respond. We would very much welcome fresh content from a wider range of members.

We shall also look into ensuring that any such information is placed in a logical and easily accessible location.

8. MAINTAINING CBHS STAND AT ROYAL WINDSOR HORSE SHOW AND GREAT YORKSHIRE SHOW EACH YEAR – ALSO ASSOCIATED ISSUES.

My resolution request relates principally to the Royal Windsor Horse Show (RWHS). This is one of the most important national and international horse shows. I have helped to service the RWHS stand for several years. The stand, with its displays and information, provides a valuable opportunity to showcase the CBHS and the breed generally. This includes royal visitors (Duke and Duchess of Edinburgh), dignitaries (Crown Equerry), members and the general public. It is key for publicising the breed and answering questions, such as where to find a Cleveland Bay (frequently asked).

I do appreciate that it must be quite costly to hire a stand, but I feel the benefit is substantial. I would request that the RWHS and the Great Yorkshire Show (GYS) at least be included each year, on an ongoing basis.

There are members who are willing to put the stand and its displays up and to man the stand during the whole of the RWHS. Any member interested should do it for the sake of promoting our rare breed of horse and not winge if they perceive another member being impolite to them and refuse to help further.

I have seen the GYS stand being very well attended, too.

It is unfortunate that there was no merchandise (clothing etc) at the RWHS show in 2025, as that helps to raise funds, but I understand it has to be ordered online. It could be helpful to have a sample of merchandise and a poster about where to order it, in the stand.

It would be helpful to have a stock of CBHS pins or suchlike for sale and other small items, such as greeting cards. People like to buy such things at shows and it improves the display. (see also point

re merchandise below).

Lists of horses for sale and list of stallions to be made available.

There should be a collecting tin for donations on the stand.

There should be at least ten CBHS sashes available, but preferably twenty, for volunteers on the stand to wear and also when circulating in shows. Also CBHS pins. The ones I saw at RWHS 2025 were excellent: it meant that anyone visiting the stand could identify a member and ask questions, simplifying the process and making it more friendly. Beverley Heffernan mentioned that she had seen this done at horse shows in the USA, in a very successful way.

General request: Merchandise to include CBHS lapel/stock pins for ongoing purchase. These are good to wear at shows and events, and for classes (I suggested this to Claire O'Connell in September 2025).

Keep partbred CB classes at the RWHS. These were very successful at the 2025 show. It provides a valuable opportunity to showcase the partbreds and an opportunity for people to bring both pure and partbreds to shows. There are partbreds entered in non CB classes, e.g. hunter and side saddle but to promote the breed, there should be separate partbred classes.

A: The Society acknowledges the significance of the Cleveland Bay Horse Society (CBHS) stand at both the Royal Windsor Horse Show (RWHS) and the Great Yorkshire Show (GYS), and the valuable opportunity these events provide to promote the breed to members of the public. We are most grateful to those members who have generously given their time and effort to support the stand and its activities.

The upkeep and presence of the stands are reviewed annually, with careful consideration given to the cost-benefit of attendance and the Society's overall financial position. Whilst we recognise the importance of maintaining visibility at such prestigious events, we do not feel it appropriate to bind any future Council to a significant recurring expense. It remains our intention to continue supporting the stands for the 2026 year.

With regard to the recommendations concerning merchandise and promotional materials, the suggestion to include samples and ordering information will be passed on to the relevant committees for further consideration. Likewise, the proposal to provide CBHS sashes for volunteers shall be reviewed accordingly.

We note that bound folders containing printed images of stallions were included with the RWHS stand, but these were not displayed.

On the matter of part-bred Cleveland Bay classes, the Society was indeed delighted with their reception at RWHS 2025. We wholeheartedly support their inclusion as a means of showcasing the versatility and appeal of the breed. However, the committee has agreed that such classes must be self-funding, as the Society is not in a position to subsidise their inclusion at shows. We would like to take this opportunity to thank all the generous sponsors of Cleveland Bay classes, both pure and part-bred.

Lesley Wolfenden

1. Would it be possible for future showing that yearlings are either judged separately in youngstock classes, or the classes be split. We have received comments this year from judges that it is difficult for them to judge a yearling against two and three year olds in combined classes.

A: The Society acknowledges the concerns raised regarding the judging of yearlings alongside older youngstock. We fully appreciate that this can present challenges for judges and may affect the overall experience for competitors.

The decision to combine age groups within youngstock classes is often made due to limited entry numbers and ultimately rests with individual show organisers. In some cases, classes may be amalgamated after entries have closed, which is unfortunately beyond our control.

That said, the Show Committee maintains regular dialogue with show secretaries, not only to preserve Cleveland Bay classes on schedules, but also to encourage the inclusion of separate age categories where feasible. We would be in a stronger position to promote dedicated yearling classes if sponsorship could be secured to support the associated prize money.

We remain committed to supporting the best possible showing experience for our members and will continue to explore practical ways to address this matter.

Reece Wolfenden

1. Is it possible to make it mandatory that panel judges must judge at one show every 2 years or forfeit their place on the panel. This would potentially provide new opportunities for probationary judges and new judges wishing to join the panel.

A: Thank you for your suggestion regarding panel judges. The Show Committee regularly reviews ways to support and promote judging opportunities, including the potential for probationary and new judges to gain experience.

While the idea of requiring panel judges to officiate at least once every two years is noted, it is important to recognise that the judging panel has been steadily declining in size and is expected to reduce further in 2026. Imposing mandatory requirements may not be feasible under current circumstances.

Nevertheless, we would warmly encourage any member interested in becoming a probationary judge to contact the Society. There is frequent interest from show organisers in sourcing new judges, and we remain committed to fostering growth and continuity within the panel.

It is worth noting that CBHS does not have jurisdiction over judge appointments for major shows such as the Royal Windsor Horse Show, Three Counties, and the Great Yorkshire Show. Affiliation to the Society is not currently a requirement, and while smaller shows are not obliged to use panel judges, the majority do so and often request a copy of our judges list or a recommendation. In such cases, we endeavour to ensure that all current panel judges are offered at least one appointment

Awards Presentation

The President Mrs Mary-Ann Reay presented the annual trophies and awards. Members were congratulated on a successful showing season, and the Society expressed its appreciation for all

efforts in promoting the Cleveland Bay breed nationally and internationally.

List of Awards for 2025

Jack America Welford Trophy - Presidents Award, Best Contributor:	Jo Firth
Sir Alfred Pease Trophy - Best Foal over 4 Heritage Shows:	Little Hamlets Top Gun (Paula Thompson)
Keenleyside Salver - Breeder and Exhibitor, Pure, Most points:	Barbarian Maverick (Jo Firth)
Eden Valley Salver - Performance Scheme, Best Overall Inhand:	Barbarian Maverick (Jo Firth)
Marygold Nixey Trophy - Most Points over 4 Heritage Shows:	Barbarian Maverick (Jo Firth)
Northern Breeders Performance Horse - Ridden, Pure:	Tamaskan Violet (Reece Wolfenden)
Baydale SCBBC Trophy - Performance Scheme (Foal), Most Points:	Little Hamlets Top Gun (Paula Thompson) & Villiers Queen of the Night (Tina Billingsley)
Ramblers Trophy - Performance Scheme, In Hand Pure, Mare:	Mulgrave Snowdrop (Messrs Duell & Welford)
Rose Tinted Breeders Cup - Performance, Breeder of Most Winners:	Barbarian (Jo Firth)
Chris Dykes Trophy - Best Ridden Part-bred:	Jakreecha (Jo Strange)
Harrington Shield - Performance Scheme, Pure, Youngstock:	Barbarian Maverick (Jo Firth)
Rowena Scrimshaw Perpetual Crystal Trophy - Pure Gelding:	Bahian Terminator (Emily Fisher)
Ditchley Young Handlers Prize, 16 - 24 Yrs Old:	Best Young Female Handler (Mia Dolan) Best Young Male Handler (Jenson York)
Folds Trophy - Best In Hand Part-bred, RWS:	Strawacre Trixybelle (Jo Strange)
Cholderton Cup - Best In Hand Pure, RWS:	Bahian Terminator (Emily Fisher)
Penrose Plate - Best Ridden Part-bred, RWS:	St Ives (HM The King), ridden by Bethany Attwel

Thomsonbrook Cup - Best Ridden Pure, RWS:	Wyevale Harry (HM The King) ridden by Isabel Oakley
Fothergill Memorial Salver - Highest placed Youngstock at GYS:	Springlea Serendipity (John & Elaine Keith)
David Keenleyside Cup - Champion Foal, GYS:	Scarholme Juno (Jackie Sparrow)
Highmoor Stud Trophy - Champion Part-bred, GYS:	Brackenbrae Magnus (John Bennett)
Margaret Raikes May Trophy - Champion Mare, GYS:	Braithwaite Mulsanne (Messrs Anderson & Lea)

Closing of Meeting

The Chair thanked all attendees for their participation and contributions. The meeting concluded at 16:10 BST.

Next Meeting

October 17th, 2026