


Why is there no partisan divide between how Republicans and Democrats voted on Proposition B in Arapahoe, which was about raising taxes via the repeal of the Gallagher Amendment, when El Paso (and all other Coloradan Counties) had the expected 20% to 30% partisan difference on the Repeal of Gallagher?

Both of these counties are highly populated and geographically close (they neighbor each other). Clearly there is something wrong.


Yet look a little closer at Arapahoe's Data. . . the Democrat and Republican Percentage of NO on Prop B moves in unison! They have a constant difference from Democrat NO to Republican NO of 0% to 0.25%. Whenever either party's mood fluctuates by a hair, positive or negative, the other party's consensus also moves in perfect parallel, for ALL moments in time!

For math nerds, the derivative of the Red Line (Percentage of Trump Voters that voted NO on Prop B) is equal to the derivative of the Blue Line (Percentage of Biden Voters that voted NO on Prop B), for all moments in time. Only an algorithm could do this!

El Paso, 2020: No Percentage on Prop B (Republican vs Democrat)





Arapahoe 2020: Percentage of No Voters, Republican vs Democrat



The **LOCKSTEP MOTION** in the cast vote record **CANNOT HAPPEN NATURALLY!**  
**ONLY** by **ALGORITHMIC MANIPULATION!** In other words, it is **A FORGERY!**


The De Facto Rigged Elections of Arapahoe County, Colorado, 2020 and Clark County, Nevada, 2024



That since two elections, in two different counties, in two different states, in two consecutive general elections (2020 and 2024) were synthetically engineered, by proprietary software on Dominion machines, that this constitutes an Act of Forgery of the Cast Vote Record, which denies the People of Colorado and Nevada their Guarantee of a Republican Form of Government under Article IV, Section 4, of the United States Constitution. Free and Fair Elections are an immutable tenet of that which constitutes a Republican Form of Government.

Suddenly, on April 2, 2025, the Cast Vote Record for Arapaho 2020 is **REPLACED** with a **NEW FORGERY!**

**Arapahoe County 2020, General Election; Old Forgery vs New Forgery  
Presidential Choice versus Repeal Gallagher (Proposition B)**



The New Cast Vote Record for Arapaho 2020 was carefully REBUILT to ELIMINATE the LOCKSTEP MOTION where both Dems and Repubs voted at 42.9%.

The **NEW FORGERY** shows

**Biden voters voting at 31.7% against Prop B and  
Trump voters voting at 60.01% against Prop B!**

Very similar pattern to the results in the rest of the Colorado Counties!

The Presidential-Proposition Results of STUV (County-Wide Totals):


- (a) Trump-No:  $S = 50,666$  in the old CVR;  $S = 72,394$  in the new CVR. A difference of  $+21,728$ .
- (b) Biden-No:  $T = 85,097$  in the old CVR;  $T = 62,676$  in the new CVR. A difference of  $-22,421$ .
- (c) Trump-Yes:  $U = 67,454$  in the old CVR;  $U = 46,271$  in the new CVR. A difference of  $-21,183$ .
- (d) Trump-Yes:  $V = 112,979$  in the old CVR;  $V = 135,056$  in the new CVR. A difference of  $22,077$ .
- (e) Percentage of Trump Voters Opposed:  $M = \frac{S}{S+U} = 42.89\%$  in the old CVR;  $M = \frac{S}{S+U} = 61.01\%$  in the new CVR.
- (f) Percentage of Biden Voters Opposed:  $N = \frac{T}{T+V} = 42.96\%$  in the old CVR;  $N = \frac{T}{T+V} = 31.70\%$  in the new CVR.
- (g) Old CVR: Total Trump and Biden Votes,  $S+U = 118,120$  and  $T+V = 198,076$ ; Total No and Yes,  $S+U = 135,763$  and  $T+V = 180,433$
- (h) New CVR: Total Trump and Biden Votes,  $S+U = 118,665$  and  $T+V = 197,732$ ; Total No and Yes,  $S+U = 135,070$  and  $T+V = 181,327$
- (i) Net Changes: Trump margin change =  $+545$ ; Biden margin change =  $-344$ ; Against/No margin change =  $-693$ ; For/Yes Margin Change =  $+894$ .

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y
1	Colorado		eligible pop	registered	reg frac	ballots	turnout frac		ballot/eligib	historical		Froude		real		phantom			population	%u18		7/1/23		census '10	
2										0.5									census 2020			estimate			
3																									
4	Adams		393,316	316,308	0.804	239,056	0.756		0.608	0.5		0.823		196,658		42,398			519,572	0.24		533,365		441,603	
5	Alamosa		12,462	10,936	0.878	7,923	0.724		0.636	0.5		0.786		6,231		1,692			16,376	0.24		16,655		15,445	
6	Arapahoe		509,644	459,641	0.902	354,246	0.771		0.695	0.5		0.719		254,822		99,424			655,070	0.22		656,061		572,003	
7	Archuleta		11,128	12,024	1.081	9,237	0.768		0.830	0.5		0.602	#	5,564		3,673			13,359	0.17		14,189		12,084	
8	Baca		2,710	2,965	1.094	2,245	0.757		0.828	0.5		0.604		1,355		890			3,506	0.23		3,344		3,788	
9	Bent		4,757	3,339	0.702	2,295	0.687		0.482	0.5		1.036		2,379		-84			5,650	0.16		5,681		6,499	
10	Boulder		274,529	254,616	0.927	207,965	0.817		0.758	0.5		0.660		137,265		70,700			330,758	0.17		326,831		294,567	
11	Broomfield		59,364	57,100	0.962	47,103	0.825		0.793	0.5		0.630		29,682		17,421			74,112	0.2		76,860		55,889	
12	Chaffee		16,633	17,052	1.025	13,862	0.813		0.833	0.5		0.600	9	8,317		5,545			19,476	0.15		20,617		17,809	
13	Cheyenne		1,316	1,428	1.085	1,146	0.803		0.871	0.5		0.574	6	658		488			1,748	0.25		1,727		1,836	
14	Clear Creek		8,157	8,594	1.054	6,611	0.769		0.810	0.5		0.617		4,079		2,532			9,397	0.13		9,147		9,088	
15	Conejos		5,573	5,570	0.999	4,404	0.791		0.790	0.5		0.633		2,787		1,617			7,461	0.25		7,521		8,256	
16	Costilla		2,852	3,026	1.061	2,139	0.707		0.750	0.5		0.667		1,426		713			3,499	0.19		3,628		3,524	
17	Crowley		5,259	2,361	0.449	1,769	0.749		0.336	0.5		1.486		2,630		-861			5,922	0.11		5,636		5,823	
18	Custer		3,984	4,469	1.122	3,674	0.822		0.922	0.5		0.542	5	1,992		1,682			4,704	0.15		5,534		4,255	
19	Delta		25,331	23,861	0.942	19,553	0.819		0.772	0.5		0.648		12,666		6,887			31,196	0.19		31,746		30,952	
20	Denver		588,875	522,663	0.888	398,485	0.762		0.677	0.5		0.739		294,438		104,047			715,522	0.18		716,577		600,158	
21	Dolores		1,940	1,915	0.987	1,463	0.764		0.754	0.5		0.663		970		493			2,326	0.17		2,513		2,064	
22	Douglas		276,001	277,366	1.005	234,176	0.844		0.848	0.5		0.589	7	138,001		96,175			357,978	0.23		383,906		285,465	
23	Eagle		44,696	38,014	0.851	29,471	0.775		0.659	0.5		0.758		22,348		7,123			55,731	0.2		54,381		52,197	
24	El Paso		563,865	516,746	0.916	383,167	0.741		0.680	0.5		0.736		281,933		101,234			730,395	0.23		744,215		622,263	
25	Elbert		20,511	22,676	1.106	19,131	0.844		0.933	0.5		0.536	4	10,256		8,875			26,062	0.21		28,806		23,086	
26	Fremont		41,353	33,168	0.802	25,791	0.778		0.624	0.5		0.802		20,677		5,114			48,939	0.16		50,318		46,824	
27	Garfield		46,819	40,723	0.870	31,245	0.767		0.667	0.5		0.749		23,410		7,835			61,685	0.24		62,707		56,389	
28	Gilpin		5,059	5,656	1.118	4,240	0.750		0.838	0.5		0.597		2,530		1,710			5,808	0.13		5,926		5,441	
29	Grand		13,312	13,198	0.991	9,972	0.756		0.749	0.5		0.667		6,656		3,316			15,717	0.15		15,935		14,843	
30	Gunnison		14,279	14,479	1.014	11,316	0.782		0.792	0.5		0.631		7,140		4,176			16,918	0.16		17,321		15,324	
31	Hinsdale		682	793	1.163	640	0.807		0.938	0.5		0.533	3	341		299			788	0.14		765		843	
32	Huerfano		5,790	5,792	1.000	4,459	0.770		0.770	0.5		0.649		2,895		1,564			6,820	0.15		7,055		6,711	
33	Jackson		1,129	1,272	1.127	889	0.699		0.787	0.5		0.635		565		324			1,379	0.18		1,309		1,394	
34	Jefferson		477,986	459,282	0.961	381,245	0.830		0.798	0.5		0.627		238,993		142,252			582,910	0.18		576,366		534,543	
35	Kiowa		1,102	1,080	0.980	909	0.842		0.825	0.5		0.606		551		358			1,446	0.24		1,384		1,398	
36	Kit Carson		5,280	5,087	0.963	3,893	0.765		0.737	0.5		0.678		2,640		1,253			7,087	0.26		6,994		8,270	
37	La Plata		46,180	48,741	1.055	35,985	0.738		0.779	0.5		0.642		23,090		12,895			55,638	0.17		56,407		51,334	
38	Lake		5,926	5,919	0.999	4,010	0.677		0.677	0.5		0.739		2,963		1,047			7,436	0.2		7,365		7,310	
39	Larimer		294,434	280,782	0.954	226,683	0.807		0.770	0.5		0.649		147,217		79,466			359,066	0.18		370,771		299,630	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y
1	Colorado		eligible pop	registered	reg frac	ballots	turnout frac	ballot/eligib	historical	Froude	real	phantom		population	%u18	7/1/23	census '10								
40	Las Animas		11,993	11,670	0.973	8,062	0.691	0.672	0.5	0.744	5,997	2,065		14,555	0.18	14,348	15,507								
41	Lincoln		4,529	3,432	0.758	2,665	0.777	0.588	0.5	0.850	2,265	400		5,675	0.2	5,480	5,467								
42	Logan		17,631	13,343	0.757	10,606	0.795	0.602	0.5	0.831	8,816	1,790		21,528	0.18	20,619	22,709								
43	Mesa		124,562	119,045	0.956	91,490	0.769	0.734	0.5	0.681	62,281	29,209		155,703	0.2	159,681	146,723								
44	Mineral		751	937	1.248	767	0.819	1.021	0.5	0.490	1	376		865	0.13	944	712								
45	Moffatt		10,049	9,723	0.968	7,074	0.728	0.704	0.5	0.710	5,025	2,049		13,292	0.24	13,327	13,795								
46	Montezuma		20,498	21,282	1.038	15,631	0.734	0.763	0.5	0.656	10,249	5,382		25,849	0.21	26,531	25,535								
47	Montrose		34,186	31,197	0.913	25,159	0.806	0.736	0.5	0.679	17,093	8,066		42,679	0.2	44,156	41,276								
48	Morgan		21,484	18,306	0.852	13,860	0.757	0.645	0.5	0.775	10,742	3,118		29,111	0.26	29,524	28,159								
49	Otero		14,391	13,074	0.908	9,704	0.742	0.674	0.5	0.741	7,196	2,508		18,690	0.23	18,136	18,831								
50	Ouray		4,226	4,908	1.161	4,050	0.825	0.958	0.5	0.522	2	2,113		4,874	0.13	5,176	4,436								
51	Park		14,886	16,225	1.090	12,407	0.765	0.833	0.5	0.600	8	7,443		17,390	0.14	18,117	16,206								
52	Phillips		3,398	3,397	1.000	2,509	0.739	0.738	0.5	0.677	1,699	810		4,530	0.25	4,476	4,442								
53	Pitkin		14,824	15,207	1.026	12,086	0.795	0.815	0.5	0.613	7,412	4,674		17,358	0.15	16,640	17,148								
54	Prowers		8,927	7,708	0.863	5,601	0.727	0.627	0.5	0.797	4,464	1,137		11,999	0.26	11,751	12,551								
55	Pueblo		131,839	119,536	0.907	89,154	0.746	0.676	0.5	0.739	65,920	23,234		168,162	0.22	169,422	159,063								
56	Rio Blanco		5,014	4,762	0.950	3,709	0.779	0.740	0.5	0.676	2,507	1,202		6,529	0.23	6,569	6,666								
57	Rio Grande		9,197	8,572	0.932	6,377	0.744	0.693	0.5	0.721	4,599	1,778		11,539	0.2	11,188	11,982								
58	Routt		20,534	21,491	1.047	17,048	0.793	0.830	0.5	0.602	#	10,267		24,829	0.17	25,064	23,509								
59	Saguache		5,158	4,733	0.918	3,444	0.728	0.668	0.5	0.749	2,579	865		6,368	0.19	6,688	6,108								
60	San Juan		623	772	1.239	571	0.740	0.917	0.5	0.546	4	312		705	0.12	802	699								
61	San Miguel		6,813	6,664	0.978	5,190	0.779	0.762	0.5	0.656	3,407	1,783		8,072	0.16	7,868	7,359								
62	Sedgwick		1,938	1,910	0.986	1,467	0.768	0.757	0.5	0.661	969	498		2,404	0.19	2,299	2,379								
63	Summit		26,179	25,608	0.978	18,682	0.730	0.714	0.5	0.701	13,090	5,592		31,055	0.16	30,465	27,994								
64	Teller		20,781	22,032	1.060	17,087	0.776	0.822	0.5	0.608	10,391	6,696		24,710	0.16	24,617	23,350								
65	Washington		3,704	3,682	0.994	3,028	0.822	0.817	0.5	0.612	1,852	1,176		4,817	0.23	4,855	4,814								
66	Weld		246,078	217,501	0.884	168,816	0.776	0.686	0.5	0.729	123,039	45,777		328,981	0.25	359,442	252,825								
67	Yuma		7,161	6,172	0.862	5,019	0.813	0.701	0.5	0.713	3,581	1,438		9,988	0.28	9,862	10,043								
68																									
69	TOTALS		4,583,588	4,211,531	0.919	3,291,661	0.782	0.718	0.5	0.696	2,291,794	999,867		5,773,714		5,877,610	5,029,196								
70	Total from state data - checksums			4,211,531		3,291,661								2020 census		5,773,714	5,877,610								
71																									
72				24 of 64 counties with 100% or more of eligible population registered!											7/1/2024 est	5957493		7/21/23	census '10						
73				26 additional counties with >90% of eligible populatio registered!																					
74				10 additional counties with 80 to 90% of eligible population registered										2024 Ballots Cast	3241120										
75				3 additional counties with 70 to 80% of eligible population registered										2024 Active Voters	4058938										
76				Only Crowley Co. is below 70% at 44.9%										State 2020 data from:											
77				State registered fraction of eligible population is a staggering 91.9%!										<a href="https://www.sos.state.co.us/pubs/elections/Results/Abstract/2020/general/president.html">https://www.sos.state.co.us/pubs/elections/Results/Abstract/2020/general/president.html</a>											


RCV (Q3) Separated by Party; Clark County; Early

Cumulative Percentage (Dark Colors); Moving Share per 11,132 Ballots (Light Colors)




RCV (Q3) Separated by Party; Clark County; Election Day

Cumulative Percentage (Dark Colors); Moving Share per 5,233 Ballots (Light Colors)




RCV (Q3) Separated by Party; Washoe County; Early



Cumulative Percentage (Dark Colors); Moving Share per 2,677 Ballots (Light Colors)



RCV (Q3) Separated by Party; Washoe County; Election Day

Cumulative Percentage (Dark Colors); Moving Share per 2,677 Ballots (Light Colors)






$$C_0 + C_1 h + C_2 \Omega + C_3 h^2 + C_4 h \Omega + C_5 \Omega^2$$


Mesa Co. Colorado 2021 At-Large

Kraig Andrews & No on Marijuana vs Randall Reitz & Yes on Marijuana  
Outcomes fit equation with R-Squared Values 0.9858, 0.9955, 0.9901


S (Kraig Andrews No): Actual vs Expected



V (Randall Reitz Yes): Actual vs Expected




G=S/(S+V): Actual vs Expected




$$c_0 + c_1 h + c_2 \Omega + c_3 h^2 + c_4 h \Omega + c_5 \Omega^2$$

Mesa Co. Colorado 2021 City Council District A  
 Mark McAllister & No on Marijuana vs Rick Taggart & Yes on Marijuana  
 Outcomes fit equation with R-Squared Values 0.9921, 0.9969, 0.9943


S (Mark McAllister No): Actual vs Expected



V (Rick Taggart Yes): Actual vs Expected




G=S/(S+V): Actual vs Expected




$$C_0 + C_1 h + C_2 \Omega + C_3 h^2 + C_4 h \Omega + C_5 \Omega^2$$

Mesa Co. Colorado 2021 City Council District D  
 Greg Haitz & No on Marijuana vs Dennis Simpson & Yes on Marijuana  
 Outcomes fit equation with R-Squared Values 0.9961, 0.9974, 0.9966


S (Greg Haitz No): Actual vs Expected



V (Dennis J. Simpson Yes): Actual vs Expected



G=S/(S+V): Actual vs Expected





# Maricopa 2022

Similar Pattern – Preload for Hobbs, Kari Lake getting 80% election day vote  
DDOS Attack! Machines inoperative, 60% adjudication Rates on Election Day

## KARI LAKE - KATIE HOBBS NOV 8 2020 GENERAL BALLOTS VS TIME

Total Ballots cast in Governor Race 1,513,461  
728,322 Kari Lake 780,139 Katie Hobbs

Early Voting 7,126 batches 1,311,600 ballots  
578,746 Kari Lake 715,578 Katie Hobbs


Each "day" is 500 batches

■ Katie Hobbs Percentage ■ Kari Lake Percentage

By election day, Katie Hobbs has a pre-loaded lead of 136,832 votes.  
Even with 69.3% of the election day vote Kari Lake cannot overcome the pre-load.

Election Day Voting 550 batches 215,722 ballots  
149,576 Kari Lake 64,561 Katie Hobbs

Each "day" is 55 batches



97,461

97,379

97,444

96,730

97,861

96,882

97,596

97,111

96,221

93,083

90,861

92,484

90,557

53,532

3,528

18,326

23,354

37,797

33,656

27,628

27,083

13,396

3,641

2,990

26,684

Maricopa 2024

**YES, IT IS A BLANK SLIDE!**

Maricopa **ILLEGALLY** refuses to post **ANY** cast vote records for 2024!  
Lawsuits are underway!