

There is a CASE in Court in Nevada

REDRESS OF GREIVANCES

Under Article IV Section 4:

“The United states SHALL GUARANTEE to the States
A REPUBLICAN FORM OF GOVERNMENT”

WITHOUT OBSERVABLE, VERIFIABLE ELECTIONS
WE DO NOT HAVE A REPUBLICAN FORM OF GOVERNMENT!

The Complaint Alleges that there is a Nationwide
CONSPIRACY to FORGE CAST VOTE RECORDS

Using evidence from 2020 General Election in Colorado and 2024 General Election in Nevada



***COLORADO JUST REPLACED THE 2020
CAST VOTE RECORD WITH A NEW FORGERY!***

Why is there no partisan divide between how Republicans and Democrats voted on Proposition B in Arapahoe, which was about raising taxes via the repeal of the Gallagher Amendment, when El Paso (and all other Coloradan Counties) had the expected 20% to 30% partisan difference on the Repeal of Gallagher?

Both of these counties are highly populated and geographically close (they neighbor each other). Clearly there is something wrong.



Yet look a little closer at Arapahoe's Data. . . the Democrat and Republican Percentage of NO on Prop B moves in unison! They have a constant difference from Democrat NO to Republican NO of 0% to 0.25%. Whenever either party's mood fluctuates by a hair, positive or negative, the other party's consensus also moves in perfect parallel, for ALL moments in time!

For math nerds, the derivative of the Red Line (Percentage of Trump Voters that voted NO on Prop B) is equal to the derivative of the Blue Line (Percentage of Biden Voters that voted NO on Prop B), for all moments in time. Only an algorithm could do this!



The **LOCKSTEP MOTION** in the cast vote record **CANNOT HAPPEN NATURALLY!**
ONLY by **ALGORITHMIC MANIPULATION!** In other words, it is **A FORGERY!**

The De Facto Rigged Elections of Arapahoe County, Colorado, 2020 and Clark County, Nevada, 2024



That since two elections, in two different counties, in two different states, in two consecutive general elections (2020 and 2024) were synthetically engineered, by proprietary software on Dominion machines, that this constitutes an Act of Forgery of the Cast Vote Record, which denies the People of Colorado and Nevada their Guarantee of a Republican Form of Government under Article IV, Section 4, of the United States Constitution. Free and Fair Elections are an immutable tenet of that which constitutes a Republican Form of Government.

REDACTED_CVR_Export_20201130085221_354247.xlsx

Original file unzips to .xlsx format Original zip 97,313,007 bytes.

Original CVR: Up on Arapahoe Co. Clerk Site Nov 18, 2020 until April 2, 2025 – 4 years 4 months!

Note Counting Group column.

CVR Number In Order

CvrNumber	TabulatorNum	BatchId	RecordId	Imprinted	CountingGroup	PrecinctPortion	BallotType	DEM	REP	ACN	UNI	GRN
1	4	1	50	4-1-50	Mail	1260303140 (1260303140 - 44)	44	1	0	0	0	0
2	4	1	33	4-1-33	Mail	6260303128 (6260303128)	62	1	0	0	0	0
3	4	1	32	4-1-32	Mail	1260303136 (1260303136)	33	1	0	0	0	0
4	4	1	23	4-1-23	Mail	1260303113 (1260303113 - 41)	41	0	1	0	0	0
5	4	1	17	4-1-17	Mail	1260303133 (1260303133)	33	1	0	0	0	0
6	4	1	8	4-1-8	Mail	1260303131 (1260303131)	33	0	1	0	0	0
7	4	1	2	4-1-2	Mail	6263803176 (6263803176)	43	1	0	0	0	0
8	4	1	73	4-1-73	Mail	1260303109 (1260303109)	39	1	0	0	0	0
9	4	1	72	4-1-72	Mail	6260303162 (6260303162)	61	1	0	0	0	0
10	4	1	45	4-1-45	Mail	6294203512 (6294203512)	29	1	0	0	0	0

REDACTED_CVR_Export_20201130085221.csv

New file unzips to .csv format. New zip 7,362,522 bytes.

New zip file appears on or about April 2, 2025.

Counting Group column is deleted.

Order Scrambled.

CvrNumber	Tabulator	BatchId	RecordId	Imprinted	PrecinctPortion	BallotType	DEM	REP	ACN	UNI	GRN	APV	LBR	AMS	UAF	PRB	ALL	PRO	UAF	SWP	SOE	IAM	SLB	UAF	UAF	UAF	UAF
102204	5	120	57	5-120-57	429560336	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
102757	8	84	20	8-84-20	429560336	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
103890	9	157	36	9-157-36	429560336	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10462	6	40	22	6-40-22	429560336	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
105146	6	166	12	6-166-12	429560336	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
105167	6	166	49	6-166-49	429560336	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
105984	8	90	62	8-90-62	429560336	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
106047	8	90	61	8-90-61	429560336	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
106378	6	172	93	6-172-93	429560336	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
109189	6	179	9	6-179-9	429560336	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Counting Group tells us voting method (early, mail, election day) and is important for analysis.

Original CVR with column totals added in top row – Presidential results section

	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG
1		213600	127315	503	328	926	41	5423	297	189	63	67	45	65	41	25	94	118	58	45	17	908	0	0	0	0
2		Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti
3		Joseph R.	Donald J.	Don Blank	Bill Hamr	Howie Hav	Blake Hub	Jo Jorgens	Brian Carr	Mark Char	Phil Collir	Roque "Rc	Dario Hun	Princess	Alyson Ke	Joseph Ki	Kyle Kenle	Gloria La	Joe McHu	Brock Pier	Jordan "C	Kanye We	Write-in	Kasey Wel	Todd Cell	Tom Hoefl
4	BallotType	DEM	REP	ACN	UNI	GRN	APV	LBR	AMS	UAF	PRB	ALL	PRO	UAF	SWP	SOE	IAM	SLB	UAF	UAF	UAF	UAF				
5	44	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	62	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	33	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	41	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	33	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	33	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	43	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	39	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	61	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	29	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Arapahoe_CVR

Ready Accessibility: Unavailable

AutoSave Off Arapahoe_NEW_S.csv

File Home Insert Page Layout Formulas Data Review View Help Acrobat

Ballot Question 2A (Vote For=1)

New zip file resorted by cvr ballot number with column totals added – Presidential totals match

	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF
1		213600	127315	503	328	926	41	5423	297	189	63	67	45	65	41	25	94	118	58	45	17	908	0	0	0	0
2	BallotType	DEM	REP	ACN	UNI	GRN	APV	LBR	AMS	UAF	PRB	ALL	PRO	UAF	SWP	SOE	IAM	SLB	UAF	UAF	UAF	UAF				
3		Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti	Presidenti
4		Joseph R.	Donald J.	Don Blank	Bill Hamr	Howie Hav	Blake Hub	Jo Jorgens	Brian Carr	Mark Char	Phil Collir	Roque "Rc	Dario Hun	Princess	Alyson Ke	Joseph Ki	Kyle Kenle	Gloria La	Joe McHu	Brock Pier	Jordan "C	Kanye We	Write-in	Kasey Wel	Todd Cell	Tom Hoefl
5	44	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	62	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	33	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	41	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	33	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	33	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	43	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	39	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	61	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	29	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Arapahoe_NEW_S

Ready Accessibility: Unavailable

Original CVR: Ballot Measures: Note TOTALS Different, VOTES MOVED AROUND BETWEEN BALLOTS!

EM	EN	EO	EP	EQ	ER	ES	ET	EU	EV	EW	EX	EY	EZ	FA	FB	FC	FD	FE	FF	FG	FH	FI	FJ	FK	FL
187427	141139	172823	153453	205010	133351	201837	128192	236400	103442	191384	147348	178704	155486	129103	209558	194777	144115	166849	154713	206800	130074				
Arapahoe	Amendme	Amendme	Amendme	Amendme	Amendme	Amendme	Amendme	Amendme	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Ballot Que	Ballot Que	Ballot Issu	
NO	YES/FOR	NO/AGAIN	YES/FOR	NO/AGAIN	YES/FOR	NO/AGAIN	YES/FOR	NO/AGAIN	YES/FOR	NO/AGAIN	YES/FOR	NO/AGAIN	YES/FOR	NO/AGAIN	YES/FOR	NO/AGAIN	YES/FOR	NO/AGAIN	YES/FOR	NO/AGAIN	YES	NO	YES		
0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0			
0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0			
0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1			
1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0			
0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1			
1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1			
0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1			
0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1			
0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1			
0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1			

Ready Accessibility: Unavailable

AutoSave Off Arapahoe_NEW_S.csv Search

File Home Insert Page Layout Formulas Data Review View Help Acrobat

Comments Share

Ballot Question 2A (Vote For=1)

EL	EM	EN	EO	EP	EQ	ER	ES	ET	EU	EV	EW	EX	EY	EZ	FA	FB	FC	FD	FE	FF	FG	FH	FI	FJ	FK
187420	141135	172816	153448	205000	133348	201831	128186	236394	103433	191377	147341	178701	155476	129100	209548	194767	144112	166845	154704	206791	130069				
Arapahoe	Amendme	Amendme	Amendme	Amendme	Amendme	Amendme	Amendme	Amendme	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Propositic	Ballot Que	Ballot Que	Ballot Issu	
NO	YES/FOR	NO/AGAIN	YES/FOR	NO/AGAIN	YES/FOR	NO/AGAIN	YES/FOR	NO/AGAIN	YES/FOR	NO/AGAIN	YES/FOR	NO/AGAIN	YES/FOR	NO/AGAIN	YES/FOR	NO/AGAIN	YES/FOR	NO/AGAIN	YES/FOR	NO/AGAIN	YES	NO	YES		
1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0			
0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1			
0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1			
0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1		
0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1		
0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1		
0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1		

Ready Accessibility: Unavailable


AutoSave Off Arapahoe_NEW_S.csv Search

File Home Insert Page Layout Formulas Data Review View Help Acrobat

Comments Share

Suddenly, on April 2, 2025, the Cast Vote Record for Arapaho 2020 is **REPLACED** with a **NEW FORGERY!**

Arapahoe County 2020, General Election; Old Forgery vs New Forgery Presidential Choice versus Repeal Gallagher (Proposition B)



The New Cast Vote Record for Arapaho 2020 was carefully REBUILT to ELIMINATE the LOCKSTEP MOTION where both Dems and Repubs voted at 42.9%.

The **NEW FORGERY** shows


**Biden voters voting at 31.7% against Prop B and
Trump voters voting at 60.01% against Prop B!**

Very similar pattern to the results in the rest of the Colorado Counties!


The Presidential-Proposition Results of STUV (County-Wide Totals):

- (a) Trump-No: $S = 50,666$ in the old CVR; $S = 72,394$ in the new CVR. A difference of $+21,728$.
- (b) Biden-No: $T = 85,097$ in the old CVR; $T = 62,676$ in the new CVR. A difference of $-22,421$.
- (c) Trump-Yes: $U = 67,454$ in the old CVR; $U = 46,271$ in the new CVR. A difference of $-21,183$.
- (d) Trump-Yes: $V = 112,979$ in the old CVR; $V = 135,056$ in the new CVR. A difference of $22,077$.
- (e) Percentage of Trump Voters Opposed: $M = \frac{S}{S+U} = 42.89\%$ in the old CVR; $M = \frac{S}{S+U} = 61.01\%$ in the new CVR.
- (f) Percentage of Biden Voters Opposed: $N = \frac{T}{T+V} = 42.96\%$ in the old CVR; $N = \frac{T}{T+V} = 31.70\%$ in the new CVR.
- (g) Old CVR: Total Trump and Biden Votes, $S+U = 118,120$ and $T+V = 198,076$; Total No and Yes, $S+U = 135,763$ and $T+V = 180,433$
- (h) New CVR: Total Trump and Biden Votes, $S+U = 118,665$ and $T+V = 197,732$; Total No and Yes, $S+U = 135,070$ and $T+V = 181,327$
- (i) Net Changes: Trump margin change = $+545$; Biden margin change = -344 ; Against/No margin change = -693 ; For/Yes Margin Change = $+894$.


RCV (Q3) Separated by Party; Clark County; Early




RCV (Q3) Separated by Party; Clark County; Election Day




RCV (Q3) Separated by Party; Washoe County; Early



RCV (Q3) Separated by Party; Washoe County; Election Day





Colorado Registration Stuffing Page 1

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X
1	Colorado		eligible pop	registered	reg frac	ballots	turnout frac	ballot/eligib	historical	Froude	real	phantom		population	%u18	7/1/23	census '10							
2									0.5					census 2020		estimate								
3																								
4	Adams		393,316	316,308	0.804	239,056	0.756	0.608	0.5	0.823	196,658	42,398		519,572	0.24	533,365	441,603							
5	Alamosa		12,462	10,936	0.878	7,923	0.724	0.636	0.5	0.786	6,231	1,692		16,376	0.24	16,655	15,445							
6	Arapahoe		509,644	459,641	0.902	354,246	0.771	0.695	0.5	0.719	254,822	99,424		655,070	0.22	656,061	572,003							
7	Archuleta		11,128	12,024	1.081	9,237	0.768	0.830	0.5	0.602	# 5,564	3,673		13,359	0.17	14,189	12,084							
8	Baca		2,710	2,965	1.094	2,245	0.757	0.828	0.5	0.604	1,355	890		3,506	0.23	3,344	3,788							
9	Bent		4,757	3,339	0.702	2,295	0.687	0.482	0.5	1.036	2,379	-84		5,650	0.16	5,681	6,499							
10	Boulder		274,529	254,616	0.927	207,965	0.817	0.758	0.5	0.660	137,265	70,700		330,758	0.17	326,831	294,567							
11	Broomfield		59,364	57,100	0.962	47,103	0.825	0.793	0.5	0.630	29,682	17,421		74,112	0.2	76,860	55,889							
12	Chaffee		16,633	17,052	1.025	13,862	0.813	0.833	0.5	0.600	9 8,317	5,545		19,476	0.15	20,617	17,809							
13	Cheyenne		1,316	1,428	1.085	1,146	0.803	0.871	0.5	0.574	6 658	488		1,748	0.25	1,727	1,836							
14	Clear Creek		8,157	8,594	1.054	6,611	0.769	0.810	0.5	0.617	4,079	2,532		9,397	0.13	9,147	9,088							
15	Conejos		5,573	5,570	0.999	4,404	0.791	0.790	0.5	0.633	2,787	1,617		7,461	0.25	7,521	8,256							
16	Costilla		2,852	3,026	1.061	2,139	0.707	0.750	0.5	0.667	1,426	713		3,499	0.19	3,628	3,524							
17	Crowley		5,259	2,361	0.449	1,769	0.749	0.336	0.5	1.486	2,630	-861		5,922	0.11	5,636	5,823							
18	Custer		3,984	4,469	1.122	3,674	0.822	0.922	0.5	0.542	5 1,992	1,682		4,704	0.15	5,534	4,255							
19	Delta		25,331	23,861	0.942	19,553	0.819	0.772	0.5	0.648	12,666	6,887		31,196	0.19	31,746	30,952							
20	Denver		588,875	522,663	0.888	398,485	0.762	0.677	0.5	0.739	294,438	104,047		715,522	0.18	716,577	600,158							
21	Dolores		1,940	1,915	0.987	1,463	0.764	0.754	0.5	0.663	970	493		2,326	0.17	2,513	2,064							
22	Douglas		276,001	277,366	1.005	234,176	0.844	0.848	0.5	0.589	7 138,001	96,175		357,978	0.23	383,906	285,465							
23	Eagle		44,696	38,014	0.851	29,471	0.775	0.659	0.5	0.758	22,348	7,123		55,731	0.2	54,381	52,197							
24	El Paso		563,865	516,746	0.916	383,167	0.741	0.680	0.5	0.736	281,933	101,234		730,395	0.23	744,215	622,263							
25	Elbert		20,511	22,676	1.106	19,131	0.844	0.933	0.5	0.536	4 10,256	8,875		26,062	0.21	28,806	23,086							
26	Fremont		41,353	33,168	0.802	25,791	0.778	0.624	0.5	0.802	20,677	5,114		48,939	0.16	50,318	46,824							
27	Garfield		46,819	40,723	0.870	31,245	0.767	0.667	0.5	0.749	23,410	7,835		61,685	0.24	62,707	56,389							
28	Gilpin		5,059	5,656	1.118	4,240	0.750	0.838	0.5	0.597	2,530	1,710		5,808	0.13	5,926	5,441							
29	Grand		13,312	13,198	0.991	9,972	0.756	0.749	0.5	0.667	6,656	3,316		15,717	0.15	15,935	14,843							
30	Gunnison		14,279	14,479	1.014	11,316	0.782	0.792	0.5	0.631	7,140	4,176		16,918	0.16	17,321	15,324							
31	Hinsdale		682	793	1.163	640	0.807	0.938	0.5	0.533	3 341	299		788	0.14	765	843							
32	Huerfano		5,790	5,792	1.000	4,459	0.770	0.770	0.5	0.649	2,895	1,564		6,820	0.15	7,055	6,711							
33	Jackson		1,129	1,272	1.127	889	0.699	0.787	0.5	0.635	565	324		1,379	0.18	1,309	1,394							
34	Jefferson		477,986	459,282	0.961	381,245	0.830	0.798	0.5	0.627	238,993	142,252		582,910	0.18	576,366	534,543							
35	Kiowa		1,102	1,080	0.980	909	0.842	0.825	0.5	0.606	551	358		1,446	0.24	1,384	1,398							
36	Kit Carson		5,280	5,087	0.963	3,893	0.765	0.737	0.5	0.678	2,640	1,253		7,087	0.26	6,994	8,270							
37	La Plata		46,180	48,741	1.055	35,985	0.738	0.779	0.5	0.642	23,090	12,895		55,638	0.17	56,407	51,334							
38	Lake		5,926	5,919	0.999	4,010	0.677	0.677	0.5	0.739	2,963	1,047		7,436	0.2	7,365	7,310							
39	Larimer		294,434	280,782	0.954	226,683	0.807	0.770	0.5	0.649	147,217	79,466		359,066	0.18	370,771	299,630							

Colorado Registration Stuffing Page 2

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X			
1	Colorado		eligible pop	registered	reg frac	ballots	turnout frac	ballot/eligib	historical	Froude	real	phantom		population	%u18	7/1/23	census '10										
40	Las Animas		11,993	11,670	0.973	8,062	0.691	0.672	0.5	0.744	5,997	2,065		14,555	0.18	14,348	15,507										
41	Lincoln		4,529	3,432	0.758	2,665	0.777	0.588	0.5	0.850	2,265	400		5,675	0.2	5,480	5,467										
42	Logan		17,631	13,343	0.757	10,606	0.795	0.602	0.5	0.831	8,816	1,790		21,528	0.18	20,619	22,709										
43	Mesa		124,562	119,045	0.956	91,490	0.769	0.734	0.5	0.681	62,281	29,209		155,703	0.2	159,681	146,723										
44	Mineral		751	937	1.248	767	0.819	1.021	0.5	0.490	376	391	1	865	0.13	944	712										
45	Moffatt		10,049	9,723	0.968	7,074	0.728	0.704	0.5	0.710	5,025	2,049		13,292	0.24	13,327	13,795										
46	Montezuma		20,498	21,282	1.038	15,631	0.734	0.763	0.5	0.656	10,249	5,382		25,849	0.21	26,531	25,535										
47	Montrose		34,186	31,197	0.913	25,159	0.806	0.736	0.5	0.679	17,093	8,066		42,679	0.2	44,156	41,276										
48	Morgan		21,484	18,306	0.852	13,860	0.757	0.645	0.5	0.775	10,742	3,118		29,111	0.26	29,524	28,159										
49	Otero		14,391	13,074	0.908	9,704	0.742	0.674	0.5	0.741	7,196	2,508		18,690	0.23	18,136	18,831										
50	Ouray		4,226	4,908	1.161	4,050	0.825	0.958	0.5	0.522	2,113	1,937	2	4,874	0.13	5,176	4,436										
51	Park		14,886	16,225	1.090	12,407	0.765	0.833	0.5	0.600	7,443	4,964	8	17,390	0.14	18,117	16,206										
52	Phillips		3,398	3,397	1.000	2,509	0.739	0.738	0.5	0.677	1,699	810		4,530	0.25	4,476	4,442										
53	Pitkin		14,824	15,207	1.026	12,086	0.795	0.815	0.5	0.613	7,412	4,674		17,358	0.15	16,640	17,148										
54	Prowers		8,927	7,708	0.863	5,601	0.727	0.627	0.5	0.797	4,464	1,137		11,999	0.26	11,751	12,551										
55	Pueblo		131,839	119,536	0.907	89,154	0.746	0.676	0.5	0.739	65,920	23,234		168,162	0.22	169,422	159,063										
56	Rio Blanco		5,014	4,762	0.950	3,709	0.779	0.740	0.5	0.676	2,507	1,202		6,529	0.23	6,569	6,666										
57	Rio Grande		9,197	8,572	0.932	6,377	0.744	0.693	0.5	0.721	4,599	1,778		11,539	0.2	11,188	11,982										
58	Routt		20,534	21,491	1.047	17,048	0.793	0.830	0.5	0.602	10,267	6,781	#	24,829	0.17	25,064	23,509										
59	Saguache		5,158	4,733	0.918	3,444	0.728	0.668	0.5	0.749	2,579	865		6,368	0.19	6,688	6,108										
60	San Juan		623	772	1.239	571	0.740	0.917	0.5	0.546	312	259	4	705	0.12	802	699										
61	San Miguel		6,813	6,664	0.978	5,190	0.779	0.762	0.5	0.656	3,407	1,783		8,072	0.16	7,868	7,359										
62	Sedgwick		1,938	1,910	0.986	1,467	0.768	0.757	0.5	0.661	969	498		2,404	0.19	2,299	2,379										
63	Summit		26,179	25,608	0.978	18,682	0.730	0.714	0.5	0.701	13,090	5,592		31,055	0.16	30,465	27,994										
64	Teller		20,781	22,032	1.060	17,087	0.776	0.822	0.5	0.608	10,391	6,696		24,710	0.16	24,617	23,350										
65	Washington		3,704	3,682	0.994	3,028	0.822	0.817	0.5	0.612	1,852	1,176		4,817	0.23	4,855	4,814										
66	Weld		246,078	217,501	0.884	168,816	0.776	0.686	0.5	0.729	123,039	45,777		328,981	0.25	359,442	252,825										
67	Yuma		7,161	6,172	0.862	5,019	0.813	0.701	0.5	0.713	3,581	1,438		9,988	0.28	9,862	10,043										
68																											
69	TOTALS		4,583,588	4,211,531	0.919	3,291,661	0.782	0.718	0.5	0.696	2,291,794	999,867		5,773,714		5,877,610	5,029,196										
70	Total from state data - checksums			4,211,531		3,291,661								2020 census		5,773,714	5,877,610										
71																											
72				24 of 64 counties with 100% or more of eligible population registered!											7/1/2024 est	5957493		7/21/23	census '10								
73				26 additional counties with >90% of eligible population registered!																							
74				10 additional counties with 80 to 90% of eligible population registered																							
75				3 additional counties with 70 to 80% of eligible population registered											2024 Ballots Cast	3241120											
76				Only Crowley Co. is below 70% at 44.9%											2024 Active Voters	4058938											
77				State registered fraction of eligible population is a staggering 91.9%!											State 2020 data from: https://www.sos.state.co.us/pubs/elections/Results/Abstract/2020/general/president.html												

Michigan Registration Stuffing - ONLY 17 of 83 Counties have LESS THAN 100% of population registered!


	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U
1	Michigan		eligible pop	registered	reg frac	ballots	turnout frac	Reg Diff	ballot/eligib	historical		Froude		real		phantom			population	%u18	Vo
71	OSCODA		6,625	6,899	1.041	5,188	0.752	-367	0.783	0.5		0.638		3,313		1,875			8,219	0.19	
72	OTSEGO		19,872	23,031	1.159	14,852	0.645	86	0.747	0.5		0.669		9,936		4,916			25,091	0.21	
73	OTTAWA		180,221	221,421	1.229	169,960	0.768	1,780	0.943	0.5		0.530	2	90,111		79,849			236,200	0.24	
74	PRESQUEISLE		10,970	12,790	1.166	8,406	0.657	1,267	0.766	0.5		0.653		5,485		2,921			12,982	0.16	
75	ROSCOMMON		19,987	22,971	1.149	17,117	0.745	63	0.856	0.5		0.584	4	9,994		7,123			23,459	0.15	
76	SAGINAW		149,437	156,856	1.050	104,013	0.663	647	0.696	0.5		0.718		74,719		29,294			190,124	0.21	
77	ST CLAIR		127,504	134,248	1.053	92,708	0.691	427	0.727	0.5		0.688		63,752		28,956			160,383	0.21	
78	ST JOSEPH		46,253	37,877	0.819	28,125	0.743	-9,350	0.608	0.5		0.822		23,127		4,998			60,939	0.241	
79	SANILAC		31,920	28,637	0.897	22,567	0.788	-2,873	0.707	0.5		0.707		15,960		6,607			40,611	0.21	
80	SCHOOLCRAFT		6,663	7,256	1.089	4,781	0.659	58	0.718	0.5		0.697		3,332		1,449			8,047	0.17	
81	SHIAWASSEE		53,454	55,612	1.040	39,471	0.710	-91	0.738	0.5		0.677		26,727		12,744			68,094	0.215	
82	TUSCOLA		42,498	42,756	1.006	29,611	0.693	49	0.697	0.5		0.718		21,249		8,362			53,323	0.2	
83	VAN BUREN		58,126	60,495	1.041	39,311	0.650	-57	0.676	0.5		0.739		29,063		10,248			75,587	0.23	
84	WASHTENAW		303,763	318,685	1.049	217,820	0.683	2,271	0.717	0.5		0.697		151,882		65,938			372,258	0.18	
85	WAYNE		1,370,281	1,406,355	1.026	878,102	0.624	0	0.641	0.5		0.780		685,141		192,961			1,793,561	0.24	
86	WEXFORD		25,928	29,640	1.143	20,914	0.706	2,293	0.807	0.5		0.620	##	12,964		7,950			33,673	0.23	
87																					
88	Calc totals		7,863,191	8,074,313	1.027	5,580,913	0.691	5,321	0.710	0.5		0.704		3,931,596		1,649,317			10,016,161		
89	STATE TOTAL		7,863,191	8,061,525															10,077,331	0.22	
90																					
91	Wayne co from sos		1,370,281	1,406,355	1.026	878,102	0.624		0.641	0											
92																					
93	DETROIT		502,523	504,714	1.004	250,138	0.496		0.498	0.5		1.004		251,262		-1,124			670,031	0.25	
94																					
95	Note 21 of 83 counties have > 110% of eligible population registered																				
96	66 of 83 counties have more than 100% of eligible population registered																				
97	Only 17 of 83 counties have LESS THAN 100% of eligible population registered																				
98	Only ONE of 83 counties has less than 80% of eligible population registered																				
99	Montmorency County had 108% of the eligible population CAST BALLOTS!																				

$$C_0 + C_1 h + C_2 \Omega + C_3 h^2 + C_4 h \Omega + C_5 \Omega^2$$


Mesa Co. Colorado 2021 At-Large

Kraig Andrews & No on Marijuana vs Randall Reitz & Yes on Marijuana
Outcomes fit equation with R-Squared Values 0.9858, 0.9955, 0.9901


S (Kraig Andrews No): Actual vs Expected



V (Randall Reitz Yes): Actual vs Expected




G=S/(S+V): Actual vs Expected




$$c_0 + c_1 h + c_2 \Omega + c_3 h^2 + c_4 h \Omega + c_5 \Omega^2$$

Mesa Co. Colorado 2021 City Council District A
 Mark McAllister & No on Marijuana vs Rick Taggart & Yes on Marijuana
 Outcomes fit equation with R-Squared Values 0.9921, 0.9969, 0.9943


S (Mark McAllister No): Actual vs Expected



V (Rick Taggart Yes): Actual vs Expected




G=S/(S+V): Actual vs Expected




$$C_0 + C_1 h + C_2 \Omega + C_3 h^2 + C_4 h \Omega + C_5 \Omega^2$$

Mesa Co. Colorado 2021 City Council District D
 Greg Haitz & No on Marijuana vs Dennis Simpson & Yes on Marijuana
 Outcomes fit equation with R-Squared Values 0.9961, 0.9974, 0.9966


S (Greg Haitz No): Actual vs Expected



V (Dennis J. Simpson Yes): Actual vs Expected



G=S/(S+V): Actual vs Expected



Maricopa 2022

Similar Pattern – Preload for Hobbs, Kari Lake getting 80% election day vote
 DDOS Attack! Machines inoperative, 60% adjudication Rates on Election Day


KARI LAKE - KATIE HOBBS NOV 8 2020 GENERAL BALLOTS VS TIME

Total Ballots cast in Governor Race 1,513,461
 728,322 Kari Lake 780,139 Katie Hobbs
 Early Voting 7,126 batches 1,311,600 ballots
 578,746 Kari Lake 715,578 Katie Hobbs
 Each "day" is 500 batches

■ Katie Hobbs Percentage ■ Kari Lake Percentage

By election day, Katie Hobbs has a pre-loaded lead of 136,832 votes.
 Even with 69.3% of the election day vote Kari Lake cannot overcome the pre-load.

Election Day Voting 550 batches 215,722 ballots
 149,576 Kari Lake 64,561 Katie Hobbs
 Each "day" is 55 batches



Maricopa 2024

YES, IT IS A BLANK SLIDE!

Maricopa **ILLEGALLY** refuses to post **ANY** cast vote records for 2024!
Lawsuits are underway!