Self-Leadership Through Personal Purpose

A Practical Guide for a World That Changes Faster Than You Do

Lane Everett Sloan

Floating Faster

Every generation has had to answer the question of personal purpose. What's changed is how much time you now have before the cost of not answering it catches up with you.

Careers used to unfold slowly enough that drifting for a few years rarely mattered much. You could look up from a stretch of autopilot and the world would still be roughly the one you left. That's no longer reliably true. Industries reorganize, roles disappear, and entire professions get redefined inside a single decade — sometimes inside a single year. Floating was always a risk. At the pace change moves now, it's become a far more expensive one.

Most people never actually sit down and answer the most basic question of their own life: what is my purpose? Not because it doesn't matter to them, but because the current is too strong, and it moves faster than it used to. The calendar fills. The phone stays busy. Urgency crowds out intention, and the day's demands quietly crowd out the decade's direction. Before long, floating becomes indistinguishable from swimming.

Richard Bach captured this in *Jonathan Livingston Seagull*. The flock spends its life in a competitive scramble for scraps on the beach, waking each day to repeat the same pattern — not out of laziness, but out of default. Jonathan breaks from the pattern by asking a different question than the rest of the flock: what do I actually want to become? That single shift in question changes everything that follows.

The flock isn't failing. That's what makes it dangerous. The greater risk was never failure — it's succeeding for years at a life nobody consciously chose.

> ***Busyness is not the same as direction. Activity is not the same as purpose.***

Stephen Covey made this distinction operational in *First Things First*: most time-management systems optimize the clock — getting more done, faster — while leaving the compass, whether you're doing the right things at all, unexamined. The busyness this guide opened with is a clock problem. Personal purpose is the compass.

The research backs the size of the gap. A study published in *Harvard Business Review* found that fewer than one in five leaders has a strong sense of personal purpose, and even fewer can put it into a concrete statement. Ask most people directly what gives their life meaning, and they'll describe what they do: their role, their title, their accomplishments. Identity gets confused with activity, and as long as the activity continues, most of us never notice the difference. It usually takes an interruption — a health scare, a milestone birthday, a business sale, a season of unexpected stillness — before the question surfaces on its own.

You don't have to wait for that interruption. That's what the rest of this guide is for.

Self-Leadership Starts With Purpose

In my coaching practice, I use a framework built around what I call the 4 Inner Ps: Purpose, Persuasions, Personality, and Proficiencies — the internal architecture that determines how you actually show up, distinct from the external track record most leadership development focuses on.

Two of those four are comparatively stable and visible: Personality (how you're wired) and Proficiencies (what you're good at). Most leadership development already spends its time there. The other two are the ones people leave unexamined for decades: Purpose and Persuasions. You rarely state either explicitly, even to yourself, and yet they're what everything else gets built on. Together, I call them BE — and BE, not Personality or Proficiencies, is what this guide is about.

Self-leadership starts here, and the order matters. Before you can credibly help someone else find direction — as a manager, a parent, a mentor — you need your own. Not as a credential, but as a working instrument you've actually examined. Leaders who haven't examined their own Purpose and Persuasions tend to lead reactively, borrowing direction from whatever the organization or the market currently rewards. You'll meet that pattern again later in this guide as the floater orientation.

Everything else in your life is downstream of BE.

BE Isn't Just Purpose — It's Purpose and Persuasions

Most popular treatments of personal purpose converge on the same shape: purpose as a single discoverable calling, found where talent, passion, and the world's needs intersect. Ikigai frames it this way. Stephen Covey's own concept of Voice, in *The 8th Habit*, arrives at a structurally identical answer: the intersection of talent, passion, conscience, and what the world needs. There's real value in that convergence — independent traditions landing on the same shape isn't a coincidence. But it's incomplete, and the incompleteness matters more now than it used to.

Purpose defined only as calling or contribution answers what you're here to do. It doesn't answer what you actually believe — about people, about right and wrong, about how the world works — which is a separate and prior question. I call this second element Persuasions: the deep-rooted beliefs, values, needs, and perspective that filter how you interpret and act in the world, largely below the level of conscious articulation. Persuasions aren't your purpose. They're the lens purpose gets seen through, and the ground purpose has to stand on when its particular expression is disrupted.

This distinction isn't academic — it's the difference between recovering from a disruption and being flattened by one. Picture someone who spent twenty years building and running a company, but who had also done the work of knowing what she believed, independent of any one role. When the company sold, she could lose the specific expression of her purpose and still recognize who she was. Someone whose purpose and role were the same thing loses both at once — you'll see what that looks like in the case later in this guide. Purpose without examined persuasions underneath it is a house without a foundation — the fact that it stood for twenty years tells you nothing about whether it can survive being moved.

Together, Purpose and Persuasions are BE: purpose is what you're here to contribute, held stable by persuasions that describe who you actually are and what you actually believe, independent of any role currently expressing it.

Start With BE: BE → DO → HAVE

Stephen Covey drew a foundational distinction between what he called the Personality Ethic — effectiveness as technique layered on top of whoever you already happen to be — and the Character Ethic, where effectiveness flows from principle and identity first, with technique following from that foundation rather than substituting for it. His second habit — "begin with the end in mind" — asks you to clarify who you intend to become before building the plan for getting there. That's the same reversal at the center of this framework.

> ***BE → DO → HAVE***

Who you decide to BE comes first. That identity — purpose and persuasions together — shapes what you intentionally DO. And your actions produce what you naturally HAVE, not as a destination you chase directly, but as a byproduct of living in alignment.

Viktor Frankl, writing from the most extreme circumstances imaginable, argued that meaning — the deepest form of BE — is what allows people to endure and even flourish through disruption they never chose. Most of us will never face anything close to what Frankl survived, but the mechanism he described scales down: the people who navigate ordinary disruption best are the ones whose sense of self was never entirely borrowed from the circumstances that just changed.

Your Purpose Changes More Than You Think

Most treatments of personal purpose — including much of my own earlier writing — present it as something to discover once and then hold. That's incomplete. A career executive in his thirties, a business owner mid-growth in her fifties, and a founder six months past selling the company aren't weighing the same priorities, even using the same framework. The categories stay constant — career and financial, personal growth, social connection — but what matters within each shifts markedly across your life.

> ***Purpose is not something you discover once.***

This matters most in the two categories people assume are the most stable: career and finance. In the building years, career priorities center on the type of role, the industry, and the pace of income growth; financial priorities center on establishing a savings and investment discipline. At the peak of a career, the same categories re-weight toward maximizing leadership scope and compensation, and toward accumulating and diversifying wealth. In a transition or exit — selling a business, stepping back from an operating role — career priorities pivot from operating to advising, and financial priorities pivot from accumulation to preservation and succession. In the years that follow, career becomes selective and often unpaid by design, and financial priorities center on legacy and estate planning rather than growth.

Life Stage	Career & Financial	Personal Growth	Social Connection
Building (20s–early 40s)	Type of role, industry, and career path; income growth; establishing financial habits and a savings base	Skill acquisition; physical stamina; identity formation	Partnership formation; early family; professional network
Peak / Growth (mid-40s–50s)	Maximizing contribution and compensation; leadership scope; wealth accumulation and diversification	Managing energy and health under sustained load; deferred learning resumes	Family at its most demanding; friendships often thin from time scarcity

Transition / Exit (late 50s–early 60s)	Shifting from operating to advising; monetizing the business; wealth preservation and succession planning	Reassessing identity apart from title or ownership	Renegotiating time with partner and adult children; mentoring begins to replace managing
Legacy / Renewal (mid-60s+)	Selective, chosen work; capital deployed for impact rather than accumulation; estate and legacy planning	Health as the primary asset to protect; spiritual and reflective growth often deepens	Community, philanthropy, and grandparenting; depth over breadth in relationships

There's also a specific reason the shift gets so pronounced later on, not just a pattern. Arthur Brooks distinguishes fluid intelligence — the raw speed of solving novel problems — from crystallized intelligence — the accumulated judgment that comes from synthesizing decades of experience. Fluid intelligence peaks and starts declining earlier than most professionals expect, often in the late thirties to early fifties depending on the field; crystallized intelligence keeps growing well beyond that. The practical implication: your most valuable contribution changes shape across your life, not just its schedule. The same drive that generated novel solutions in your building and peak years is often better spent teaching, synthesizing, and advising later on.

This framing matters most if you're a business owner approaching a sale, succession, or step back from an operating role. The disorientation that often follows a transition isn't usually a sign something's gone wrong. It's a signal that the old structure — built around a role that no longer exists — needs to be replaced with a new one, built around BE rather than title.

Chip Conley's own transition is a useful picture of what a good version of this can look like. At 52, after selling the hospitality company he'd founded and run for over two decades, Conley took a mentorship role at a much younger company and found himself simultaneously teaching and being taught — a posture he later named the modern elder: someone who trades being the smartest person in the room for being the wisest one in it. That reframing — trading authority for curiosity rather than trading relevance for retirement — is one concrete answer to what “selective, chosen work” can look like later in your life.

Know Your Starting Orientation

People bring different starting orientations to examining their own BE, and disruption tends to reveal those differences rather than create them. I've identified six.

The Architect

Actively examines and reconstructs their own premises — the beliefs and assumptions they operate from — rather than living inside them unquestioned. You'll recognize an architect by a habit most people find unsettling: they ask “is this still true?” about beliefs that are working perfectly well. Success doesn't exempt a premise from scrutiny; it just raises the bar for how carefully the scrutiny has to be done. This is the orientation the process later in this guide is designed to build toward — not a rare trait some people are simply born with. An architect will interrupt a genuinely good year to ask what would have to change for this plan to be wrong — and mean it.

The Striver

Driven hard by achievement, and more brittle under real disruption than the architect. A striver's trajectory bifurcates under pressure: it either collapses harder than anyone else's in the room, because the achievement was load-bearing for identity in a way the striver never examined, or — given the right conditions — it reconstructs with unusual intensity, because the same drive that built the original structure gets redirected into building the next one. You'll recognize a striver by how much of their self-description is résumé. Ask one how they're doing, and the answer is a list of what they just closed, shipped, or won — rarely how any of it felt.

The Floater

Has rarely generated governing premises independently, borrowing direction from whoever nearby seems most certain. A floater is stable when conditions are calm and adrift without a compass when they aren't. You'll recognize a floater by how quickly their stated priorities shift to match whoever they're talking to — fully behind the move for a partner's job on Monday, just as sure the family should stay put by Wednesday.

The Seeker

Has let go of what wasn't working and is actively searching — reading, exploring, testing ideas — but hasn't yet found the next thing substantial enough to organize a life around. Everything reconstruction requires is present except the destination. The risk isn't the search; it's staying in it too long. You'll recognize a seeker by how much they're reading, exploring, and talking through — and by how often they say they're close, but not there yet.

The Victim

Describes what happens when your premises have already been broken by disruption, without the conditions for reconstruction in place. Identity stalls at the point of loss rather than moving through it; the event that broke the premise stays the explanation for everything that follows — indefinitely, rather than for a season. Ask someone here about almost anything in their life, and the divorce, the layoff, the diagnosis finds its way into the answer, unprompted, years later.

Breakdown

The more acute version of the same stall: not identity organized around the loss, but identity that hasn't yet found anything to organize around at all. Structure has been broken and nothing has replaced it, even provisionally. Someone here can describe exactly what fell apart. Ask what they're building instead, and the answer is silence — not even a guess.

None of these are fixed diagnoses. People move between them as conditions change — which is the whole argument for building this capacity deliberately, before a crisis forces the question rather than during one. Most people recognize themselves in at least two: the orientation they default to when conditions are stable, and the one they're at risk of sliding toward when conditions aren't.

> ***Who are you when conditions are stable? Who are you at risk of becoming when they aren't?***

Knowing which two is worth more than knowing your purpose in the abstract, because it tells you what your own disruption is likely to look like before it arrives.

A Case in Point

I didn't arrive at this framework by studying purpose from a distance. I've had to reconsider my own more than once — leaving a nearly thirty-year career at Shell, then teaching, then consulting, then building a company, then writing and researching, and now deciding what contribution I most want the years ahead to make. Not all of those reconstructions were graceful. This framework is what I built, in part, because I needed it myself.

One of the clearest examples I've coached through, though, involves someone else. A founder I coached — details changed here to protect confidentiality — built and ran an engineering-services firm for eighteen years before selling it in his late fifties. He'd never separated Purpose from role: asked what he cared about, his answer was the company, without a beat's hesitation. The sale went well by every financial measure. Eighteen months later he was still describing himself in the present tense as the founder of a company he no longer owned, still checking in with former employees about decisions that were no longer his to make, and still unable to answer what he wanted next beyond “something like what I had.”

Nothing about his transition was structurally unusual. His premises simply hadn't been separated from the role while there was still time to separate them, and by the time disruption arrived, there was no distinct BE left to fall back on — only the wreckage of a role that had been standing in for one.

Research on purpose backs up just how much is riding on getting this right, well beyond the case for simply feeling better about your life. A longitudinal study published in *Psychological Science* found that adults with a stronger sense of purpose lived measurably longer — across age groups, independent of retirement status. A 2023 meta-analysis spanning more than sixty-six thousand participants found purpose in life significantly associated with lower depression and lower anxiety, across both healthy and clinical populations. These aren't soft benefits. They're structural findings — and they're exactly what's at stake when your capacity to rebuild BE runs low right when you need it most.

Turning BE Into Something You Can Actually Rank

BE needs a method for expression — something concrete enough to produce a decision rather than an abstraction. In my coaching practice, I collapse the many competing frameworks into three domains, each with a handful of sub-elements to rank.

Career & Financial

Type of career and company scale, industry, role, financial stewardship, and work environment. What these mean, and how they should be weighted, changes by life stage as described above: income growth in the building years gives way to preservation and legacy later on.

Personal Growth

Mental and intellectual growth, physical health and energy, and spiritual grounding. This is the domain most consistently deferred during the operating years of a career — and often where the most meaningful growth becomes available once operating pressure eases.

Social Connection

Partnership and family, friendship and community, and broader contribution through philanthropy or faith. This domain tends to rise in relative importance during and after a transition — less because relationships were unimportant earlier, and more because the operating years left comparatively little room for depth.

The Six-Step Process

Understanding the domains is the beginning, not the end. What turns a framework into a decision is a structured process of forced comparison. This is the capacity to rebuild BE, put to work — a deliberate, repeatable way of examining and rebuilding it before a crisis forces the question, rather than a one-time exercise.

Step 1 — Surface your Persuasions before ranking anything. Write brief, direct answers to three questions: What do I actually believe about people, at their core? What do I believe about right and wrong that I'd defend even if it cost me something? What do I believe about how the world works — the forces that actually move outcomes — that shapes every decision I make, whether I've named it or not? These are diagnostic answers, not aspirational ones, and they won't always be flattering. This step isn't throat-clearing before the real work of ranking domains. It's the ground the ranking stands on: two people can rank identical Career and Personal Growth priorities and mean something entirely different by them, depending on what they believe underneath.

Step 2 — Rank within each domain. Within Career & Financial, within Personal Growth, and within Social Connection, rank the sub-elements of each from most to least important to you right now. Most people do this quickly, with little internal disagreement.

Step 3 — Compare across domains. Take the top-ranked item from each of the three domains and hold them side by side: which one genuinely outranks the other two? That becomes Priority #1. Remove it, bring forward the next-highest item from that same domain, and compare again against the current leaders of the other two. Repeat the rotation until five to seven priorities have surfaced in order.

Step 4 — Apply BE → DO → HAVE to each priority. For each one, answer three questions: Who do I want to become in relation to this? What must I intentionally do to become that person? What will I naturally have — experience, feel, contribute — as a result? Push past vague language until each answer is a specific commitment, not a wish.

Labels alone aren't enough at this step. If travel with your partner is a priority: where, how often, what kind of experience? A trip to a specific place at a specific time is a commitment; “we should travel more” is a wish. If mentorship is a priority: with whom, in what structure, how often? One advisory relationship with a defined cadence is a commitment; “I want to give back” is a sentiment. Specificity is what gives a priority traction. Vague aspirations drift. Specific commitments build.

Step 5 — Write your BE Vision Statement, anchored to your own story. People rarely arrive at a durable sense of purpose by ranking categories in the abstract. It comes from examining specific episodes in your own life and how you've chosen to frame them. Before writing, name one or two turning points that shaped what you now care about and what you now believe. Then write a short paragraph that unifies who you're becoming, what you'll do to become that person, what you expect to experience and contribute as a result, and how you intend to show up for the people who matter most. This is your compass, not your itinerary.

Step 6 — Revisit at every stage change. Re-run Steps 2 through 5 whenever a life stage shifts: a business sale, a role change, a milestone birthday, a health event. The categories stay the same; the ranking inside them won't. Your

persuasions from Step 1 usually change more slowly than your priorities, but they should still be periodically re-tested rather than simply assumed — more on how, below.

Make It a Habit, Not an Event

Re-testing only works if you look for the evidence against you. Treat your own governing premises as a hypothesis, not a settled fact, and periodically search for the evidence that would prove them wrong, rather than only the evidence that confirms them. In practice, this means asking, at each revisiting of the ranking: what would have to be true about me, or about my life, for this priority order to be wrong? Where's the evidence I'd rather not look at? If you only re-rank the priorities that are already comfortable, you're exercising the ranking muscle, not the reconstruction one.

The six-step process above operates at the scale of a life stage. The same discipline is worth applying at the scale of a week. Set a few goals within each key role every week — because important-but-not-urgent work, the kind this guide is about, reliably loses out to whatever's merely urgent unless you deliberately schedule it first. A vision statement you only revisit once every few years, with nothing connecting it to an ordinary week, tends to stay aspirational. One that shapes a handful of weekly priorities tends to stay real — and a weekly practice is what keeps your capacity to rebuild BE exercised routinely, rather than left to atrophy until a crisis demands it all at once.

One more thing worth building in deliberately: access to people or settings where questioning your own identity feels safe rather than destabilizing. A coach, a peer group, a partner, a well-chosen few — the format matters less than having one in place before the question arrives, rather than facing it alone for the first time in the middle of a crisis.

From Insight to Execution

Purpose that stays theoretical isn't yet self-leadership. Knowing your BE, even knowing it with real clarity, is only the strategic layer. A company that clarifies its mission and stops there hasn't built a business — it's written a mission statement. What turns strategy into performance is a gameplan: structures, resource allocation, a cadence of review, the discipline to revise the plan without abandoning the strategy underneath it. The same is true of you. The three domains, the six-step process, and the weekly discipline above aren't supplementary material — they're that gameplan, built at the scale of a single life instead of an organization.

Knowing your BE but never building a gameplan around it is theorizing. Ranking the priorities, writing the vision statement, revisiting it at every stage, protecting it week to week — that's what self-leadership looks like in practice.

This is why the pace of change matters so much. A company operating in a slow-moving market can survive a strategy it never quite executes; one operating in a fast-moving market can't. The same asymmetry now applies to you.

Jonathan Livingston Seagull didn't out-fly the flock by wanting it more than they did. He practiced: dive after dive, correction after correction, long after wanting was no longer the hard part. That's the gameplan too — repetition, review, and the willingness to revise, for as long as you keep changing. Purpose is the direction. Execution, run on a cadence rather than understood once, is what moves you along it.

The world will keep changing. Your roles will change. What you value may change. Eventually, some of the structures that define your life today will disappear entirely — a company sold, a role ended, a chapter closed you didn't choose to close. Self-leadership doesn't mean preventing any of that. It means retaining the capacity to ask, again and again: who do I choose to BE now — and what will I do about it?

You can't control the current. You can decide whether you're floating.

Where to Go From Here

If you want to do the work yourself, start with the six-step process above, and revisit it at your next life-stage change.

If you'd rather explore these ideas through a story, *What Is This Thing Called Life?* tells this in my own voice, and *The Big Puzzle* tells the same story as a mystery.

If you want to see where the six orientations come from, read “The Success Trap.” For the full theory underneath it, see the ISLE Research section.