

## **PUTNAM COUNTY PLAN COMMISSION MINUTES**

The Putnam County Plan Commission met for a regular meeting on November 10, 2025, at 5:30 p.m. in the Commissioner's Meeting Room Putnam County Courthouse 1 W Washington Street, Greencastle, IN 46135. Zach Bowers called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m. A roll call was taken to determine a quorum. The following members were present: Kate Skirvin, Andy Beck, Greg Williams, Zach Bowers, and James McKee. Kevin Scobee, and Jenna Nees were not in attendance. Also, present was Lisa Zeiner, Plan Director. Audience present see attached sign in sheet.

**REVIEW OF MINTUES:** August 11, 2025, and September 11, 2025, meeting minutes.

James McKee stated that on page six (6) of the September minutes the seventeenth (17<sup>th</sup>) paragraph need to be corrected to say: "Mr. McKee asked if at full tilt..." not "full title".

Kate Skrivin made a motion to approve the August 11, 2025, minutes as presented and the September 11, 2025, minutes with the stated corrections.

Mr. McKee seconded the motion.

The August 11, 2025, minutes were approved as presented and September 11, 2025, minutes were approved contingent on corrections with all in favor.

**2025-RZ-069: DONALD BARNETT** – Rezone from General Commercial (C2) to Residential (R1); Greencastle Township; 16/14N/4W; 1250 N Jackson St Greencastle (Parcel #67-09-16-202-018.000-007)

Donald Barnett, petitioner, approached the board. Mr. Barnett stated that he wanted to split off the back of the property from the commercial building so he could build a dwelling. Mr. Barnett explained that only the rear portion would be rezoned the business would remain commercial zoning.

Mr. McKee asked if the driveway would be shared.

Mr. Barnett stated that it would be a shared access easement. Mr. Barnett explained that the septic system for the new dwelling has already been installed.

Mrs. Skirvin asked if any letters had been received.

Lisa Zeiner stated that no letters had been received and no one had called the office for this request. Mrs. Zeiner explained that a few people had come in to look at the file and ask questions. Mrs. Zeiner stated that they were concerned about a shooting range being constructed. Mrs. Zeiner explained that they were fine with the rezoning for a dwelling.

Mr. Bowers asked if there was anyone who wanted to speak in favor or opposition to this case. No one came forward. Mr. Bowers closed the public hearing for this project.

Andy Beck made a motion to recommend approval for the rezoning for **2025-RZ-069: DONALD BARNETT** from commercial (C2) to Residential (R1).

Mrs. Skirvin seconded the motion.

**2025-RZ-069: DONALD BARNETT** was remanded to the Putnam County Commissioners with a favorable recommendation with all in favor.

**UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE AMENDMENT** – Proposed amendments to the Unified Development Ordinance, Chapter 2.5 (Natural Resources District); Chapter 3 (Use Matrix / Solar Energy Conservation Systems/Wind Energy Conservation Systems)

Mr. Beck stated that at the last commissioner’s meeting he had made a motion for a moratorium against data centers, wind and solar, to re-write our UDO. Mr. Beck explained that he believes there are things that need to be changed that are lacking and the UDO needs rewritten.

Mr. McKee stated that he believes the ordinance could be upgraded. Mr. McKee asked how the commissioners voted.

Mr. Beck stated that the vote was two (2) in favor and one (1) opposed to the moratorium.

Greg Williams explained that his concerns were that the county had a year and a half of meetings to come up with the UDO and spent hundreds of man hours working on it. Mr. Williams stated that now that we have a project that we disagree with, we want to go back and rewrite the UDO. Mr. Williams asked if we were going to do this every time something comes up that some of the people are against. Mr. Williams stated that we can’t just go back and piecemeal the UDO every time something happens.

Mr. Beck stated that he does not agree with some of the UDO. Mr. Beck explained that some of the setbacks need to be stricter, especially around homes. Mr. Beck stated that the setbacks were not far enough and there is nothing written that restricts it being close to wells or anything like that. Mr. Beck explained that those need to be addressed.

Mrs. Zeiner explained that State Law needs to be looked at to ensure that the UDO is not more stringent.

Mr. McKee stated that the UDO was written with the understanding that we could hit speed bumps along the way and adjustments would be needed.

Mr. Williams stated that his fear was that if we change setbacks for existing or non-existing homes, the Board of Zoning Appeals would be overloaded with variance requests.

Mrs. Zeiner explained that the setbacks Mr. Beck was referring to were within the wind and solar section of the UDO only.

Mrs. Skirvin stated that the changes would be for only data centers, wind and solar.

Mr. McKee stated that we would not be changing the entire UDO.

Mr. Williams asked for clarification on what the moratorium would do.

Mr. Beck stated it would be setbacks and other things.

Mr. Williams stated that was kind of broad.

Mrs. Zeiner stated that the UDO specifically states that if there is something that is not discussed anywhere in the UDO, like data centers are not mentioned in the UDO at all, those items are prohibited.

Mr. Beck asked about closed cell nuclear.

Mrs. Zeiner stated that was not addressed in the UDO.

Mr. Williams stated that we can't address every issue that might come up because we just don't know what they are, so those would be prohibited.

Mrs. Skirvin stated that since wind, solar, data centers, and nuclear have become very talked about issues and things are so broadly written, we need to put some more specifics in there.

Mr. Williams asked if the plan was to make setback more restrictive or not allowing these in Putnam County.

Mrs. Skirvin stated that it wouldn't be a ban. Mrs. Skirvin explained that solar has its place, data centers have their place, but we need to look at all our natural resources and see exactly what they are and do so in a way that is going to protect our citizens because they have been very vocal about what they want.

Mr. Williams asked about the committee that would be assigned to work on this. Mr. Williams explained that when we were working on the UDO, it was countywide input.

Mr. Beck stated that there would be open hearings.

Mr. Williams asked if there would be workshops again.

Mrs. Zeiner stated that there should be workshops. Mrs. Zeiner explained that this isn't just the county, we need to involve the towns of Cloverdale, Bainbridge, Roachdale, and Russellville as they have also adopted the UDO. Mrs. Zeiner stated that whatever changes to the UDO are made, it affects those towns as well.

Mr. Williams asked how long the moratorium would be in place.

Mrs. Skirvin stated it would last no longer than one year.

Mr. Beck stated that SRMs could also be addressed.

Mr. Williams stated that the entire UDO should be reviewed during this time.

Mr. Beck stated that there are other things that need to be changed like the ten (10) acre rule and not allowing livestock on two or three acres.

Mrs. Zeiner stated that livestock is not allowed in residential areas. Mrs. Zeiner explained that whether livestock is allowed or not is based on zoning not lot size.

Mr. Beck stated that it needs to state in the UDO that wind, solar, items like those, are not natural resources; they are industrial.

Mr. Browers asked if there was anyone in the audience who wanted to speak on this case.

Emily Knuth, representative of EnergyRe, approached the board. Mrs. Knuth stated that true leadership requires not just responding to uncertainty but helping shape the future of Putnam County. Mrs. Knuth requested that a one-year moratorium not be placed on energy projects. Mrs. Knuth stated that a moratorium is not necessary. Mrs. Knuth explained that there are not any other projects in the queue or applications being submitted. Mrs. Knuth urged the board to not pause progress but work together to use this moment productively to negotiate and refine solar projects that work for Putnam County. Mrs. Knuth explained that the county has already done the hard planning work by completing the award-winning Unified Development Ordinance, a process that included extensive public input, professional expertise, and months of careful deliberation. Mrs. Knuth stated that the UDO was designed to provide exactly the kind of framework and local controls that communities need to guide responsible development, including renewable energy. Mrs. Knuth explained that putting a moratorium in place new effectively undermines that effort and sends the message that the work your citizens and your planning department doesn't count and was a waste of tax dollars. Mrs. Knuth stated that beyond local impact, there's a broader issue at stake. Mrs. Knuth explained that across Indiana, the state is watching counties closely. Mrs. Knuth stated that counties that enact moratoriums are seen as closed for business. Signaling that they are not ready to participate in modern economic development and in growing energy demands. Mrs. Knuth explained that perception has real consequences for investment, for tax revenue, and for how our community is viewed by the state. Mrs. Knuth stated that more concerning is the local pauses to strengthen the argument in Indianapolis that energy-sitting decisions should be taken over by the state, removing local control. Mrs. Knuth explained that if that happens, decisions that should be made here by this board, by the people in this community who live in our county, would be made by state officials who never set foot here. Mrs. Knuth explained that decisions are complex and matter deeply to the future of this county. Mrs. Knuth stated that leadership is about balance, listening to concerns, engaging with partners, and crafting solutions that serve both the present and the future. Mrs. Knuth explained that EnergyRe is ready to be a partner, to collaborate with the county, to listen, and to design a solar project that fits Putnam County's goals and values. Mrs. Knuth stated that it was time to work together, not to stand still.

Joan Billman approached the board. Mrs. Billman stated that she did not understand we were having this meeting. Mrs. Billman explained that the Russellville solar project was voted down as well as the Cloverdale solar project. Mrs. Billman asked if the zoning was changed.

Mr. Beck stated that the zoning had not changed. Mr. Beck explained that we want to rewrite some things in the UDO.

Mr. McKee stated that we want to look at the zoning requirements and make some updates. Mr. McKee explained that the board wants the UDO to be more in line with concerns brought up.

Mrs. Billman asked why when the projects were voted down.

Mr. Bowers explained that other projects like that could be submitted.

Mrs. Billman asked if the board was trying to get everything ready for when they came back. Mrs. Billman stated that the people do not want solar.

Paul Cummings, representative of EnergyRe, approached the board. Mr. Cummings stated that a revised rezoning application was submitted to the Planning Department today. Mr. Cummings explained that he did not see a reason for the moratorium as there are no other applications under review and based on the technical aspects of the grid, we are not likely to see any others. Mr. Cummings stated that the project has been cut in half, we have doubled the setbacks for all homes. Mr. Cummings explained that the key question for the county is how to fund schools and the government without increasing taxes. Mr. Cummings stated that projects like this are a good way to do that. Mr. Cummings explained that shutting down development generally leads to less service and higher taxes. Mr. Cummings stated that the state was pushing for local income tax to fill the gaps created by Senate Bill 1. Mr. Cummings explained that the state slashed business personal property tax, meaning that businesses got their property taxes cut. Mr. Cummings stated that now everyone who lives in the community is going to have their income taxes raised.

Susan Hacker approached the board. Mrs. Hacker stated that she supports redoing the UDO.

Tony Hacker approached the board. Mr. Hacker stated that he appreciates the support in the community, Mr. Hacker explained that the modifications to the UDO are necessary. Mr. Hacker stated that the UDO is essentially the state's minimum, and he does not believe that is sufficient. Mr. Hacker encouraged the board to take the necessary steps so that the community does not have to go through this again.

Tom Helmer, County Commissioner, approached the board. Mr. Helmer stated that he did not want the State to come in and take over. Mr. Helmer explained that he did not think a moratorium was necessary.

Mr. Williams asked if moving solar to industrial can farming still take place.

Mrs. Zeiner stated that farming, sheep grazing, would not be allowed under industrial zoning.

Mr. Williams stated that unless the parcel was divided so that part was industrial and part was agricultural, the part that doesn't have panels would grow up in weeds because grazing would not be allowed.

Mr. Bowers asked if anyone else from the audience wanted to speak on this issue. No one came forward. Mr. Bowers closed the public hearing portion of the meeting.

Mr. McKee verified that the Russellville and Cloverdale solar projects were both denied under the current UDO.

Mrs. Zeiner explained that when the Russellville project was first heard it was done so under the previous zoning ordinance and went before the BZA, which denied the special exception request. Mrs. Zeiner stated that after the UDO was passed, the Russellville project resubmitted an application under the current UDO, which was denied.

Mr. Williams stated that the Russellville solar was approved by the commissioners and county council, but the BZA denied it.

Mrs. Zeiner explained that the county council only approved the road use agreement, the decommissioning agreement, and the economic development plan. Mrs. Zeiner stated that the special exception was denied by the BZA, and the rezoning request was denied by both the Plan Commission and the County Commissioners.

Mr. Williams asked if we would need to hire the company that assisted with the original draft of the UDO.

Mrs. Zeiner suggested that they be hired to make sure we are in line with State codes and that we follow the process and procedures required for updating ordinances.

Mr. Williams agreed that hiring experts keeps from piecemealing and leaving out items.

Mrs. Zeiner stated that a steering committee would be needed to make sure we cover all aspects and get input from a variety of people.

Mr. Williams stated that he agreed with Mr. Helmer in not wanting the state to come in and the county not having a say.

Mrs. Skirvin stated that a moratorium should be in place to allow the county to revisit the UDO.

Mr. Williams stated that we could revisit the UDO without the moratorium.

Mrs. Skirvin stated that the moratorium would put a hold on any new projects. Mrs. Skirvin explained that just because the board has not heard of any projects coming, doesn't mean talks are happening.

Mr. Williams asked if the thinking is not allowing solar.

Mrs. Skirvin stated that was not the case. Mrs. Skirvin explained that there are some gray areas within the UDO that need to be addressed.

Mr. Williams explained that you cannot address everything because technology changes so much.

Mr. Skirvin stated that everyone wants what is best for the whole community.

Mr. Beck explained that when it comes to school taxes, the schools do collect 69% of the county's tax money and people think the schools need more. Mr. Beck stated that the schools needed to start spending their money wisely on education instead of other things.

Mrs. Skirvin explained that a moratorium would allow the county to look at solar, wind, and data centers and establish a steering committee to have meetings to determine what we want to change in the UDO for those three items.

Mr. Bowers stated that more specifically it would not allow for any new applications to be accepted after today's date.

Mr. Beck stated that the commissioners would have to vote on the moratorium.

Mr. Bowers asked if there were any additional comments. There being none. Mr. Bowers asked for a motion.

Mr. Beck made a motion to recommend that the County Commissioner's place a moratorium on application for data centers, solar, and wind for one year until we meet and change our rulings of the UDO.

Mrs. Skirvin seconded the motion.

Mr. Williams asked if there needed to be one motion or two separate motions, one for the moratorium and one for the revisions to the UDO.

Mrs. Zeiner stated that they could be done jointly.

Mr. Williams stated that revising the UDO is necessary, however he did not believe a moratorium would be necessary.

Mrs. Skirvin asked if the moratorium would hurt anything.

Mr. Williams stated probably not. Mr. Williams explained that was why he thought two motions were needed.

Mr. Beck asked if he should have included the SRMs.

Mrs. Skirvin made a motion to amend the motion by adding on SMRs.

Mr. Beck seconded the motion.

Mr. Bowers asked for a vote via rollcall.

Mrs. Zeiner called the names of the board members: Kate Skirvin – Yes; Andy Beck – Yes; Greg Williams – Yes; Zach Bowers – Yes; James Mckee – Yes. Mrs. Zeiner stated that all members present voted favorably to accept the amended motion.

Mr. Bowers called for a vote of the amended motion.

Mr. Williams asked for the motion to be restated.

Mrs. Zeiner stated that the motion was to request a moratorium on applications for wind, solar, data centers, and SMRs for one to allow for the county to revisit the UDO and make updates.

Mrs. Zeiner called the names of the board members: Kate Skirvin – Yes; Andy Beck – Yes; Greg Williams – Yes; Zach Bowers – Yes; James McKee – Yes. Mrs. Zeiner stated that all members present voted favorably for the moratorium to be placed on wind, solar, data centers, and SMR applications for one year and to revisit and update the UDO. Mrs. Zeiner stated that this would need to go before the County Commissioners for final approval.

Mr. Beck asked the board to consider the meetings moving to Tuesday night instead of Monday nights.

Mrs. Zeiner stated that the meeting room is booked by someone else for Tuesday nights.

Mr. Williams stated that the application that was submitted today would be heard in December.

Mr. Beck asked about moving the meeting or having it in the Commissioner's room.

Mrs. Zeiner stated that due to the poor service at the Fairgrounds the board should consider other locations.

Mr. Beck asked about the location in Cloverdale High School.

Mrs. Zeiner stated she would look into the availability of the school. Mrs. Zeiner stated that there would also be a BZA meeting that night with at least two items on their agenda.

Mr. Beck asked about moving the meeting to January.

Mr. McKee stated that the regular scheduled meeting would be January 12<sup>th</sup>.

Mrs. Zeiner stated that we would have to check to see if the Cloverdale School is available on that day.

Mr. Bowers asked if there was any other business before the board.

There being no other business before the board, Mr. McKee made a motion to adjourn the meeting.

Mrs. Skirvin seconded the motion.

The meeting was adjourned at 6:42 p.m. with all in favor.

Minutes approved on the 12 day of February 2026.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Zach Bowers, Vice-President

PUTNAM COUNTY PLAN COMMISSION

November 10, 2025 at 5:30 P.m.

SIGN IN SHEET

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

NAME	ADDRESS
Don Baumgardner	1250 N Jackson Greencastle IN
✓ Emily Knuth	150 E CO RD 300 S Greencastle, IN
✓ Paul Cummings	2107 S S R 135 Franklin IN 46131
✗ Robert N. Mann	5186 E SA 42 CLOVENS IN 46120
✗ CHRIS MANN	4068 EAST COUNTY ROAD 1200 SOUTH CLOVERDALE IN 46120
✓ Susan Hacker	5031 E CR 1000 S Cloverdale IN 46120
✓ Tony Hacker	" "
✗ Andy Fidler	11544 S CR 850 E Quincy IN 47456
✓ Lori L Paul	6679 E CR 1000 S. Cloverdale IN

PUTNAM COUNTY PLAN COMMISSION

November 10, 2025 at 5:30 P.m.

SIGN IN SHEET

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

✓ Brian R Paul	6679 E CR 1000 S Cloverdale In
✓ Paula Sharp	6850 E. 1000 S Cloverdale
✓ Tom Heimer	403 LINCOLN AVE CLOVERDALE
✓ Joan Billman	1399 E. CR 350 S Greenall

**PUTNAM COUNTY AREA PLANNING COMMISSION**

**AGENDA**

**MONDAY November 10, 2025**

**5:30 P.M.**

Commissioner's Meeting Room -Putnam County Courthouse  
1 W Washington Street - Greencastle, IN 46135  
(765) 301-9108

**1. CALL TO ORDER**

**ROLL CALL DETERMINATION OF QUORUM**

Kevin Scobee  
(Superintend 2025-2029)  
 Jenna Nees  
(Virtue of Office)  
 Kate Skirvin  
(Council 2025-2029)

Andy Beck  
(Comm. 2025-2029)  
 Greg Williams  
(virtue of Office)  
 Zach Bowers  
(Roachdale 2025-2029)

James McKee  
(Cloverdale 2024-2028)  
 Jim Ensley, Attorney  
 Lisa Zeiner, Plan Director

**2. REVIEW OF MINUTES – August 11, 2025, and September 11, 2025, Meeting Minutes (October meeting was cancelled)**

**3. PUBLIC HEARINGS** - Public hearing items have been advertised according to law. For items involving a piece of land, courtesy notices have been sent to some property owners. Testimony for and against each proposal will be made and a decision by the plan Commission made. The Commission may continue the item to another date for hearing if the public is better served by such a continuance.

❖ **OLD BUSINESS: NONE**

❖ **NEW BUSINESS:**

- **2025-RZ-069: DONALD BARNETT** – Rezone from General Commercial (C2) to Residential (R1); Greencastle Township; 16/14N/4W; 1250 N Jackson St Greencastle; (Parcel #67-09-16-202-018.000-007)
- **Unified Development Ordinance Amendment** – proposed amendments to the Unified Development Ordinance, Chapter 2.5 (Natural Resources District); Chapter 3 (Use Matrix / Solar Energy Conservation Systems / Wind Energy Conservation Systems)

**4. BUSINESS SESSION** - In its business session, the Plan Commission meets in open session to discuss each item and make a decision. By law, a business session agenda is posted at least 48 hours prior to this meeting. This is not a public hearing. No testimony is taken unless the Plan Commission requests it. The Plan Commission may continue an item to another date for the hearing if the public is better served by such a continuance.

**5. OTHER BUSINESS:**

**6. WISHES TO BE HEARD**

Information pertaining to these cases is available to the public weekdays from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. at the Department of Planning & Building, Putnam County Courthouse 1 W Washington St, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Room 46 Greencastle, Indiana 46135. There are times during routine application processing when files may not be immediately available. Written objections to any item on the agenda may be filed with the secretary of the Plan Commission before the hearing. At the hearing, oral comments concerning each Public Hearing proposed will be heard. The jurisdiction of the Plan Commission is all of Putnam County except the City of Greencastle, and the Towns of Bainbridge, Cloverdale, and Roachdale. For more information call (765) 301-9108.

FOR SPECIAL ACCOMODATIONS NEEDED FOR HANDICAPPED INDIVIDUALS PLANNING TO ATTEND THIS HEARING. PLEASE CALL, THE PLANNING SECRETARY AT (765) 301-9108 AT LEAST 48 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING.

# Report Of Collection

Approved by State Board of Accounts for Putnam County, 2001

To: Putnam County Auditor  
(Title of Officer)

BUILDING DEPT  
(Governmental Unit)

Putnam County, Indiana  
(County)

Collections for Period: 1/1/2025 thru 10/29/2025

Description	Funds to be Credited	Collections This Period	Prior Collections	Year to Date Collections
<b>Total Amount Collected</b>		<b>\$211,183.55</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$211,183.55</b>

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct report of collections due the above named governmental unit for the period shown.

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_

**Note**

This is not to be used as a receipt for collections. The official to whom the report is made must issue an official receipt for the collections remitted.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Title of Officer)

Totals by Fund	
1180-10	\$1,767.55
1180-18	\$203,216.00
4906-18	\$6,200.00
	<b>\$211,183.55</b>

# Report Of Collection

Approved by State Board of Accounts for Putnam County, 2001

To: Putnam County Auditor  
(Title of Officer)

BUILDING DEPT  
(Governmental Unit)

Putnam County, Indiana  
(County)

Collections for Period: 1/1/2025 thru 10/29/2025

Description	Funds to be Credited	Collections This Period	Prior Collections	Year to Date Collections
3 ABOVE-GROUND POOL	1180-18	\$180.00	\$0.00	\$180.00
2 ADDITION (COMMERCIAL)	1180-18	\$1,200.00	\$0.00	\$1,200.00
12 ADDITION (RESIDENTIAL)	1180-18	\$3,600.00	\$0.00	\$3,600.00
2 ALTERATION OF DRAWINGS - FIRST VIOLATION	1180-18	\$200.00	\$0.00	\$200.00
1 ALTERATIONS (COMMERCIAL)	1180-18	\$60.00	\$0.00	\$60.00
36 ALTERATIONS (RESIDENTIAL)	1180-18	\$2,160.00	\$0.00	\$2,160.00
3 ATTACHED ACCESSORY BUILDINGS	1180-18	\$600.00	\$0.00	\$600.00
5 ATTACHED DECK	1180-18	\$300.00	\$0.00	\$300.00
83 BUILDING PERMIT	1180-18	\$33,200.00	\$0.00	\$33,200.00
268005 BUILDING PERMIT/ PER SQ FT	1180-18	\$53,601.00	\$0.00	\$53,601.00
3 BUSINESS, COMMERCIAL, PUBLIC	1180-18	\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$3,000.00
167 CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY	1180-18	\$3,340.00	\$0.00	\$3,340.00
6 CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT PERMIT - FIRST VIOLATION	1180-18	\$1,800.00	\$0.00	\$1,800.00
3 CONTINUING WORK W/O INSPECTION - SEQUENT V	1180-18	\$300.00	\$0.00	\$300.00
3 CONTINUING WORK WITHOUT INSPECTION - 1ST VI	1180-18	\$250.00	\$0.00	\$250.00
62 CONTRACTOR LISTING	4906-18	\$6,200.00	\$0.00	\$6,200.00
39 COPYS BW PER PAGE	1180-10	\$19.50	\$0.00	\$19.50
9 COPYS COLOR PER PAGE	1180-10	\$9.00	\$0.00	\$9.00
20 DEMOLITION PERMIT	1180-18	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,000.00
23 DETACHED ACCESSORY - PREBUILT	1180-18	\$1,380.00	\$0.00	\$1,380.00
64 DETACHED ACCESSORY BUILDINGS	1180-18	\$9,600.00	\$0.00	\$9,600.00
54 DETACHED ACCESSORY- GENERAL	1180-18	\$3,240.00	\$0.00	\$3,240.00
2 EDUCATIONAL, INSTITUTE, CHURCH	1180-18	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	\$2,000.00
148 ELECTRICAL	1180-18	\$8,880.00	\$0.00	\$8,880.00
4 EMERGENCY ELECTRICAL	1180-18	\$120.00	\$0.00	\$120.00
17 FENCE PERMIT	1180-18	\$1,020.00	\$0.00	\$1,020.00
3 IN-GROUND POOL	1180-18	\$180.00	\$0.00	\$180.00
16 MANUFACTURED TYPE II, TEMP STRUC	1180-18	\$1,600.00	\$0.00	\$1,600.00
1 MANUFACTURING/WAREHOUSE	1180-18	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	\$2,000.00
24 MAUFACTURED TYPE I, MULTI-SEC	1180-18	\$4,800.00	\$0.00	\$4,800.00
12 OTHER	1180-10	\$1,739.05	\$0.00	\$1,739.05
14 RENEW BUILDING PERMIT	1180-18	\$1,520.00	\$0.00	\$1,520.00
3 ROOF	1180-18	\$180.00	\$0.00	\$180.00
1 SIGN	1180-18	\$60.00	\$0.00	\$60.00
1026 SINGLE INSPECTION	1180-18	\$61,560.00	\$0.00	\$61,560.00
3 SOLAR PANEL PERMIT	1180-18	\$225.00	\$0.00	\$225.00
1 TEMPORARY USE PERMIT	1180-18	\$60.00	\$0.00	\$60.00

# Report Of Collection

Approved by State Board of Accounts for Putnam County, 2001

To: Putnam County Auditor  
(Title of Officer)

Planning/Building  
(Governmental Unit)

Putnam County, Indiana  
(County)

Collections for Period: 1/1/2025 thru 10/29/2025

	Description	Funds to be Credited	Collections This Period	Prior Collections	Year to Date Collections
3	ROOF	1180-18	\$180.00	\$0.00	\$180.00
31	RURAL SUBDIVISION	1000-10	\$7,750.00	\$0.00	\$7,750.00
5	RURAL SUBDIVISION PER BUILDING LOT (OVER 2)	1000-10	\$100.00	\$0.00	\$100.00
1	SIGN	1180-18	\$60.00	\$0.00	\$60.00
1026	SINGLE INSPECTION	1180-18	\$61,560.00	\$0.00	\$61,560.00
3	SOLAR PANEL PERMIT	1180-18	\$225.00	\$0.00	\$225.00
6	SPECIAL EXCEPTION	1000-10	\$2,400.00	\$0.00	\$2,400.00
1	TEMPORARY USE PERMIT	1180-18	\$60.00	\$0.00	\$60.00
9	VARIANCE	1000-10	\$2,700.00	\$0.00	\$2,700.00
<b>Total Amount Collected</b>			<b>\$241,602.80</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$241,602.80</b>

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct report of collections due the above named governmental unit for the period shown.

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_

**Note**

This is not to be used as a receipt for collections. The official to whom the report is made must issue an official receipt for the collections remitted.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Title of Officer)

Totals by Fund	
1000-10	\$29,816.25
1180-10	\$1,767.55
1180-18	\$203,216.00
1181.010	\$603.00
4906-18	\$6,200.00
	<b>\$241,602.80</b>

# Report Of Collection

Approved by State Board of Accounts for Putnam County, 2001

To: Putnam County Auditor  
(Title of Officer)

Planning/Building  
(Governmental Unit)

Putnam County, Indiana  
(County)

Collections for Period: 1/1/2025 thru 10/29/2025

Description	Funds to be Credited	Collections This Period	Prior Collections	Year to Date Collections
3 ABOVE-GROUND POOL	1180-18	\$180.00	\$0.00	\$180.00
2 ADDITION (COMMERCIAL)	1180-18	\$1,200.00	\$0.00	\$1,200.00
12 ADDITION (RESIDENTIAL)	1180-18	\$3,600.00	\$0.00	\$3,600.00
2 ALTERATION OF DRAWINGS - FIRST VIOLATION	1180-18	\$200.00	\$0.00	\$200.00
1 ALTERATIONS (COMMERCIAL)	1180-18	\$60.00	\$0.00	\$60.00
36 ALTERATIONS (RESIDENTIAL)	1180-18	\$2,160.00	\$0.00	\$2,160.00
3 ATTACHED ACCESSORY BUILDINGS	1180-18	\$600.00	\$0.00	\$600.00
5 ATTACHED DECK	1180-18	\$300.00	\$0.00	\$300.00
83 BUILDING PERMIT	1180-18	\$33,200.00	\$0.00	\$33,200.00
268005 BUILDING PERMIT/ PER SQ FT	1180-18	\$53,601.00	\$0.00	\$53,601.00
3 BUSINESS, COMMERCIAL, PUBLIC	1180-18	\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$3,000.00
167 CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY	1180-18	\$3,340.00	\$0.00	\$3,340.00
6 CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT PERMIT - FIRST VIOLATION	1180-18	\$1,800.00	\$0.00	\$1,800.00
3 CONTINUING WORK W/O INSPECTION - SEQUENTIAL	1180-18	\$300.00	\$0.00	\$300.00
3 CONTINUING WORK WITHOUT INSPECTION - 1ST VIOLATION	1180-18	\$250.00	\$0.00	\$250.00
62 CONTRACTOR LISTING	4906-18	\$6,200.00	\$0.00	\$6,200.00
244 COPY - WIDE FORMAT	1181.010	\$488.00	\$0.00	\$488.00
23 COPY WIDE FORMAT COLOR	1181.010	\$115.00	\$0.00	\$115.00
39 COPYS B/W PER PAGE	1180-10	\$19.50	\$0.00	\$19.50
9 COPYS COLOR PER PAGE	1180-10	\$9.00	\$0.00	\$9.00
20 DEMOLITION PERMIT	1180-18	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,000.00
23 DETACHED ACCESSORY - PREBUILT	1180-18	\$1,380.00	\$0.00	\$1,380.00
64 DETACHED ACCESSORY BUILDINGS	1180-18	\$9,600.00	\$0.00	\$9,600.00
54 DETACHED ACCESSORY- GENERAL	1180-18	\$3,240.00	\$0.00	\$3,240.00
2 EDUCATIONAL, INSTITUTE, CHURCH	1180-18	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	\$2,000.00
148 ELECTRICAL	1180-18	\$8,880.00	\$0.00	\$8,880.00
4 EMERGENCY ELECTRICAL	1180-18	\$120.00	\$0.00	\$120.00
13 ENGINEERING REVIEW FEE (PER HR)	1000-10	\$2,936.25	\$0.00	\$2,936.25
17 FENCE PERMIT	1180-18	\$1,020.00	\$0.00	\$1,020.00
3 IN-GROUND POOL	1180-18	\$180.00	\$0.00	\$180.00
7 LEGAL AD FEE	1000-10	\$280.00	\$0.00	\$280.00
34 MAJOR SB RESIDENTIAL - PER LOT	1000-10	\$850.00	\$0.00	\$850.00
1 MAJOR SB RESIDENTIAL PRIMARY PLAT	1000-10	\$600.00	\$0.00	\$600.00
16 MANUFACTURED TYPE II, TEMP STRUC	1180-18	\$1,600.00	\$0.00	\$1,600.00
1 MANUFACTURING/WAREHOUSE	1180-18	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	\$2,000.00
24 MAUFACTURED TYPE I, MULTI-SEC	1180-18	\$4,800.00	\$0.00	\$4,800.00
1 MINOR SB RESIDENTIAL SECONDARY	1000-10	\$350.00	\$0.00	\$350.00
12 OTHER	1180-10	\$1,739.05	\$0.00	\$1,739.05
14 RENEW BUILDING PERMIT	1180-18	\$1,520.00	\$0.00	\$1,520.00
1 REPLAT	1000-10	\$400.00	\$0.00	\$400.00
2 REPLAT - 1 LOT ONLY	1000-10	\$400.00	\$0.00	\$400.00
1 REPLAT - STARDUST	1000-10	\$250.00	\$0.00	\$250.00
102 REZONE - \$25.00 PER ACRE	1000-10	\$2,550.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00
1 REZONE - MAX FEE	1000-10	\$5,000.00	\$0.00	\$5,000.00
1 REZONE - OTHER	1000-10	\$250.00	\$0.00	\$250.00
8 REZONE SAME USE	1000-10	\$1,200.00	\$0.00	\$1,200.00
3 REZONING	1000-10	\$1,800.00	\$0.00	\$1,800.00

2025 BUILDING PERMIT REPORT AS OF 10/29/2025

LOCATION	TYPE OF PERMIT	NUMBER OF PERMITS ISSUED	
COUNTY	1-2 Family Dwellings	33	
	Above Ground Pools	2	
	Accessory Dwellings	5	
	Addition/Alterations	26	
	Attached Accessory	1	
	Commercial	2	
	Demolition	17	
	Detached Accessory	129	
	Educational/Church	2	
	Electrical	131	
	Fence	1	
	ILP - GENERAL	1	
	In-Ground Pool	1	
	Lean To	4	
	Living Quarters	4	
	Manufactured (Double)	10	
	Manufactured (Single)	5	
	Remodel	7	
	Roof (Storm Damage)	4	
	Storage/Industrial		
	Sign	1	
	Solar	3	
	Temporary Use	1	
	Underground Storage		
		390	
		<b>TOTAL</b>	

LOCATION	TYPE OF PERMIT	NUMBER OF PERMITS ISSUED
TOWN OF BAINBRIDGE	1-2 Fam. Dwelling	2
	Accessory Dwelling	
	Addition/Alt.	
	Attached Accessory	
	Demolition	
	Detached Accessory	1
	Commercial	
	Electric	4
	Fence	2
	Remodel	
TOWN OF ROACHDALE	Storage/Indust.	1
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10</b>
	1-2 Fam. Dwelling	1
	Accessory Dwelling	
	Addition/Alt.	
	Attached Accessory	
	Demolition	
	Detached Accessory	2
	Commercial	
	Electric	2
TOWN OF CLOVERDALE	Fence	6
	Lean To	1
	Remodel	
	Manufactured	2
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>14</b>

LOCATION	TYPE OF PERMIT	NUMBER OF PERMITS ISSUED
TOWN OF RUSSELLVILLE	Electric	3
	Additions	1
	Detached Accessory	1
	Demolition	
	Commercial	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>5</b>
TOWN OF CLOVERDALE	1-2 Fam. Dwelling	
	Accessory Dwelling	
	Addition/Alt.	
	Attached Accessory	4
	Demolition	1
	Detached Accessory	2
	Commercial	1
	Electric	6
	Fence	5
	Lean To	
	Remodel	2
	Manufactured	22
	Temporary Use	1
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>44</b>
HERITAGE LAKE	1-2 Fam. Dwelling	41
	Accessory Dwelling	
	Addition/Alt.	8
	Attached Accessory	5
	Demolition	1
	Detached Accessory	6
	Commercial	
	Electric	7
	Fence	
	Lean To	
	Remodel	1
	ILP	2
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL PERMITS</b>		<b>534</b>

2025 PLAN COMMISSION & BZA CASE REPORTS AS OF 10/29/2025

BZA - CASES

LOCATION	TYPE	NUMBER
County	Development Standards Variance	9
	Special Exception	8
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>17</b>
Town of Bainbridge	Development Standards Variance	
	Special Exception	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>
Town of Roachdale	Development Standards Variance	
	Special Exception	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>
Town of Cloverdale	Development Standards Variance	
	Special Exception	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>
Town of Russellville	Development Standards Variance	
	Special Exception	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL BZA CASES</b>		<b>17</b>

PLAN COMMISSION - CASES

LOCATION	TYPE	NUMBER
COUNTY	Major Plat	0
	Development Plan Review	
	Replat	
	Rezoning	13
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>13</b>
TECH REVIEW ONLY	Stormwater Review	3
	Development Plan Review	1
	Rural Subdivision	11
	Minor Plat	22
	Replat	7
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>44</b>
Town of Bainbridge	Minor Plat	
	Major Plat	
	Development Plan Review	
	Rezoning	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>
Town of Roachdale (County hears these)	Minor Plat	
	Major Plat	
	Development Plan Review	
	Rezoning (1 heard by Council)	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>
Town of Cloverdale (County hears these)	Minor Plat	
	Major Plat	
	Development Plan Review	
	Rezoning	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>
Town of Russellville (County Hears these)	Minor Plat	
	Major Plat	
	Rezoning	
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>
	<b>GRAND TOTAL PC CASES</b>	