ELECTRONICALLY RECEIVED - 7/23/2025 4:59 PM - By: Sylvia Guajardo, DEPUTY

BIBIYAN LAW GROUP, P.C. David D. Bibiyan (SBN 287811) david@tomorrowlaw.com 2 FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA Vedang J. Patel (SBN 328647) COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO vedang@tomorrowlaw.com 1460 Westwood Boulevard AUG 14 2025 Los Angeles, California 90024 Telephone: (310) 438-5555; Facsimile: (310) 300-1705 5 Attorneys for KYLE BELIN, Amaris Morales Eumana, Deputy as an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO 9 KYLE BELIN, an individual and on CASE NO.: CIVSB2424446 10 behalf of all others similarly situated, individuals and on behalf of all others [Assigned to the Hon. Thomas S. Garza in Dept. 11 similarly situated. S27] 12 Plaintiff. [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING 13 PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS V. ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE 14 **ACTION SETTLEMENT AND** HSNI, LLC, a Delaware Limited CERTIFYING CLASS FOR 15 Liability Company doing business as SETTLEMENT PURPOSES ONLY HOME SHOPPING NETWORK: DANIEL HODGE, an individual and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION

SETTLEMENT

This Court, having considered the motion of Kyle Belin ("Plaintiff"), for Preliminary Approval of the Class Action and Representative Action Settlement and Provisional Class Certification for Settlement Purposes Only ("Motion for Preliminary Approval"), the Declarations of Vedang J. Patel, David D. Bibiyan, Plaintiff, and Anthony Rogers, the Class and PAGA Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement," "Settlement Agreement" or "Agreement"), the proposed Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement and Date for Final Approval Hearing ("Class Notice"), and other documents submitted in support of the Motion for Preliminary Approval, hereby ORDERS,

ADJUDGES AND DECREES THAT:

- 1. The definitions set out in the Settlement Agreement are incorporated by reference into this Order; all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order.
- 2. The Court certifies the following settlement class ("Settlement Class," "Settlement Class Members" or "Class Members") for the purpose of settlement only: all persons employed by defendant HSNi, LLC ("Defendant") in California and classified as a non-exempt, hourly-paid employee who worked for Defendant during the period between August 12, 2020 through and including March 31, 2025 ("Class Period") in the State of California.
- 3. The Court preliminarily appoints the named Plaintiff as Class Representative. The Court also preliminarily appoints David D. Bibiyan and Vedang J. Patel of Bibiyan Law Group, P.C. as Class Counsel.
- 4. The Court preliminarily approves the proposed class settlement upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds, on a preliminary basis, that the settlement appears to be within the range of reasonableness of settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by the Court. It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the settlement amount is fair, adequate, and reasonable as to all potential class members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to liability and damages issues. It further appears that extensive and costly investigation and research has been conducted such that counsel for the parties at this time are reasonably able to evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that the settlement at this time will avoid substantial additional costs to all parties, as well as the

delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action. It further appears that the settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, non-collusive, and arms-length negotiations utilizing an experienced third-party neutral.

- 5. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice that has been submitted herewith.
- 6. The Court directs the mailing of the Class Notice by first-class regular U.S. mail to the Class Members in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that dissemination of the Class Notice set forth in the Settlement Agreement complies with the requirements of law and appears to be the best notice practicable under the circumstances.
- 7. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the definition and disposition of the Gross Settlement Amount of \$750,000.00 which is inclusive of: attorneys' fees of not more than thirty-five percent (35%) of the Gross Settlement Amount, which, if not escalated pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, amounts to \$262,500.00, in addition to actual costs incurred of up to \$40,000.00; a service award of \$7,500.00 to Plaintiff; costs of settlement administration of no more than \$8,950.00 and Private Attorneys' General Act of 2004 ("PAGA") penalties in the amount of \$37,500.00, of which \$24,375.00 (65%) will be paid to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and \$13,125.00 (35%) to Aggrieved Employees.
- 8. "Aggrieved Employee" means a person employed by Defendant in California and classified as a non-exempt, hourly-paid employee who worked for Defendant during the period from July 29, 2023 through the end of the Class Period ("PAGA Period") in the State of California.
- 9. The Gross Settlement Amount expressly excludes Employer Taxes, which will be paid separately and apart by Defendant on the wages portion of the Gross Settlement Amount.
- 10. "Workweek" means any week during which a Class Member worked for Defendant, for at least one day during the Class Period.
- 11. The Settlement was negotiated based on the Parties' estimate that there are no more than 25,000 Workweeks worked during the Class Period. In the event the number of Workweeks worked by Class Members during the Class Period increases by more than 10%, or 2,500

Workweeks, then Defendant will have the option to increase the Gross Settlement Amount proportionally by the Workweeks in excess of 27,500 Workweeks multiplied by the Workweek Value. The Workweek Value shall be calculated by dividing the originally agreed-upon Gross Settlement Amount (\$750,000.00) by 25,000, which amounts to a Workweek Value of \$30.00. Thus, for example, should there be 28,000 Workweeks in the Class Period, then the Gross Settlement Amount shall be increased by \$15,000.00 ((28,000 Workweeks minus 27,500 Workweeks) x \$30.00 per Workweek.). In its sole and absolute discretion, Defendant may also choose to limit the Class Period such that the Class Period ends on the date when Class Members have worked no more than 27,500 Workweeks. Defendant must make its election no later than 7 days after receiving sufficient information that the number of workweeks has exceeded 27,500.

- 12. The Court deems, ILYM Group, Inc. ("Settlement Administrator," "Administrator," or "ILYM"), and payment of administrative costs, not to exceed \$8,950.00 out of the Gross Settlement Amount for services to be rendered by ILYM on behalf of the class.
- 13. Not later than 30 days after the Court grants Preliminary Approval of the Settlement, Defendant will simultaneously deliver the Class Data to the Administrator, in the form of a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. Defendant shall also provide to Class Counsel, at the time the Class Data is delivered, a sworn declaration supporting the information contained in the Class Data. "Class Data" means the following Class Member identifying information in Defendant's custody, possession, or control: each Class Member's (1) name; (2) last known address(es); (3) last known Social Security Number(s); and (4) number of PAGA Pay Periods and Class Workweeks, supported by a sworn declaration confirming the same.
- 14. The Settlement Administrator shall perform an address search using the United States Postal Service National Change of Address ("NCOA") database and update the addresses contained on the Class List with the newly-found addresses, if any.
- 15. Using best efforts to perform as soon as possible, and in no event later than 14 days after receiving the Class Data, the Administrator will send to all Class Members identified in the Class Data, via first-class United States Postal Service ("USPS") mail, the Class Notice with Spanish

16. "Response Deadline" means forty-five (45) days after the Administrator mails Notice to Class Members and Aggrieved Employees and shall be the last date on which Class Members may: (a) mail Requests for Exclusion from the Settlement, or (b) mail his or her Objection to the Settlement. Class Members to whom Notice Packets are resent after having been returned undeliverable to the Administrator shall have an additional 15 days beyond the Response Deadline has expired.

- Class Members who wish to exclude themselves (opt out of) the Class Settlement must send the Administrator, by mail, a signed written Request for Exclusion not later than 45 days after the Administrator mails the Class Notice (plus an additional 15 days for Class Members whose Class Notice is re-mailed). A Request for Exclusion is a letter from a Class Member or his/her representative that reasonably communicates the Class Member's election to be excluded from the Settlement and includes the Class Member's name, address and email address or telephone number. To be valid, a Request for Exclusion must be timely postmarked by the Response Deadline.
- 18. Every Class Member who does not submit a timely and valid Request for Exclusion is deemed to be a Participating Class Member under the Agreement, entitled to all benefits and bound by all terms and conditions of the Settlement, including the Participating Class Members' Releases, regardless whether the Participating Class Member actually receives the Class Notice or objects to the Settlement. Every Class Member who submits a valid and timely Request for Exclusion is a Non-Participating Class Member and shall not receive an Individual Class Payment or have the right to object to the class action components of the Settlement.
- 19. Each Class Member shall have 45 days after the Administrator mails the Class Notice (plus an additional 15 days for Class Members whose Class Notice is re-mailed) to challenge the number of Class Workweeks and PAGA Pay Periods (if any) allocated to the Class Member in the Class Notice.
- 20. Only Participating Class Members may object to the class action components of the Settlement and/or this Agreement, including contesting the fairness of the Settlement, and/or

1	amounts requested for the Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment
2	and/or Class Representative Incentive Award. Participating Class Members may send written
3	objections to the Administrator, by mail. In the alternative, Participating Class Members may appear
4	in Court (or hire an attorney to appear in Court) to present verbal objections at the Final Approval
5	Hearing.
6	21. If a Class Member submits both an objection and a Request for Exclusion, the
7	Request for Exclusion will control and the Objection will be overruled.
8	22. All papers filed in support of final approval, including supporting documents for
9	attorneys' fees and costs, shall be filed by in advance of the Final Fairness
10	and Approval Hearing.
11	23. A Final Fairness and Approval Hearing shall be held with the Court on
12	at a.m/p.m. in Department S27 of the above-entitled
13	Court to determine: (1) whether the proposed settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate, and should
14	be finally approved by the Court; (2) the amount of attorneys' fees and costs to be awarded to Class
15	Counsel; (3) the amount of service award to the Class Representative; (4) the amount to be paid to
16	the Settlement Administrator; and (5) the amount to be apportioned to PAGA and/or paid to the
17	LWDA and Aggrieved Employees.
18	24. For any Class Member whose Individual Class Payment check or Individual PAGA
19	Payment check is uncashed and cancelled after the void date (180 days), the Administrator shall
20	transmit the funds represented by such checks to the California Controller's Office, Unclaimed
21	Property Fund.
22	
23	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	7sm (8.7
26	Dated: Judge of the Superior Court
27	Thomas Garza