1 2 3 4 5 6	John G. Yslas (SBN 187324) jyslas@wilshirelawfirm.com Jeffrey C. Bils (SBN 301629) jbils@wilshirelawfirm.com Aram Boyadjian (SBN 334009) aboyadjian@wilshirelawfirm.com Andrew Sandoval (SBN 346996) andrew.sandoval@wilshirelawfirm.com WILSHIRE LAW FIRM 3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor Los Angeles, California 90010 Telephone: (213) 381-9988	APR 2 9 2024 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SUTTER CLERKED THE COURT By Depart
7	Facsimile: (213) 381-9989	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	FOR THE COUN	
12		
13	ISMAEL VILLEGAS-SANCHEZ, individually, and on behalf of all other aggrieved persons,	Case No. CVCS22-0002261
14	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION
15	v.	[Assigned for all purposes to: Hon. Perry Parker, Dept. 1]
16 17	COE ORCHARD EQUIPMENT, INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
18	Defendants.	[Filed with the Motion; Declaration of John G. Yslas; Declaration of Plaintiff Villegas-
19		Sanchez; and Proposed Order]
20		PRELIMINARY APPROVAL HEARING Date: April 29, 2024
21	,	Time: 9:00 a.m. Judge: Hon. Perry Parker SUSANG See
23		Dept:≉ 7,
24		Complaint Filed: December 30, 2022 Trial Date: Not set
25		11101 2000, 1100 000
26	,	
27		
28	1	

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

4/29

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having reviewed Plaintiff Ismael Villagas-Sanchez Ruiz's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Motion"), the Declaration of John G. Yslas, Plaintiff's declaration, and the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice ("Settlement Agreement"), and good cause appearing, the Court finds and orders as follows:

- 1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based on the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff and Defendant Coe Orchard Equipment, Inc.), attached to the Declaration of John G. Yslas in Support of Plaintiffs Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement as Exhibit 1.
- 2. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which could ultimately be given final approval by this Court, and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the Final Approval Hearing and final approval by this Court. The Court notes that Defendant has agreed to create a common fund of \$450,000.00 to cover (a) settlement payments to Class Members who do not validly opt-out; (b) a \$20,000.00 allocation toward civil penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act, 75% of which (\$15,000.00) will be paid to the State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency and 25% of which (\$5,000.00) will be paid to eligible Aggrieved Employees; (c) Class Representative service payment of up to \$10,000 for Plaintiff Ismael Villegas-Sanchez; (d) Class Counsel's attorneys' fees, not to exceed 33 1/3% of the Gross Settlement Amount (i.e., \$150,000.00), and up to \$12,500.00 in costs for actual litigation expenses incurred by Class Counsel; and (e) Settlement Administration Costs of up to \$8,750.00.
- 3. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and applicable law. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the Settlement amount is fair and reasonable to the Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2)

significant informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties with the assistance of a well-respected class action mediator. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.

- 4. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed Settlement, attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, payment to the State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency for its share of the settlement of claims for penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act, and the class representatives' enhancement awards should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the Class is hereby set in accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below.
- 5. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class (the "Class"): "all non-exempt, hourly employees who have, or continue to, work for Defendant in California from July 5, 2018, up to the date a signed order preliminarily approving the class settlement is signed."
- 6. "Class Period" means the time period from July 5, 2018, through preliminary approval.
- 7. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets the requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class Members; (4) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
 - 8. The Court appoints as Class Representative, for settlement purposes only,

Plaintiff Ismael Villegas-Sanchez. The Court further preliminarily approves Plaintiff's ability to request an incentive award up to \$10,000.00.

9. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, John G. Yslas, Jeffrey C. Bils, Aram Boyadjian, Andrew Sandoval of Wilshire Law Firm, PLC, as Class Counsel. The Court

10. The Court appoints ILYM Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator with reasonable administration costs estimated not to exceed \$8,750.00 except for a showing of good cause and as approved by the Court.

further preliminarily approves Class Counsel's ability to request attorneys' fees of up to one-

third of the Total Settlement Amount (i.e., \$150,000), and costs not to exceed \$12,500.00.

- 11. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice, attached to the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that plan for distribution of the Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies due process, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.
- 12. The Parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. Any Class Member who does not timely and validly request exclusion from the Settlement may object to the Settlement Agreement.

14. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule:

Defendant to provide Class List to the	May 14, 2024	
Settlement Administrator		
Settlement Administrator to mail the Notice Packets	May 28, 2024	
Class Member Response Deadline	July 29, 2024	
Class Member Deadline to Object	July 29, 2024	
Deadline for Administrator to Submit Report	August 8, 2024	
Deadline to file Motion for Final Approval,	August 15, 2024	
Request for Attorney's Fees and Costs, and		

Service Awards to Plaintiff	
Final Approval Hearing	September 9, 2024

15. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: 4/29/24

Hon. Parker Stan E. Groen Sutter County Superior Court