RECEIVED

VENTURA SUPERIOR COURT

	09/30/24	
1	SROURIAN LAW FIRM, P.C. Daniel Srourian (SBN 285678)	
2	3435 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1710	
3	Los Angeles, California 90010 Telephone: 213.474.3800 Facsimile: 213.471.4160	
4	Email: <u>daniel@slfla.com</u>	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the [Proposed] Class	
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF VENTURA	
10	PATRICK STOCKTON, individually, and on	Case No.: 2021-00561683-CU-OE (Class
11	behalf of all others similarly situated,	Action)
12	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
13	V.	ACTION SETTLEMENT
14	VENTURA RANCH RESORT, LLC; a California Limited Liability Company; SCOTT	Date: October 24, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m.
15	CORY, an individual; HR-PLUS, LLC, a California Limited Liability Corporation; and	Dept.: 21
16	DOES 1 to 100, inclusive,	Complaint Filed: March 23, 2021
17	Defendants.	
18		_
19		
20	///	
21	///	
22	///	
23	///	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Plaintiff, Patrick Stockton's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement came before this court on October 24, 2024. The Court, having considered the Stipulation of Settlement and Release ("Settlement Agreement"), attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Daniel Srourian ¶ 7 filed concurrently with the motion, and the exhibits attached thereto; having considered Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, memorandum of points and authorities, supporting declarations filed therewith, and good cause appearing thereto, HEREBY FINDS AND ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

The Court has considered the parties' Settlement Agreement, along with the motion for preliminary approval and the memorandum of points and authorities and other documents filed in support thereof, and finds good cause for entering this Order preliminarily approving the parties' Settlement.

1. The Court grants preliminary approval of the class action settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds the terms to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that ultimately could be granted approval by the Court at the Final Approval Hearing. For purposes of the settlement, the Court finds that the proposed Class is ascertainable and that there is a sufficiently well-defined community of interest among the Class Members in questions of law and fact. Therefore, the Court, provisionally, conditionally, and preliminarily, certifies and approves, for settlement purposes only, the Class, defined as follows:

All current and former non-exempt and/or hourly-paid employees of Defendants working in California from and including March 23, 2017 through the date that the Court grants preliminary approval of this settlement of the Action (the "Class Period").

It shall be an opt-out class.

2. For purposes of the settlement, the Court further designates Plaintiff, Patrick Stockton as Representative Plaintiff, and Daniel Srourian of Srourian Law Firm, P.C. as Class Counsel;

- 3. The Court designates ILYM Group, Inc. as the third-party Settlement Administrator for mailing the Notice Packet and all other administrative and other services provided for under the Settlement Agreement;
- 4. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice, along with the accompanying Objection and Exclusion forms (collectively, the "Notice Packet") attached as **Exhibit 1** to this Order;
- 5. The Court finds that the form of notice to the Class Members regarding the pendency of the Action and of this settlement, and the methods of giving notice to Class Members constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitute valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members. The form and method of giving notice complies fully with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and 384, California Civil Code section 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and other applicable law;
- 6. The Court further approves the procedures for Class Members to opt out of, object, or to submit disputes in connection with the Settlement, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Notice Packet;
- 7. The procedures and requirements for filing objections in connection with the Final Approval Hearing are intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the orderly presentation of any Class Member's objection to the Settlement Agreement, in accordance with the due process rights of all Class Members;
- 8. The Court directs the Settlement Administrator to administer the notice in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, including the following: a) translating the Notice Packet in Spanish; b) mailing the Notice Packet to Class Members in both English and Spanish; and c) including a stamped envelope addressed to the Settlement Administrator with the Notice Packet. All exclusions, objections, or disputes shall be submitted to the Settlement Administrator, not to Class Counsel or Defendants' counsel, however, the Settlement Administrator will submit copies to the Parties' counsel.
- 9. A Final Approval Hearing on the question of whether the settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate is scheduled in Department 21 of the above-entitled Court