United States District Court
Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SERINITY SPINDEL,

Plaintiff,

v.

SOLACIUM HOLDINGS LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 24-cv-00552-EKL

ORDER REGARDING CLASS AND CAFA NOTICE

Having reviewed the supplemental brief in support of preliminary approval of the class action settlement, ECF No. 53, the Court ORDERS as follows:

First, the proposed class notice form is approved. The placeholder fields (*e.g.*, for upcoming deadlines) shall be updated to comport with the information in the order granting preliminary approval of the settlement. Additionally, a typographical error in Sections VII and VIII of the notice shall be corrected – the Court's zip code is 95113, not 951131 – and the notice shall indicate that the fairness hearing will be held in courtroom 7, not room 2112.

Second, the Court directs Defendant to satisfy the notice requirement of the Class Action Fairness Act ("CAFA"). CAFA requires "each defendant that is participating in the proposed settlement" to serve a notice of the proposed settlement "upon the appropriate State official of each State in which a class member resides and the appropriate Federal official." 28 U.S.C. § 1715(b). The Court may not finally approve the settlement until at least 90 days after the notice requirement is satisfied. *Id.* § 1715(d). Plaintiff submits that notice is not required because "the proposed class is purely intrastate," ECF No. 53, but cites no authority for this proposition. Nothing in CAFA's plain language supports Plaintiff's position, either. The notice requirement applies broadly to "a proposed settlement," and that term is defined without regard to whether

class members reside in one state or many states. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1711(6). Plaintiff also argues that "CAFA's procedural protections are aimed at interstate class actions affecting multiple sovereign interests." ECF No. 53. But even when all class members reside in one state, notice still provides the relevant state and federal officials an important opportunity to review and object to the proposed settlement.

Accordingly, by **July 20, 2026**, Defendant shall file a status report confirming that CAFA notice has been served.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 6, 2026

Eumi K. Lee
United States District Judge

United States District Court
Northern District of California