1	BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOW	VMIK	Filed May 2, 2025 Clerk of the Court Superior Court of CA County of Santa Clara 23CV425684
2	DE BLOUW LLP		By: afloresca
3	Norman B. Blumenthal (State Bar #068687) Kyle R. Nordrehaug (State Bar #205975)		
4	Aparajit Bhowmik (State Bar #248066) 2255 Calle Clara		5/2/2925 2:25:28 PM
5	La Jolla, CA 92037		
6	Telephone: (858)551-1223 Facsimile: (858) 551-1232		
7	Email: Kyle@bamlawca.com Website: www.bamlawca.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA		
13			
14			
15	DIANA SMYTH, LISA VAUGHAN and	CASE NO.: 23CV425684	
16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
17	persons similarly situated,	[PROPOSED] PRELIMINA APPROVAL ORDER	ARY
18	Plaintiffs,	Hearing Date: April 30, 2025	
19	v.	Hearing Time: 1:30 p.m.	
20	EVEREVE INCORPORATED, a corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	Judge: Hon. Theodore C. Zay Dept.: 19	/ner
21 22	Defendants.	Action Filed: November 9, 20 Trial Date: Not set)23
23		That Bate. Not set	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
20	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER		
	1		

This matter came before the Honorable Theordore C. Zayner of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County Santa Clara, on April 30, 2025, for the unopposed motion by Plaintiffs Diana Smyth, Lisa Vaughan and Tammi Palfreyman ("Plaintiffs") for preliminary approval of the class and PAGA settlement with Defendant Evereve Incorporated ("Defendant"). The Court issued a tentative ruling for April 30, 2025, which was not contested and was therefore confirmed by the Court and is now incorporated herein by reference. The Court, having considered the briefs, argument of counsel and all matters presented to the Court and good cause appearing, hereby GRANTS Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The Court preliminarily approves the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Agreement" or "Settlement") submitted as Exhibit #1 to the Declaration of Kyle Nordrehaug in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. This preliminary approval is based on the Court's determination that the Settlement set forth in the Agreement is within the range of possible final approval, pursuant to the provisions of section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, the Private Attorneys' General Act, and California Rules of Court, rule 3.769.
- 2. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Agreement, and all terms not otherwise defined in this Order shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Agreement.
- 3. The Gross Settlement Amount is Four Hundred Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars and zero cents (\$425,000.00). It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the Settlement amount and terms are fair, adequate and reasonable as to all potential Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation and the significant risks relating to certification, liability and damages issues. It further appears that investigation and research have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that settlement at this time will avoid substantial

additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action. It further appears that the Agreement has been reached as the result of serious and non-collusive, arms-length negotiations. The Court therefore preliminarily finds that the Settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation and the significant risks relating to certification, liability, and damages issues.

- 4. The Agreement specifies an attorneys' fees award not to exceed one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount, an award of litigation expenses incurred, not to exceed Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$30,000), and proposed Class Representative Service Payments to the Plaintiffs in an amount not to exceed Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000) each. The Court will not approve the amount of attorneys' fees and litigation expenses, nor the amount of any service award, until the Final Approval Hearing. Plaintiffs will be required to present evidence supporting these requests prior to final approval.
- 5. The Court recognizes that Plaintiffs and Defendant stipulate and agree to certification of a class for settlement purposes only. This stipulation will not be deemed admissible in this or any other proceeding should this Settlement not become final. For settlement purposes only, the Court conditionally certifies the following Class: "all individuals who were employed by Defendant in California and classified as a non-exempt employee at any time during the Class Period." The Class Period is October 31, 2019 through December 18, 2024.
- 6. The Court concludes that, for purposes of approving this settlement only, the Class meets the requirements for certification under section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure in that: (a) the Class is ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members of the Class is impracticable; (b) common questions of law and fact predominate, and there is a well-defined community of interest amongst the members of the Class with respect to the subject matter of the litigation; (c) the claims of the Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the members of the Class; (d) the Plaintiffs can fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the efficient resolution of this

controversy; and (f) counsel for the Class is qualified to act as counsel for the Class and the Plaintiffs are adequate representatives of the Class.

- 7. The Court provisionally appoints Plaintiffs as the representatives of the Class. The Court provisionally appoints Norman B. Blumenthal, Kyle R. Nordrehaug, and Aparajit Bhowmik of Blumenthal Nordrehaug Bhowmik De Blouw LLP as Class Counsel.
- Class Action Settlement and Hearing Date for Final Court Approval ("Class Notice"), attached as <u>Exhibit A</u> to the Agreement. The Court finds that the Class Notice appears to fully and accurately inform the Class of all material elements of the proposed Settlement and the Class Members' options including, inter alia, their options (i) to be excluded from the Class by submitting a written opt-out request, (ii) to be represented by counsel of their choosing, and (iii) to object to the terms of the Settlement. The Court further finds that the distribution of the Class Notice substantially in the manner and form set forth in the Agreement and this Order meets the requirements of due process, is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto. The Court orders the mailing of the Class Notice by first class mail, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Agreement. If a Class Notice Packet is returned because of an incorrect address, the Administrator will promptly search for a more current address for the Class Member and re-mail the Class Notice Packet to the Class Member in accordance with the Agreement.
- 9. The Court hereby appoints ILYM Group, Inc. as Administrator for the Settlement. No later than fifteen (15) calendar days after issuance of this Order, Defendant shall provide to the Administrator an electronic spreadsheet with the Class Data. This information will otherwise remain confidential and will not be disclosed to anyone, except as required to applicable taxing authorities, to carry out the procedures in the Agreement, or pursuant to Defendant's express written authorization or by order of the Court. The Administrator will perform address updates and verifications as necessary prior to the mailing of the Class Notice. Using best efforts to mail it as soon as possible, and in no event later than fourteen (14) calendar days after receiving the Class

8 9

11 12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

Data spreadsheet, the Administrator will mail the Class Notice Packet to all Class Members via first-class U.S. Mail.

- 10. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the proposed procedure for exclusion from the Settlement. Any Class Member may individually choose to opt-out of and be excluded from the Class as provided in the Class Notice by following the instructions set forth in the Class Notice. All requests for exclusion must be sent to the Administrator and postmarked by no later than the Response Deadline, which is sixty (60) calendar days after the Administrator initially mails the Class Notice Packets to the Class Members. If the Class Notice Packet is re-mailed, this Response Deadline will be extended an additional fourteen (14) calendar days. Any person who chooses to opt-out of and be excluded from the Class will not be entitled to any recovery under the Settlement and will not be bound by the Settlement or have any right to object, appeal or comment thereon. Aggrieved Employees shall be sent their Individual PAGA Payment and will be subject to the release of the Released PAGA Claims regardless of whether they opt-out of the Class. Class Members who have not requested exclusion shall be bound by all determinations of the Court, the Agreement, and the Judgment. A request for exclusion applies only to the individual submitting the request for exclusion, and any attempt to effect an opt-out on behalf of any other individual or individuals (including a group, class, or subclass of individuals) is not permitted and will be deemed invalid.
- 11. Any Class Member who has not opted-out may appear at the Final Approval Hearing and may object or express the Class Member's views regarding the Settlement and may present evidence and file briefs or other papers that may be proper and relevant to the issues to be heard and determined by the Court as provided in the Class Notice. Class Members will have until the Response Deadline set forth in the Class Notice to submit their written objections to the Administrator in accordance with the instructions in the Class Notice. If the Class Notice is remailed, the Response Deadline will be extended an additional fourteen (14) calendar days. Alternatively, Class Members may appear at the Final Approval Hearing to make an oral objection.

- 12. A Final Approval Hearing shall be held before this Court on September 24, 2025 at 1:30 p.m. in Department 19 at the of the Santa Clara County Superior Court to determine all necessary matters concerning the Settlement, including: whether the proposed settlement of the Action on the terms and conditions provided for in the Agreement is fair, adequate and reasonable and should be finally approved by the Court; whether the Final Approval Order and Judgment should be entered herein; whether the plan of allocation contained in the Agreement should be approved as fair, adequate and reasonable to the Class Members; and to finally approve attorneys' fees and costs, the service awards, and the expenses of the Administrator. The motion for final approval of the class settlement and for award of attorneys' fees, costs and service awards shall be filed with the Court and served on all counsel no later than sixteen (16) court days before the hearing and shall be heard at the Final Approval Hearing.
- 13. Neither the Settlement nor any exhibit, document, or instrument delivered thereunder shall be construed as a concession or admission by Defendant in any way that the claims asserted have any merit or that this Action was properly brought as a class or representative action, and shall not be used as evidence of, or used against Defendant as, an admission or indication in any way, including with respect to any claim of any liability, wrongdoing, fault or omission by Defendant or with respect to the truth of any allegation asserted by any person. Whether or not the Settlement is finally approved, neither the Agreement, nor any exhibit, document, statement, proceeding or conduct related to the Settlement or Agreement, nor any reports or accounts thereof, shall in any event be construed as, offered or admitted in evidence as, received as or deemed to be evidence for any purpose adverse to the Defendant, including, but not limited to, evidence of a presumption, concession, indication or admission by Defendant of any liability, fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession or damage. Nothing in the Settlement or this Order shall be deemed a waiver of Defendant's rights to enforce arbitration agreements entered into by Class Members or Aggrieved Employees.
- 14. The Agreement provides for a PAGA Penalties out of the Gross Settlement Amount of \$10,000, which shall be allocated \$7,500 to the Labor & Workforce Development

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Agency ("LWDA") as the LWDA's 75% share of the settlement of civil penalties paid under this Agreement pursuant to the PAGA and \$2,500 to the Aggrieved Employees. "Aggrieved Employees" are all individuals who are or were employed by Defendant in California and classified as a non-exempt or hourly employee at any time during the PAGA Period (September 5, 2022 through December 18, 2024). The Court finds the PAGA Penalties to be reasonable. Plaintiffs are hereby ordered to give notice of the Settlement to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency, as required by PAGA.

- 15. In the event the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Agreement, or the Settlement is not finally approved, or is terminated, canceled or fails to become effective for any reason, this Order shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the Parties shall revert to their respective positions as of before entering into the Agreement, and expressly reserve their respective rights regarding the prosecution and defense of this Action, including all available defenses and affirmative defenses, and arguments that no claim in the Action could be certified as a class action and/or managed as a representative action. In such an event, the Court's orders regarding the Settlement, including this Order, shall not be used or referred to in litigation or otherwise for any purpose.
- 16. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the Final Approval Hearing and all dates provided for in the Agreement without further notice to Class Members and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement.
- 17. The Action is stayed and all trial and related pre-trial dates are vacated, subject to further orders of the Court at the Final Approval Hearing.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

24

25

26

May 2, 2025 Dated:

HON. THEODORE C. ZAYNER

JUDGE, SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

27 28