Deputy

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

This matter came on for hearing on April 22, 2025, upon the Motion for Preliminary Approval of the proposed settlement of this action on the terms set forth in the CLASS ACTION AND PAGA REPRESENATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT (the "Agreement") *see* Declaration of Lilit Tunyan in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and PAGA Representative Action Settlement ["Tunyan Decl."], at Exh. 1).

After reviewing the Agreement, the Notice process, having reviewed the entire record on this action, having heard the argument of Counsel for respective Parties, if any, and good cause appearing, the Court Orders as follows:

- 1. To the extent defined in the Agreement, the terms in this Order shall have the meanings set forth therein.
- 2. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the proposed class action Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382.
- 3. The Court finds that the Settlement has been reached as a result of intensive, serious and non-collusive arms-length negotiations. The Court further finds that the Parties have conducted thorough investigation and research, and the attorneys for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that settlement at this time will avoid additional substantial costs, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the action. The Court finds that the risks of further prosecution are substantial.
- 4. The Parties' Settlement is granted preliminary approval as it meets the criteria for preliminary settlement approval. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final fairness hearing. The Class meets the requirements for conditional certification for settlement purposes only under Code of Civil Procedure § 382. The Court finds that it is appropriate to notify the members of the proposed settlement Class of the terms of the proposed settlement.
- 5. The Parties' proposed notice plan is constitutionally sound because individual notices will be mailed to all Class Members whose identities are known to the Parties, and such notice is the best notice practicable. The Parties' proposed Class Notice, attached to the Settlement as Exhibit A, is

sufficient to inform Class Members of the terms of the Settlement, their rights under the settlement, their rights to object to the Settlement, their right to receive a payment under the settlement or elect not to participate in the settlement, and the processes for doing so, and the date and location of the final approval hearing and are therefore approved.

6. The following persons are certified as Class Members solely for the purpose of entering a settlement in this matter:

All non-exempt, hourly employees of Defendant who worked for Defendant in California during the Class Period (the "Class Period" is February 13, 2020 through January 11, 2025.) "Participating Class Members" are those Class Members who do not submit timely exclusion requests to the Settlement Administrator. (Settlement, ¶¶1.11, 1.35.)

7. The following persons are PAGA Group Employees for the purpose of entering a settlement in this matter:

All non-exempt, hourly employees of Defendant who worked for Defendant in California during the PAGA Period (the "PAGA Period" is February 13, 2023 through January 11, 2025.) PAGA Group Members cannot opt out of the settlement of the PAGA claim. (Settlement, ¶ 7.5.4.)

- 8. Plaintiff JESUS GUZMAN is appointed as the Class Representative. The Court finds Plaintiff's counsel are adequate, as they are experienced in wage and hour class action litigation and have no conflicts of interest with absent Class Members, and that they adequately represented the interests of absent class members in the Litigation. TUNYAN LAW, APC and The SENTINEL FIRM, APC are appointed Class Counsel.
- 9. The Court appoints ILYM Group, Inc. to act as the Settlement Administrator, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Agreement.
- 10. The Gross Settlement Amount of the Settlement is \$155,000.00 (plus Defendant's employer share of taxes), from which the following estimated awards shall be deducted: \$6,000.00 for Service Payment to Plaintiff, \$51,666.67 for attorneys' fees, \$18,000.00 in litigation costs, \$5,550.00 in administration costs, and \$15,000 as a PAGA Allocation (with 75% (\$11,250.00 allocated to LWDA and 25% (\$3.750.00) to PAGA Employees). The remaining Net Settlement Amount (which excludes the employer share of taxes and includes PAGA allocation of \$3,750.00 to PAGA Employees) of at

least \$62,533.33 shall be paid to the Settlement Class Members and PAGA Employees in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. The Court preliminarily approves the estimated Net Settlement Payment of at least \$62,533.33 to Settlement Class Members and PAGA Employees in accordance with the distribution formulas provided in the Settlement Agreement.

- 11. Defendant is directed to provide the Settlement Administrator the names and most recent known mailing addresses of Class Members and any other information required in accordance with the Agreement (the "Class Database").
- 12. The Settlement Administrator is directed to mail the approved Class Notice by first-class mail to the Class Members in accordance with the Agreement. Before mailing, the Settlement Administrator or Class Counsel shall include the appropriate dates in the Class Notice and insert the correct time and place for the Final Approval Hearing.
- 13. Class Members will be bound by the Agreement unless they submit a timely and valid written request to be excluded from the Settlement, postmarked by the response deadline. Any request for exclusion shall be submitted to the Settlement Administrator rather than filed with the Court. Class members are not required to send copies of their Exclusion request to counsel. The Settlement Administrator shall file, or provide to Counsel for filing, a declaration authenticating a copy of every Exclusion request received by the Administrator.
- 14. To be considered, Class Members must timely file and serve their written objections in accordance with the Agreement.
- 15. Upon completion of the Notice process, the Settlement Administrator shall provide a report of the results of that process to Counsel for all Parties.
- 16. A final approval hearing will be held on U&(à^!Æ€ , 2025, at F€K€Æ , in Department 1, to determine whether the settlement should be granted final approval as fair, reasonable, and adequate as to the Class Members. At that time, the Court will hear all evidence and arguments necessary to evaluate the Settlement. Class Members and their counsel may support or oppose the Settlement, if they so desire, in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Class Notice and this Order.

Page 4

AMENDED [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Guzman v. Core Environmental Solutions

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) ss
4	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)
5	I am employed in the county of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 355 S. Grand Ave., Suite 1450
6	Los Angeles, California 90071.
7 8	On the date indicated below, I served the document described as: AMENDED [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND PAGA REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT on the interested parties in this action by sending a
9	true copy thereof to interested parties as follows and as stated on the attached service list:
10	Seth Weisburst sweisburst@grsm.com
11	Christopher Keller ckeller@grsm.com
12	Vanessa Santellan
13	vsantellan@grsm.com GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP
14	275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 986-5900 Facsimile: (415) 986-8054
15	Attorneys for Defendant CORE ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS
16	Attorneys for Defendant CORE ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS
17	BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties
18	to accept electronic service, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic service addresses listed above via third-party cloud service
19	CASEANYWHERE. I did not receive an error message.
20	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
21	above is true and correct. Executed on April 21, 2025 at Glendale, California.
22	KAREN ARELLANO aren Arellano
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Case No.: 24STCV03593 Page 5 Guzman v. Core Environmental Solutions
AMENDED [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT