		ELECTRONICALLY RECEIVED
		Superior Court of California,
		County of San Diego
31365 Oak Crest Drive, Suite 240		05/06/2022 at 03:53:40 PM Clerk of the Superior Court
Westlake Village, California 91361		By Richard Day, Deputy Clerk
Telephone: (805) 270-7100		FILED
		Clark of the Superior Gound
		050 0 2022
		DEC -2 2022
iking@oradicygromoacher.com		B. C Condrich Benuty
ADAMS LAW		By: S. Goodrich, Deputy
Christine Adams (SBN 200395)		
351 Paseo Nuevo, Second Floor		
■ 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		
christine@adamsemploymentlaw.com		
Attorneys for Plaintiff VANIA HERNANDEZ, ind	ividually	
•		
COUNTY OF S	SAN DIEG	0
VANIA HERNANDEZ individually and on	C N-	27 2010 00067842 CH OF CTI
		. 37-2019-00067843-CU-OE-CTL d to Hon. Eddie C. Sturgeon
Plaintiff,	Departine	an e-o/j
v.	CLASS A	ACTION
DEGUS MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC a	PROPO	SED ORDER GRANTING
		N FOR PRELIMINARY
inclusive,		VAL OF CLASS ACTION
D. C. J. J.	SETTE	NAME OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE
Defendants.	_	
		December 2, 2022 9:00 AM
		C-67
The Motion for Preliminary Approval of a	Class Acti	on Settlement came before this Court
D 1 2 2022 TI C 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	41	
on December 2, 2022. The Court, having considered	the papers s	submitted in support of the application
of the Parties, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWI	NG:	
1 The Court court and limited	val of the	Sattlement and the Sattlement Class
1. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class.		
based upon the terms set forth in the Class Action S	Settlement A	Agreement ("Settlement Agreement").
	Westlake Village, California 91361 Telephone: (805) 270-7100 Facsimile: (805) 270-7589 mbradley@bradleygrombacher.com kgrombacher@bradleygrombacher.com lking@bradleygrombacher.com ADAMS LAW Christine Adams (SBN 200395) 351 Paseo Nuevo, Second Floor Santa Barbara, California 93101 christine@adamsemploymentlaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff, VANIA HERNANDEZ, ind and on behalf of other individuals similarly situated SUPERIOR COURT FOR THI COUNTY OF STANDED OF S	Marcus J. Bradley, Esq. (SBN 174156) Kiley L. Grombacher, Esq. (SBN 245960) Lirit A. King, Esq. (SBN 252521) 31365 Oak Crest Drive, Suite 240 Westlake Village, California 91361 Telephone: (805) 270-7100 Facsimile: (805) 270-7589 mbradley@bradleygrombacher.com kgrombacher@bradleygrombacher.com lking@bradleygrombacher.com ADAMS LAW Christine Adams (SBN 200395) 351 Paseo Nuevo, Second Floor Santa Barbara, California 93101 christine@adamsemploymentlaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff, VANIA HERNANDEZ, individually and on behalf of other individuals similarly situated SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE COUNTY OF SAN DIEG VANIA HERNANDEZ, individually and on behalf of other individuals similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. REGUS MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. Date: Time: Dept: The Motion for Preliminary Approval of a Class Action December 2, 2022. The Court, having considered the papers of the Parties, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement. The Settlement appears to be fair, adequate and reasonable to the Class.

CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATION OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS

2. The Class defined in the proposed Settlement Agreement is defined as:

All non-exempt hourly employees working for Regus in California during the period of time from May 31, 2017 through the date on which the Court enters an Order Granting Preliminary Approval of this Settlement. "PAGA Period" means the period of time from October 16, 2018 through the date on which the Court enters an Order granting Preliminary Approval of this Settlement.

Settlement Agreement at ¶¶ I(B), I(I).

 The Court conditionally finds that, for the purposes of approving this settlement only, the proposed Classes meet the requirements for certification under section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT

- 4. The Court hereby preliminarily grants approval of the terms and conditions contained in the Settlement Agreement, a copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**. The settlement falls within the range of reasonableness and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final fairness hearing and final approval by this Court.
- 5. A Final Fairness Hearing on the question of whether the proposed settlement, attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, and the Class Representative's Enhancement Award should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the Class is scheduled in Department C-67 on the date and time set forth below.
- 6. The Court makes the following preliminary findings and observations: (1) the settlement amount appears at this stage to be fair and reasonable to the Class Members when balanced against the risks of further litigation relating to class certification, summary judgment and trial on liability and damages issues, potential appeals, and ultimate collection of the full potential value of the claims; (2) it also appears that sufficient discovery and investigation have been conducted, such that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed Settlement is the culmination of serious and

 non-collusive negotiations between the Parties. Accordingly, the Court finds that the Settlement appears to have been entered into in good faith.

- 7. The Court approves ILYM Group, Inc., as the Settlement Administrator.
- 8. This Court approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Class Action Settlement in substantially the form attached as **Exhibit A (1)** to this Order. The Court further approves, as to form and content, the Objection Form attached as **Exhibit A (2)**, and the Exclusion Form attached as **Exhibit A (3)**.
- 9. The Court further finds that the Class Notice appears to fully and accurately inform the Class Members of all material elements of the proposed Settlement, of the Class Members' right and opportunity to be excluded from the Settlement, of the Class Members' right and opportunity to challenge Defendant's records of workweeks worked, and of the Class Members' right and opportunity to object to the Settlement.

FORM AND TIMING OF NOTICE

- 10. Not later than fourteen (14) business days after the entry of this Order, Defendant shall provide to the Settlement Administrator an electronic database containing each Class Member's Class Data.
- 11. Not later than seven (7) calendar days after Defendant provides the class information to the Settlement Administrator, the Settlement Administrator shall mail the Class Notice Packets, substantially in the form of Exhibit A (1) (Notice), Exhibit A (2) (Objection Form), and Exhibit A (3) (Exclusion Form) to this Order, to be mailed by first-class mail postage pre-paid.
- 12. The Court directs the mailing of the Notice in accordance with the implementation schedule set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds the dates selected for the mailing and distribution of the mailing of the Notice as set forth therein meet the requirements of due process and provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.
- 13. No later than the deadline set forth below for Plaintiff to file the motion for final approval of class action settlement, Class Counsel shall serve and file a swom statement from the Settlement Administrator attesting to compliance with the service of the Settlement Notice, as set forth

above. The cost of giving notice to the Class Members shall be paid as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

14. The Court finds that the notice to be provided is the best means of providing notice to the Class Members, is practicable under the circumstances and, when completed, shall constitute due and sufficient notice of the Settlement and the Fairness Hearing to all persons affected by and/or entitled to participate in the Settlement or the Fairness Hearing, in full compliance with the requirements of due process and the California Rules of Court.

ABILITY OF CLASS MEMBERS TO OPT OUT OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS, OBJECT TO THE SETTLEMENT AND/OR DISPUTE THEIR INDIVIDUAL PAYMENT

- 15. Pursuant to Section III (E) (3) of the Settlement Agreement and as set forth in the Class Notice, Class Members shall have forty-five (45) days from the date the notice is mailed to submit any requests for exclusions in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Notice. Any Settlement Class Member who validly requests to be excluded from the Settlement Class will not be entitled to any Class recovery under the Settlement and will not be bound by the terms of the Settlement or have any right to object, appeal or comment thereon, except that Settlement Class Members who are in the PAGA Settlement Class will still receive his or her PAGA Employee Share and will release the PAGA Claims. No later than the deadline for Plaintiff to file the motion for final approval of class action settlement set forth below, Class Counsel shall file a statement setting forth the names of any Class Members who elected to exclude themselves from the Settlement.
- 16. Any Class Members who do not properly and timely exclude themselves from the Settlement shall be included in the Class and, if the Settlement is approved and becomes effective, shall be bound by all the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement, including but not limited to the Release of Claims described therein
- 17. Pursuant to Section III (E) (3) of the Settlement Agreement and the as set forth in Class Notice, Class Members shall have forty-five (45) days from the date the notice is mailed to submit any objections to the Settlement in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Notice. The Settlement Administrator shall email any objections to Counsel for the Parties promptly upon receipt, and Class Counsel shall file any objections with the Court. Settlement Class Members who submit a

timely Notice of Objection will have a right to appear at the Final Approval/Settlement Fairness Hearing in order to have their objections heard by the Court, but are not required to do so.

- 18. Pursuant to Section III (E) (3) of the Settlement Agreement and as set forth in the Class Notice, Class Members shall have forty-five (45) days from the date the notice is mailed to dispute their individual payments (i.e., the number of weeks during which they worked for Defendant) in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Class Notice.
- 19. Any objection to the Settlement, exclusion, or disputed claim amount must be submitted in writing in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Class Notice. The deadline shall be specified in the Settlement Notice. Any written objection, dispute or exclusion must be postmarked on or before the 45-day response deadline and mailed to the administrator at the address set forth in the Class Notice.
- 20. A hearing (the "Fairness Hearing") shall take place before this Court, on the date and time set forth below, to determine:
 - a. Whether the Court should permanently certify the Settlement Class;
 - Whether the Settlement, on the terms and conditions provided for in the Settlement Agreement, should be finally approved by the Court as fair, reasonable and adequate;
 - Whether the application for a service award for representative Hernandez should be approved;
 - d. Whether the application for attorneys' fees and expenses to be submitted by Class
 Counsel should be approved; and
 - e. Such other matters as the Court may deem necessary or appropriate. The Court may finally approve the Settlement at or after the Fairness Hearing with any modifications agreed to by the Parties and without further notice to the Class Members.
- 21. Any Class Member who has not requested to be excluded from the Settlement, and any other interested person, may appear at the Fairness Hearing in person or by counsel and be heard, to the extent allowed by the Court, either in support of or in opposition to the matters to be considered

at the Fairness Hearing. Any documents filed with the Court must also be served on counsel, by any method authorized under the California Code of Civil Procedure.

- 22. Any responses to any written objections to the Settlement and any other matter in support of the Settlement shall be filed with the Court no later than the deadlines set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 23. The Court may adjourn the Fairness Hearing, including the consideration of the application for the payment of a service award to the Class Representative and for attorneys' fees and expenses, without further notice of any kind other than an announcement of such adjournment in open court at the Fairness Hearing or any adjournment thereof.
 - 24. A Fairness Hearing in this Court is set for March 27, 2022 @ 9.30 cm
- 25. The Court HEREBY GRANTS preliminary approval of the class action settlement as set forth above.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: / 2-2-8

HON. EDDIE C. STURGEON SAN DIEGO SUPERIOR COURT