1	Lilit Tunyan (SBN 329351)	
	ltunyan@tunyanlaw.com	FILED
2	Artur Tunyan (SBN 349174)	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
3	atunyan@tunyanlaw.com	05/29/2025
	TUNYAN LAW, APC 535 N Brand Blvd. Suite 285	David W. Stryton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
4	Glendale, California 91203	By: L. MfGreené Deputy
5	Telephone: (323) 410-5050	by beputy
6	Seung Yang (SBN 249857)	
7	seung.yang@thesentinelfirm.com Tiffany Hyun (SBN 311743)	
8	tiffany.hyun@thesentinelfirm.com	
0	THE SENTINEL FIRM, APC	
9	355 S. Grand Ave., Suite 1450	
10	Los Angeles, California 90071	
10	Telephone: (213) 985-1150 Facsimile: (213) 985-2155	
11		
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff KARINA A. SANTOYO, as an individual and on behalf of all employees si	milarly situated
13		
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15	COUNTY OF	LOS ANGELES
16		
17	KARINA A. SANTOYO, as an individual and on behalf of all employees similarly situated,	Case No.: 24STCV04117
18	District	[Assigned for all purposes to Hon. Carolyn B.
19	Plaintiff,	Kuhl, Dept. 12]
1)	v.	_
20	A P R CONSULTING, INC., a California	AMENDED [PROP <del>OSED</del> ] FINAL JUDGMENT
21	Corporation; LIBERTY UTILITIES (PARK WATER) CORP., a California Corporation;	
22	LIBERTY UTILITIES (APPLE VALLEY RANCHOS WATER) CORP., a California	Action Filed: February 20, 2024
23	Corporation; LIBERTY UTILITIES (CALPECO ELECTRIC) LLC, a California	Trial Date: Not Set
24	LLC; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	
25	Defendants.	
26		
27		
28		

## TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

Plaintiff KARINA A. SANTOYO ("Plaintiff") and Defendants APR CONSULTING, INC. ("Defendant APR"), LIBERTY UTILITIES (PARK WATER) CORP., LIBERTY UTILITIES (APPLE VALLEY RANCHOS WATER) CORP., and LIBERTY UTILITIES (CALPECO ELECTRIC) LLC ("Defendants Liberty") (hereinafter "Defendants") (Plaintiff and Defendants are the "Parties") have reached terms of settlement of this action alleging a claim for relief pursuant to the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, Labor Code § 2698, et seq. ("PAGA").

Plaintiff, on behalf of the Parties, has filed a motion for an Order Approving Settlement of Plaintiff's Claims Brought Pursuant to the Private Attorney General's Act of 2004 ("PAGA") ("Motion") memorialized in the PRIVATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL ACT SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE (the "Settlement"). A true copy of the Settlement is attached to the Declaration of Lilit Tunyan as Exhibit 1.

The Court granted the Parties' motion. The ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR AN ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIMS BROUGHT PURSUANT TO THE PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S ACT OF 2004 ("PAGA Settlement Order"), is incorporated herein in its entirety.

The Court now enters Judgment following the entry of the PAGA Settlement Order. The Judgment set forth herein is intended to be a final disposition of the Action in its entirety and is intended to be immediately appealable. All capitalized terms used for purposes of this Judgment, not otherwise defined herein, have the same meaning as given in the Settlement executed by the parties.

## **JUDGMENT**

In accordance with and for the reasons stated in the PAGA Settlement Order, Judgment shall be entered whereby the Plaintiff, the State of California, and the Aggrieved Employees, shall take nothing from Defendants, except as expressly set forth in the Settlement, and as approved and awarded by the Court.

The Aggrieved Employees are:

Case No.: 24STCV04117

All non-exempt or hourly employees of Defendants Liberty who worked in California and non-exempt or hourly employees placed to work for Defendants Liberty in California including from Defendant APR from February 19, 2023 through May 29,

2025. Pursuant to PAGA, California Labor Code § 2699(1)(2), the Labor and 1 Workforce Development Agency (the "LWDA") has been given timely notice of the 2 Settlement and is therefore bound by this Judgment. 3 PAGA claims are released as follows: 4 All Aggrieved Employees are deemed to release, on behalf of themselves and their 5 respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, Defendants and the Released Parties from all claims for PAGA 6 penalties Plaintiff and/or the Aggrieved Employees had, or may claim to have, against Defendants and Released Parties, arising out of the violations alleged in the Operative 7 Complaint or the PAGA Notices submitted in connection with the Action, including failure pay all wages and hours worked, failure to provide meal periods, failure to 8 provide rest breaks, failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements, failure to maintain accurate records, waiting time penalties, failure to reimburse necessary 9 business expenditures, and failure to timely pay wages (i.e., Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 246, 510, 512, 558, 1174, 1174.5, 1194, 1197, 10 1197.1, 1198, and 2802) (the "Released Claims"). This release is limited to claims arising during the PAGA Period. 11 Plaintiff and the Aggrieved Employees release the PAGA Claims pursuant to the terms of the 12 Settlement. 13 All payments due under the Settlement will be made pursuant to the Settlement, the PAGA 14 Settlement Order, and this Judgment. 15 Nothing in this Judgment or the Settlement will be construed as an admission or concession by 16 any party. 17 Based on the foregoing and in accordance with the Settlement, each side to bear its own costs and 18 attorneys' fees, except as provided by the Settlement Agreement. 19 Pursuant to this Judgment, the Court retains continuing jurisdiction over this action for purposes 20 of supervising, administering, implementing, interpreting and enforcing this Judgment, as well as the 21 Settlement. 22 Caeolyn & Kuhl JUDGMENT IS ENTERED ACCORDINGLY. 23 05/29/2025 Dated: 24 Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl LOS ANGELES COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 25 JUDGE 26 27

Page 2

Santoyo v. A P R Consulting, Inc., et al.

28

Case No.: 24STCV04117

1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )		
3	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES )		
4	I am employed in the county of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of		
5	18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 535 N Brand Blvd. Suite 285, Glendale, California 91203.		
6			
7	On the date indicated below, I served the document described as: <b>AMENDED [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT</b> on the interested parties in this action by sending a true copy thereof to interested parties as follows and as stated on the attached service list:		
8	Lonnie Giamela		
9	lgiamela@fisherphillips.com Harrison M. Thorne		
10	hthorne@fisherphillips.com		
10	Cina Kim cakim@fisherphillips.com		
11	Jack Ingram III		
12	jingram@fisherphillips.com FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP		
	2050 Main Street, Suite 1000 Irvine,		
13	California 92614		
14	Telephone: (949) 851-2424  Attorneys for Defendant Liberty Utilities (Park Water) Corp.		
	Amy R. Patton		
15	arp@paynefears.com		
16	Ryan Kilpatrick		
10	TIKE BUY HOTCUIS.COM		
17	Connie Cason ccason@paynefears.com		
-	Arlene Barrantes		
18	abarrantes@paynefears.com		
19	PAYNE&FEARS LLP		
1)	4 Park Plaza, Suite 1100 Irvine, CA 92614		
20	Telephone: (949) 851-1100		
	Facsimile: (949) 851-12 I 2		
21	Attorneys for Defendant APR Consulting, Inc.		
22	[✓] BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties		
22	to accept electronic service, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the		
23	electronic service addresses listed above via third-party cloud service		
24	CASEANYWHERE. I did not receive an error message.		
25	Executed on February 4, 2025 at Glendale, California.		
26	Lilit Tunyan		
	<del></del>		
27	Name		
28			
	Case No.: 24STCV04117 Page 3 Santoyo v. A P R Consulting, Inc., et al.		