## **FILED**

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

1	BRADLEY/GROMBACHER, LLP	06/24/2024		
1	Marcus Bradley, Esq. (SBN 174156)		n, Executive Officer	/ Clerk of Court
2	Kiley Grombacher, Esq. (SBN 245960)	Ву:	T. Lewis	Deputy
3	Lirit King, Esq. (SBN 252521)			
5	31365 Oak Crest Drive, Suite 240			
4	Westlake Village, CA 91361 Telephone: (805) 270-7100			
5	Facsimile: (805) 618-2939			
3	Email: mbradley@bradleygrombacher.com			
6	Email: kgrombacher@bradleygrombacher.com			
7	Email: lking@bradleygrombacher.com			
,	Attorneys for Plaintiff MODESTO ZEPEDA on			
8	behalf of himself and others similarly situated			
9	[Additional counsel listed on following page]			
10	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
12	MODESTO ZEPEDA, on behalf of himself	CASE NO. 23	STCV10286	
13		Assigned to Hon. David S. Cunningham, III		
14	Plaintiff,	Department 1		
15	V.		P <del>ROPOSED</del> ] MOTION FO	
IJ	RESTAURANT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a			AL OF CLASS
16	Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 to 10,		D PAGA SET	
17	inclusive			
	Defendente	Date: May 28	, 2024	
18	Defendants.	Time: 11:00 a	ı.m.	
19		Dept: 11		
20				
20		Complaint file	d May 8, 2023	
21				
22		•		
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

The Motion for Preliminary Approval of a Class Action and PAGA Settlement came before

application of the Parties, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

R' \^AG . 2024. The Court, having considered the papers in support of the

1

2

3

balanced against the risks of further litigation relating to class certification, summary judgment and trial on liability and damages issues, potential appeals, and ultimate collection of the full potential value of the claims; (2) it also appears that sufficient discovery and investigation have been conducted, such that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed Settlement is the culmination of serious and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties. Accordingly, the Court finds that the Settlement appears to have been entered into in good faith.

- 7. The Court approves ILYM Group Inc. as the Settlement Administrator.
- 8. This Court approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Class Action Settlement in substantially the form attached as to the Settlement Agreement and attached as **Exhibit B** to this Order.
- 9. The Court further finds that the Class Notice appears to fully and accurately inform the Class Members of all material elements of the proposed Settlement, of the Class Members' right and opportunity to be excluded from the Settlement, of the Class Members' right and opportunity to challenge Defendant's records of workweeks worked, and of the Class Members' right and opportunity to object to the Settlement.

## **FORM AND TIMING OF NOTICE**

- 10. Within fourteen (14) calendar days after issuance of this Preliminary Approval Order, Defendant shall provide the Administrator, in an electronically usable format, with the Class Data (name, last-known mailing address, social security number and number of Class period Workweeks and PAGA Pay periods).
- 11. Not later than fourteen (14) calendar days after receiving the Class Data, the Settlement Administrator shall mail the Class Notice to all Class Members, substantially in the form of Exhibit A (Notice), to this Preliminary Approval Order, by first-class mail postage prepaid.
- 12. The Court directs the mailing of the Notice in accordance with the implementation schedule set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds the dates selected for the mailing and

distribution of the mailing of the Notice as set forth therein meet the requirements of due process and provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

- 13. Not later than (14) days before the date by which Plaintiff is required to file the Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement, the Administrator will provide to Class Counsel and Defense Counsel, a signed declaration suitable for filing in Court attesting to its due diligence and compliance with all of its obligations under this Agreement, including, but not limited to, its mailing of Class Notice, the Class Notices returned as undelivered, the re-mailing of Class Notices, attempts to locate Class Members, the total number of Requests for Exclusion from Settlement it received (both valid or invalid), the number of written objections and attach the Exclusion List.
- 14. The Court finds that the notice to be provided is the best means of providing notice to the Class Members, is practicable under the circumstances and, when completed, shall constitute due and sufficient notice of the Settlement and the Fairness Hearing to all persons affected by and/or entitled to participate in the Settlement or the Fairness Hearing, in full compliance with the requirements of due process and the California Rules of Court.

## ABILITY OF CLASS MEMBERS TO OPT OUT OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS, OBJECT TO THE SETTLEMENT AND/OR DISPUTE THEIR INDIVIDUAL PAYMENT

15. Pursuant to Sections 7.5 of the Settlement Agreement and as set forth in the Class Notice, Class Members shall have forty-five (45) calendar days from the date the Class Notice is mailed to submit any Request for Exclusion in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Notice. If a timely and valid Request for Exclusion is not received by the Settlement Administrator from a Class Member on or before the Response Deadline, then that Class Member will be deemed to have forever waived his or her right to seek exclusion from the Settlement and Settlement Class and shall be bound by the Settlement and Judgment. Class Members who do validly request exclusion from the Settlement by submitting a valid and timely Request for Exclusion shall not be bound by the terms of the Settlement, shall not be allowed to object to the Settlement, and shall not be entitled to any benefits as a result of the Settlement, except that Settlement Class Members who

are in the PAGA Settlement Class will still receive his or her PAGA Payment and will release the PAGA Claims.

- 16. Any Class Members who do not properly and timely exclude themselves from the Settlement shall be included in the Class and, if the Settlement is approved and becomes effective, shall be bound by all the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement, including but not limited to the Release of Claims described therein.
- 17. Pursuant to Section 7.7.2 of the Settlement Agreement and the as set forth in Class Notice, Class Members who do not submit timely and valid Requests for Exclusion may object to the Settlement by appearing in Court (or hire an attorney to appear in Court) to present verbal objections at the Final Approval Hearing. A Participating Class Member who elects to send a written objection to the Administrator must do so not later than (45) days after the Administrator's mailing of the Class Notice (plus an additional (14) days for Class members whose Class notice was re-mailed).
- 18. A hearing (the "Fairness Hearing") shall take place before this Court, on the date and time set forth below, to determine:
  - a) Whether the Court should permanently certify the Settlement Class;
  - b) Whether the Settlement, on the terms and conditions provided for in the Settlement Agreement, should be finally approved by the Court as fair, reasonable and adequate;
  - Whether the application for a service award for representative Zepeda should be approved;
  - d) Whether the application for attorneys' fees and expenses to be submitted by Class Counsel should be approved; and
  - e) Such other matters as the Court may deem necessary or appropriate. The Court may finally approve the Settlement at or after the Fairness Hearing with any modifications agreed to by the Parties and without further notice to the Class Members.

1	19. Any Class Member who has not requested to be excluded from the Settlement, and				
2	any other interested person, may appear at the Fairness Hearing in person or by counsel and be				
3	heard, to the extent allowed by the Court, either in support of or in opposition to the matters to be				
4	considered at the Fairness Hearing. Any documents filed with the Court must also be served on				
5	counsel, by any method authorized under the California Code of Civil Procedure.				
6	20. Any responses to any written objections to the Settlement and any other matter in				
7	support of the Settlement shall be filed with the Court no later than				
8	·				
9	21. The Court may adjourn the Fairness Hearing, including the consideration of the				
10	application for the payment of a service payment to the Class Representative and for attorneys'				
11	fees and expenses, without further notice of any kind other than an announcement of such				
12	adjournment in open court at the Fairness Hearing or any adjournment thereof.				
13	Ræ) *æ ^ Å ÆŒŒ ÁæÆÆ € € £æ. A Fairness Hearing in this Court is set for				
14	23. The Court HEREBY GRANTS preliminary approval of the class action settlement				
15	as set forth above.				
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
17	DATED:06/24/2024				
18	HON. DAVID S. CUNNINGHAM III JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT				
19	JOBOL OF THE SOFEMON COCKT				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					

28