)-20	Electronically Filed by Superior Court of California, 20-01174518-CU-OE-CXC - ROA # 176 - DAVID H. YAN	, County of Orange, 11/09/2023 04:55:00 PM. MASAKI, Clerk of the Court By O. Lopez, Deputy Cle	
0	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
1	FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE		
2			
3 4			
5	FAUSTINO RAMOS and ALBERTO MEZA	Case No. 30-2020-01174518-CU-OE-CXC	
5	SOLIS, as individuals and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	[Assigned for all purposes to the Hon.	
7	Plaintiffs,	Melissa R. McCormick, Dept. CX-104] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY	
8		APPROVAL OF CLASS AND PAGA ACTION SETTLEMENT	
)	VS.	Date: November 9, 2023	
)	HYATT DIE CAST AND ENGINEERING CORPORATION–SOUTH, a California	Time: 2:00 p.m. Dept.: CX-104	
	corporation; PARTNERS PERSONNEL – MANAGEMENT SERVICES, LLC, a Delaware	Complaint Filed: December 14, 2020	
$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	limited liability company; NEXEM PARTNERS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and	Trial Date: None Set	
, 4	DOES 1 through 100,		
5	Defendants.		
5	Detendants.		
7			
8			
	[ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND PAGA ACTION SETTLEMENT		

The Motion of Plaintiffs Faustino Ramos and Alberto Meza Solis ("Plaintiffs") for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement came on regularly for hearing before this Court on November 9, 2023 at 2:00 p.m. This Court, having considered the proposed Joint Stipulation of Class Action and Private Attorneys General Act Settlement (the "Settlement"), the Amendment to the Joint Stipulation of Class Action and Private Attorneys General Act Settlement; having considered Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support thereof, and supporting declarations filed therewith; and good cause appearing, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

1. The Court GRANTS preliminary approval of the class action settlement as set forth in the Settlement and finds its terms to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that ultimately could be granted approval by the Court at a Final Fairness Hearing. For purposes of the Settlement, the Court finds that the proposed Settlement Class is ascertainable and that there is a sufficiently well-defined community of interest among the members of the Settlement Class in questions of law and fact. Therefore, for settlement purposes only, the Court grants conditional certification of the following Settlement Class:

All current and former non-exempt, hourly employees of Defendant Hyatt Die, whether employed directly by Defendant Hyatt Die or supplied to Defendant Hyatt Die by Defendants Partners Personnel or Nexem Partners as temp workers, who worked at Defendant Hyatt Die's California facilities at any time from July 2, 2017, through July 14, 2022 (the "Class Period").

- 2. The Aggrieved Employees are defined as "all current and former non-exempt, hourly employees of Defendant Hyatt Die, whether employed directly by Defendant Hyatt Die or supplied to Defendant Hyatt Die by Defendants Partners Personnel or Nexem Partners as temporary staffing agency workers, who worked at Defendant Hyatt Die's California facilities at any time from October 7, 2019 through July 14, 2022 (the "PAGA Period")."
- 3. For purposes of the Settlement, the Court designates named Plaintiffs Faustino Ramos and Alberto Meza Solis as Class Representatives, and designates Paul K. Haines and Sean M. Blakely, of Haines Law Group, APC, and Michael Nourmand and James A. De Sario of The Nourmand Law Firm, APC, as Class Counsel.

- 4. The Court designates ILYM Group, Inc. as the third-party Settlement Administrator for mailing notices.
- 5. The Court approves, as to form and content, the proposed Class Notice, Notice of Change of Address, and Request for Exclusion Form, attached as Exhibits A, B, and C, respectively, to this Order. Certified Spanish translations of the Class Notice, Notice of Change of Address, and Request for Exclusion Form are attached to this Order as Exhibits D, E, and F, respectively. The Joint Stipulation of Class Action and Private Attorneys General Act Settlement and the Amendment to the Joint Stipulation of Class Action and Private Attorneys General Act Settlement are attached to this Order as Exhibits G and H, respectively.
- 6. The Court finds that the form of notice to the Settlement Class regarding the pendency of the action and of the Settlement, and the methods of giving notice to members of the Settlement Class, constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitute valid, due, and sufficient notice to all of the Settlement Class members. The form and method of giving notice complies fully with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Civil Code section 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and other applicable law.
- 7. The Court further approves the procedures for the Settlement Class members to opt out of or object to the Settlement, or to submit a dispute, as set forth in the Class Notice.
- 8. The procedures and requirements for filing objections in connection with the Final Fairness Hearing are intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the orderly presentation of any Settlement Class member's objection to the Settlement in accordance with the due process rights of all members of the Settlement Class.
- 9. The Court directs the Settlement Administrator to mail the Class Notice to the members of the Settlement Classes in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.
- 10. The Class Notice shall provide at least sixty (60) calendar days' notice for Settlement Class members to opt out of, or object to, the Settlement, or to submit a dispute.
- 11. The Final Approval Hearing on the question of whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate is scheduled in Department CX-104 of this

Court, located at 751 West Santa Ana Boulevard, Santa Ana, California 92701 on April 18, 2024 at 2:00 p.m.

- 12. At the Final Approval Hearing, the Court will consider: (a) whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate for the Settlement Class; (b) whether a judgment granting final approval of the Settlement should be entered; and (c) whether Plaintiffs' application for reasonable attorneys' fees, reimbursement of litigation expenses, service payments to Plaintiffs, settlement administration costs, and payment to the Labor & Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") for penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act should be granted.
- 13. Counsel for the parties shall file memoranda, declarations, or other statements and materials in support of their request for final approval of the Settlement, attorneys' fees, litigation expenses, Plaintiffs' enhancement award, payment to the LWDA, and settlement administration costs, prior to the Final Approval Hearing according to the time limits set by the Code of Civil Procedure and the California Rules of Court.

14. An implementation schedule is below:

Event	Date
Defendant to provide Class Data to the Settlement Administrator no later than [30 calendar days after preliminary approval]:	December 11, 2023
Settlement Administrator to mail the Notice Packet to Settlement Class members no later than [15 calendar days after receiving Class Data]:	December 26, 2023
Deadline for Settlement Class members to submit a request exclusion, dispute, or objection to, the Settlement [60 calendar days after mailing]:	February 26, 2024
Deadline for Plaintiffs to file their Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement:	March 25, 2024
Final Approval Hearing (Settlement Class members may orally object in person or through counsel at the Final Approval Hearing whether or not they submit written objections):	April 18, 2024 at 2:00 p.m.

1	15.	Pending the Final Approval I	Hearing, all proceedings in this action, other than	
2	proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of the Settlement and this			
3	Order, are stayed.			
4	16.	16. Counsel for the parties are hereby authorized to utilize all reasonable procedures		
5	in connection with the administration of the Settlement which are not materially inconsistent with			
6	either this Order or the terms of the Settlement.			
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
8	Dated: November 9, 2023		hereal -	
9			Melissa R. McCormick	
10			Judge of the Superior Court	
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				