2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

FILED

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

05/20/2025

David W.Slay	ton, Executive	Officer/Clerk	ofCol rt
--------------	----------------	---------------	----------

T. Lewis By: Deputy

Kane Moon (SBN 249834)

E-mail: kmoon@moonlawgroup.com

Allen Feghali (SBN 301080)

E-mail: afeghali@moonlawgroup.com

Hyunjin Kim (SBN 345518)

E-mail: hkim@moonlawgroup.com

MOON LAW GROUP, PC 725 S. Figueroa St., Ste 3100 Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 232-3128 Facsimile: (213) 232-3125

Attorneys for Plaintiff Cristal Cedano Parra

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

CRISTAL CEDANO PARRA, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SCHOOLS IN ACTION, a California corporation; ARTS IN ACTION COMMUNITY CHARTER SCHOOLS, an unknown business entity; ARTS IN ACTION CHARTER SCHOOLS, an unknown business entity; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants

Case No.: 22STCV31081

[Hon. David S. Cunningham III, Dept. 11]

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF MARIANO AGUIRRE JR.'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND **PAGA SETTLEMENT**

[Filed with Plaintiff Cristal Cedano Parra's Notice of Motion and Motion for Preliminary Approval, Declaration of Kane Moon, and Declaration of Plaintiff Cristal Cedano Parra

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL HEARING:

Date: May 20, 2025 9:00 a.m. Time: Dept.: 11

Complaint filed: September 22, 2022

Trial date: Not set

24

25

26

27

28

The Court has before it the unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Motion") of plaintiff Cristal Cedano Parra ("Plaintiff"). Having reviewed the Notice of Motion, Motion, Declaration of Kane Moon, Declaration of Plaintiff, and Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice ("Settlement," or "Settlement Agreement") between Plaintiff and defendant Schools in Action dba Arts in Action Community Middle School and Arts in Action Community Charter School ("Defendant"), and good cause appearing, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Settlement, which is attached to the Declaration of Kane Moon in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and PAGA Action Settlement as **Exhibit 1**, appears to meet the requirements for preliminary approval under California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 because it appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable. The Settlement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable because it is the result of good faith, non-collusive negotiations between Plaintiff and Defendant (together, the "Parties"), as well as significant discovery and analysis, which enabled the Parties to intelligently evaluate, litigate, and mediate the allegations. The Settlement also appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable because it obviates the need for further litigation, including litigation related to class certification, liability, and damages issues; and the substantial costs, delay, and risks associated with such litigation.
- 2. The Settlement states that Defendant will pay a Gross Settlement Amount of \$232,500.00 and that the Gross Settlement Amount will be used to pay all payments contemplated by the Settlement without exception, including, the Individual Class Payments to Participating Class Members; the PAGA Penalties in the amount of \$20,000.00, with seventy-five percent (75%), or \$15,000.00 to the LWDA (the "LWDA PAGA Payment"), and twenty-five percent (25%), or \$5,000.00 to the Aggrieved Employees ("Individual PAGA Payments"); the Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment in an amount not to exceed \$25,000.00; the Class Counsel Fees Payment in an amount not to exceed one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount, or \$77,500.00; the Class Representative Service Payment in an amount not to exceed \$7,500.00; and the Administration Expenses Payment in an amount not to exceed \$9,000.00. (Settlement, ¶¶ 3.1-.2.) These terms appear to fall within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which could ultimately be granted final

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

19

18

20 21

22

23 24

25

27

26

28

approval by this Court.

- 3. The Class includes all persons employed by Defendant in California and classified as a non-exempt, hourly-paid employee who worked for Defendant during the period from September 22, 2018 through November 26, 2024. (Settlement, ¶¶ 1.5, 1.12.) The Class is provisionally certified for settlement purposes only because it appears to meet the requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure section 382. In particular, (1) the Class is ascertainable and so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) the Class shares common questions of law and fact, which predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class; (4) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class; and (5) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. The Court notes that Class Members who do not request exclusion from the Settlement may object thereto and raise their objections at the Final Approval Hearing on the Settlement.
- 4. Aggrieved Employees includes all persons employed by the Defendant in California and classified as a non-exempt, hourly-paid employee who worked for Defendant during the period from September 22, 2021 through November 26, 2024. (Settlement, ¶ 1.4, 1.31.) The Aggrieved Employees are provisionally approved for settlement purposes only.
- 5. Effective on the date when Defendant fully funds the entire Gross Settlement Amount and funds all employer payroll taxes owed on the Wage Portion of the Individual Class Payments, Plaintiff, Class Members, and Class Counsel will release claims against all Released Parties as follows (Settlement, \P 5.):
 - a. "Released Parties" means: Defendant and each of its former and present directors, officers, shareholders, owners, members, attorneys, insurers, predecessors, successors, assigns, subsidiaries, and affiliates. (Settlement, ¶, 1.41.).
 - b. <u>Plaintiff's Release</u>. Plaintiff and her respective former and present spouses, representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns generally, release and discharge Released Parties from all claims, transactions,

or occurrences that occurred during the Class Period, including, but not limited to: (a) all claims that were, or reasonably could have been, alleged, based on the facts contained, in the Operative Complaint and (b) all PAGA claims that were, or reasonably could have been, alleged based on facts contained in the Operative Complaint, Plaintiff's PAGA Notice. ("Plaintiff's Release.")

Plaintiff's Release does not extend to any claims or actions to enforce this Agreement, or to any claims for vested benefits, unemployment benefits, disability benefits, social security benefits, workers' compensation benefits that arose at any time, or based on occurrences outside the Class Period. Plaintiff acknowledges that Plaintiff may discover facts or law different from, or in addition to, the facts or law that Plaintiff now knows or believes to be true but agrees, nonetheless, that Plaintiff's Release shall be and remain effective in all respects, notwithstanding such different or additional facts or Plaintiff's discovery of them. (Settlement, ¶ 5.1.)

- i. <u>Plaintiff's Waiver of Rights Under California Civil Code Section 1542</u>. For purposes of Plaintiff's Release, Plaintiff expressly waives and relinquishes the provisions, rights, and benefits, if any, of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which reads: A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, and that if known by him or her would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or Released Party. (Settlement, ¶ 5.1.1.)
- c. Release by Participating Class Members Who Are Not Aggrieved Employees: All Participating Class Members, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, release Released Parties from all claims that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the Class Period facts stated in the Operative Complaint. Except as set forth in Section 5.3 of this Agreement, Participating Class

Members do not release any other claims, including claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, or claims based on facts occurring outside the Class Period. (Settlement, ¶ 5.2.)

- d. Release by Non-Participating Class Members Who Are Aggrieved Employees: All Non-Participating Class Members who are Aggrieved Employees are deemed to release, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, the Released Parties from all claims for PAGA penalties that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the PAGA Period facts stated in the Operative Complaint and the PAGA Notice. (Settlement, ¶ 5.3.)
- 6. For settlement purposes only, the Notice Packet to be sent to Class Members, as to form and content, is adequate. Further, on a preliminary basis, the plan for distribution of the Notice Packet to Class Members satisfies Due Process, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto. The Class Notice is attached to the Settlement as **Exhibit A**.
- 7. The Class Representative appointed for this matter is Plaintiff Cristal Cedano Parra. The Class Representative Service Payment, which is not to exceed \$7,500.00, is preliminarily approved. (Settlement, ¶ 3.2.1.)
- 8. Class Counsel appointed for this matter is Kane Moon, Allen Feghali, and Hyunjin Kim of Moon Law Group, P.C. The Class Counsel Fees Payment, which is not to exceed one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount (\$77,500.00), and Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, which is not to exceed \$25,000.00, are preliminarily approved. (Settlement, ¶ 3.2.2.)
- 9. The Administrator appointed for this matter is ILYM Group, Inc. The Administration Expenses Payment, which is not to exceed \$9,000.00, is preliminarily approved. (Settlement, ¶ 3.2.3.)
- 10. A Final Approval Hearing on the question of whether the Settlement terms, including the Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, and Class

Representative Service Payment, should be finally approved as fair, adequate, and reasonable as to the Participating Class Members, is hereby set in accordance with the following Implementation Schedule:

Defendant to provide Class Data to the Administrator	Within fifteen (15) calendar days after Preliminary Approval	
Administrator to mail the Notice Packets by First Class Mail	Within fourteen (14) calendar days after the Administrator receives the Class Data	
Response Deadline	Sixty (60) calendar days after the date the Class Notice is mailed to Class Members via First-Class U.S. Mail	
Deadline to file Motion for Final Approval	No later than sixteen (16) court days prior to the Final Approval Hearing	
Final Approval Hearing	U& à^!ÆH , 2025 at F€€€€€€€€€ in Department 11 of the Los Angeles County Superior Court	

- 11. If any of the dates in the above schedule fall on a weekend, or bank or court holiday, the time to act shall be extended to the next business day.
- 12. To facilitate the administration of the Settlement pending Final Approval, the Court hereby enjoins Plaintiff and all Class Members from filing or prosecuting any claims, suits or administrative proceedings, including filing claims with the Division of Labor Standards Enforcement of the California Department of Industrial Relations, regarding claims released by the Settlement, unless and until such Class Members have filed valid Requests for Exclusion with the Administrator and the time for filing claims with the Administrator has lapsed.
- 13. Pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this Action, except those contemplated herein and in the Settlement, are stayed.
- 14. The Settlement is preliminarily approved but is not an admission by Defendant of the validity of any claims in this Action, or of any wrongdoing or violation of law by Defendant.
- 15. Neither the Settlement nor any related document shall be offered or received in evidence in any civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding other than such proceedings as may be necessary to consummate or enforce the Settlement.
 - 16. The obligations set forth in the Settlement are deemed part of this Order. The Parties

are to carry out the Settlement in accordance with its terms. IT IS SO ORDERED. 05/20/2025 DATE: Honorable David S. Cunningham III Judge of the Los Angeles County Superior Court