Superior Court of California, County of Monterey On 07/05/2024 1 **BIBIYAN LAW GROUP, P.C.** By Deputy: Rimando, Errol David D. Bibiyan (SBN 287811) david@tomorrowlaw.com Vedang J. Patel (SBN 328647) vedang@tomorrowlaw.com Brandon Chang (SBN 316197) brandon@tomorrowlaw.com 8484 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 500 Beverly Hills, California 90211 Tel: (310) 438-5555; Fax: (310) 300-1705 6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, LLUVIANA RUIZ, JESUS BARBOZA, and PURESA RESENDIS, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated 8 and aggrieved 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 FOR THE COUNTY OF MONTEREY 11 LLUVIANA RUIZ, JESUS BARBOZA CASE NO.: 21CV002319 RUIZ, and PURESA RESENDIS, on behalf of 12 [Assigned for all purposes to the Hon. Thomas themselves and all others similarly situated, W. Wills in Dept. 15] 13 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING 14 Plaintiffs, PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS 15 AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION v. **SETTLEMENT AND CERTIFYING** 16 **CLASS FOR SETTLEMENT PURPOSES** KLEEN HARVEST, INC., a California ONLY 17 corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, 18 19 Defendants. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

ELECTRONICALLY FILED BY

This Court, having considered the plaintiffs Lluviana Ruiz ("Plaintiff Ruiz"), Jesus Barboza Ruiz ("Plaintiff Barboza"), and Puresa Resendis ("Plaintiff Resendis", collectively, "Plaintiffs") Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and Representative Action Settlement and Provisional Class Certification for Settlement Purposes Only ("Motion for Preliminary Approval"), the Declarations of David D. Bibiyan, Brandon M. Chang, Plaintiffs, and Lisa Mullins, the Joint Stipulation Re: Class Action and Representative Action Settlement (the "Settlement," "Settlement Agreement" or "Agreement"), the proposed Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement and Date for Final Approval Hearing ("Class Notice"), and other documents submitted in support of the Motion for Preliminary Approval, hereby **ORDERS, ADJUDGES AND DECREES THAT:**

- 1. The definitions set out in the Settlement Agreement are incorporated by reference into this Order; all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order.
- 2. The Court certifies the following settlement class ("Settlement Class," "Settlement Class Members" or "Class Members") for the purpose of settlement only: all persons currently or formerly employed by defendant Kleen Harvest Inc., ("Defendant") either directly or through any subsidiary, staffing agency, or professional employer organization, as hourly-paid, non-exempt employees at any time between July 21, 2017 through July 23, 2023 ("Class Period").
- 3. The Court preliminarily appoints the named plaintiffs Lluviana Ruiz, Jesus Barboza Ruiz, and Puresa Resendis as Class Representatives, and David D. Bibiyan, Jeffrey D. Klein, and Vedang J. Patel of Bibiyan Law Group, P.C., as Class Counsel.
- 4. The Court preliminarily approves the proposed class settlement upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds, on a preliminary basis, that the settlement appears to be within the range of reasonableness of settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by the Court. It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the settlement amount is fair, adequate, and reasonable as to all potential class members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to liability and damages issues. It further appears that extensive and costly investigation and research has been conducted such that counsel for the parties at this time are reasonably able to evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that the settlement at this time will avoid substantial additional costs to all parties, as well as the

delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action. It further appears that the settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, non-collusive and arms-length negotiations utilizing an experienced third-party neutral.

- 5. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice that has been submitted herewith.
- 6. The Court directs the mailing of the Class Notice by first-class regular U.S. mail to the Class Members in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that dissemination of the Class Notice set forth in the Settlement Agreement complies with the requirements of law and appears to be the best notice practicable under the circumstances.
- The Court hereby preliminarily approves the definition and disposition of the Gross Settlement Amount of \$375,000.00, which is inclusive of: attorneys' fees of up to thirty-five percent (35%) of the Gross Settlement Amount, which, if not escalated pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, amounts to \$131,250.00, in addition to actual costs incurred of up to \$30,000.00; service awards of \$7,500.00 to Plaintiff Ruiz, \$5,000.00 to Plaintiff Barboza, \$5,000.00 to Plaintiff Resendis, for a total of \$17,500.00; costs of settlement administration of no more than \$11,500.00 and Private Attorneys' General Act of 2004 ("PAGA") penalties in the amount of \$20,000.00, of which \$15,000.00 (75%) will be paid to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and \$5,000.00 (25%) to "Aggrieved Employees," defined as Class Members working for Defendant, as non-exempt, hourly-paid employees at any time between July 10, 2020 through July 23, 2023 ("PAGA Period").
- 8. The Gross Settlement Amount expressly excludes Employer Taxes, which will be paid separately and apart by Defendant on the wages portion of the Gross Settlement Amount.
- 9. "Workweeks" shall mean the number of weeks that a Settlement Class Member was employed by Defendant in a non-exempt, hourly position during the Class Period in California, based on hire dates, re-hire dates (as applicable), and termination dates (as applicable).
- 10. Based on information available as of the date of the mediation, Defendant estimated that there are no more than 10,548 Workweeks worked by Class Members during the Class Period. In the event the number of Workweeks worked increases by more than 10% or more than 1,548

Spanish by the Settlement Administrator, unless a Class Member's notice is re-mailed. In such an

instance, the Response Deadline shall be fifteen (15) calendar days from the re-mailing or forty-five

(45) calendar days from the date of the initial mailing, whichever is later, in which to postmark a

26

27

28

Request for Exclusion, Workweek Dispute or Objection. The date of the postmark shall be the exclusive means for determining whether a Request for Exclusion, Objection or Workweek Dispute was submitted by the Response Deadline.

- 16. No later than seven (7) calendar days from the Response Deadline, the Settlement Administrator shall provide counsel for the Parties with a declaration attesting to the completion of the notice process, including the number of attempts to obtain valid mailing addresses for and resending of any returned Class Notices, as well as the identities, number of, and copies of all Requests for Exclusion and Objections received by the Settlement Administrator.
- Any Settlement Class Member may request exclusion from (i.e., "opt out" of) the Settlement by mailing a written request to be excluded from the Settlement ("Request for Exclusion") to the Settlement Administrator, postmarked on or before the Response Deadline. To be valid, a Request for Exclusion must include: (1) the Class Member's name; (2) the last four (4) digits of the Class Member's Social Security Number; (3) the Class Member's signature; and (4) the following statement: "Please exclude me from the Settlement Class in the Lluviana Ruiz, et. al. v. Kleen Harvest Inc. matter" or any statement of similar meaning standing for the proposition that the Class Member does not wish to participate in the Settlement.
- 18. Any Settlement Class Member who does not opt out of the Settlement by submitting a timely and valid Request for Exclusion will be bound by all terms of the Settlement, including those pertaining to the Released Claims, as well as any Judgment that may be entered by the Court if Final Approval of the Settlement is granted.
- 19. Each Settlement Class Member may dispute the number of Workweeks attributed to him or her on his or her Class Notice ("Workweek Dispute"). Any such disputes must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator by the Settlement Class Member, postmarked on or before the Response Deadline. The Settlement Administrator shall immediately provide copies of all disputes to Class Counsel and counsel for Defendant and shall immediately attempt to resolve all such disputes directly with relevant Settlement Class Member(s) with the assistance of Defendant and Class Counsel. If the dispute cannot be resolved in this manner, the Court shall adjudicate the dispute.

- Only Participating Class Members may object to the Settlement. In order for any Settlement Class Member to object to the Settlement, or any term of it, he or she must do so by mailing a written objection to the Settlement Administrator at the address or phone number provided on the Class Notice no later than the Response Deadline. The Settlement Administrator shall email a copy of the Objection forthwith to Class Counsel and Defendant's counsel and attach copies of all Objections to the Declaration it provides Class Counsel, which Class Counsel shall file in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval. The Objection should set forth in writing: (1) the Objector's name; (2) the Objector's address; (3) the last four digits of the Objector's Social Security Number; (4) the Objector's signature; (5) a statement of whether the Objector plans to appear at the Final Approval Hearing; and (6) the reason(s) for the Objection, along with whatever legal authority, if any, the Objector asserts in support of the Objection.
- 21. If a Settlement Class Member objects to the Settlement, the Settlement Class Member will remain a member of the Settlement Class and if the Court approves this Agreement, the Settlement Class Member will be bound by the terms of the Settlement in the same way and to the same extent as a Settlement Class Member who does not object. The date of mailing of the Class Notice to the objecting Settlement Class Member shall be conclusively determined according to the records of the Settlement Administrator. Settlement Class Members need not object in writing to be heard at the Final Approval Hearing; they may object or comment in person at the hearing at their own expense.
- 22. (4) No later than seven (7) calendar days from the Response Deadline, the Settlement Administrator shall provide counsel for the Parties with a declaration attesting to the completion of the notice process, including the number of attempts to obtain valid mailing addresses for and re-sending of any returned Class Notices, as well as the identities, number of, and copies of all Requests for Exclusion and Objections received by the Settlement Administrator.
- 23. If a Settlement Class Member submits an Objection and a Request for Exclusion, the Request for Exclusion will control and the Objection will be overruled.
- 24. All papers filed in support of final approval, including supporting documents for attorneys' fees and costs, shall be filed by