ELECTRONICALLY RECEIVED - 6/6/2025 2:48 PM - By: Raymond Rojas, DEPUTY

1 2 3 4 5	BRADLEY/GROMBACHER, LLP Marcus Bradley, Esq. (SBN 174156) Kiley Grombacher, Esq. (SBN 245960) 31365 Oak Crest Drive, Suite 240 Westlake Village, CA 91361 Telephone: (805) 270-7100 Facsimile: (805) 618-2939 Email: mbradley@bradleygrombacher.com Email: kgrombacher@bradleygrombacher.com	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT JUN 1 8 2025					
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs MARISELA MARTINDE ORNELAS on behalf of herself and others similarly situated	EZ VALERIE URUENA, DEPUTY					
8	[Additional counsel on following page]						
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA						
10	COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO						
11	MARISELA MARTINEZ DE ORNELAS	CASE NO. CIV SB 2308953					
12	and MARGARITA URRUTIA, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	Assigned to Hon. Christian Towns Department S-26					
13	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION					
14	Flamuii,						
15	v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND FINAL JUDGMENT					
16		SETTEMENT AND THAT SUBJECT					
17 18	MAGNOLIA FOODS LLC, a California limited liability company; and DOES 1 to 10, inclusive,	Complaint filed March 29, 2023					
19							
20	Defendant						
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							

- 11	
1	MAJARIAN LAW GROUP APC
2	Garen Majarian, Esq. (SBN 334104) Sahag Majarian, II, Esq. (SBN 146621)
3	18250 Ventura Boulevard
4	Tarzana, California 91356 Telephone: (818) 263-7343
	Facsimile: (818) 609-0892
5	E-Mail: garen@majarianlawgroup.com E-Mail: sahagii@aol.com
6	HAINES LAW GROUP, APC
7	Paul K. Haines, Esq. (SBN 248226)
8	Sean M. Blakely, Esq. (SBN 264384) Aden M. Khachadoorian, Esq. (SBN 346908)
9	2155 Campus Drive, Suite 180 El Segundo, CA 90245
0	Telephone: (424) 292-2350 Facsimile: (424) 292-2355
1	E-Mail: phaines@haineslawgroup.com E-Mail: sblakely@haineslawgroup.com
12	E-Mail: akhachadoorian@haineslawgroup.com
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs MARISELA MARTINEZ
	DE ORNELAS and MARGARITA URRUTIA, on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

The above captioned Action is a class and representative action lawsuit brought by Plaintiffs MARISELA MARTINEZ DE ORNELAS and MARGARITA URRUTIA ("Plaintiffs") against Defendant MAGNOLIA FOODS, LLC, a California corporation ("Defendant"). The Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement came before this Court on June 3, 2025.

WHEREAS, the Court granted preliminary approval of the Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release of Claims ("Settlement" or "Settlement Agreement") on February 7, 2025.

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have applied to the Court for an order granting final approval of the Settlement Agreement.

WHEREAS, the Settlement Agreement sets forth the terms and conditions of the proposed Settlement and for entry of an order of final approval and entry of final judgment thereon. The Court has read and considered Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement; Motion for Approval of Approval of Attorneys' Fees and Costs; the Declarations of Marcus Bradley, Paul Haines, Marisela Martinez De Ornelas, Margaret Urrutia, and Cassandra Polites of ILYM Group; and the supporting documents annexed thereto.

NOW THEREFORE, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

- 1. The Court has personal jurisdiction over all Class Members and that the Court has subject matter jurisdiction to approve the Settlement;
- 2. The terms of the Settlement are fair, just, reasonable, and adequate, consistent and in compliance with California Code of Civil Procedure, the California and United States Constitutions (including the due process clauses), the California Rules of Court and any other applicable law, and in the best interest of each of the Parties and the Class members and is hereby finally approved in all respects.
- The Parties are hereby directed to perform the terms of the Settlement as described in the
 Settlement Agreement according to its terms and provisions.
- 4. The Settlement Agreement is binding on Plaintiffs and all other Settlement Class Members, except those who timely and properly filed Requests for Exclusions, as well as their heirs,

executors, and administrators, successors, and assigns.

- 5. As of May 29, 2025, there have been no exclusions.
- 6. It is ordered that the Class is certified for settlement purposes only. The Court finds that an ascertainable class exists and a well-defined community of interest exists in the questions of law and fact involved because in the context of the Settlement: (i) there are questions of law and fact common to the Class which, as to the Settlement and all related matters, predominate over any individual questions; (ii) the Claims of Plaintiffs are typical of the Claims of the Class; and (iii) in negotiating, entering into and implementing the Settlement, Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Attorneys have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interest of the Class.
- 7. The Court finds that the Notice and notice methodology implemented pursuant to this Settlement (i) constituted the best practicable notice; (ii) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise the Class of the pendency of the Action, their right to object to or exclude themselves from the proposed Settlement and their right to appear at the Final Settlement Hearing; (iii) were reasonable and constituted due, adequate and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice; and (iv) met all applicable requirements of the California Code of Civil Procedure, the California and United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the California Rules of Court and any other applicable law.
- 8. The Class is hereby made final. The Class is defined as: Plaintiffs and all non-exempt employees who worked for Defendant in California from March 29, 2019 through March 15, 2024.
- 9. "Aggrieved Employees" is defined as: All individuals who worked for Defendant in California as non-exempt employees from March 29, 2022 through March 15, 2024.
 - 10. The "Class Period" is the period from March 29, 2022 through March 15, 2024.
 - 11. The "PAGA Period" means the period from March 29, 2022 through March 15, 2024.
- 12. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, upon the Effective Date of the settlement, Plaintiffs shall release all claims against Defendant and any of its former and present parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, owners, insurers, insurance policies, and benefit plans; each of the former and present officers, directors, employees, equity holders (including, without limitation, partners, shareholders, holders of membership interests, or any other person or entity with an interest in or obligation regarding Defendants'

- Plaintiffs and the Participating Class All Participating Class Members, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, shall release Released Parties from all claims that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the Class Period facts stated in the Operative Complaint and ascertained in the course of the Action, including but not limited to, failure to pay wages including minimum wages and overtime, failure to provide meal and rest periods, waiting time penalties, failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements, unfair competition based on these claims, and other associated penalties. This release shall apply to claims arising during the Class Period. Except as set forth in Section 5.3 of this Agreement, Participating Class Members, other than the Class Representatives, do not release any other claims, including claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, or claims based on facts occurring outside the Class Period.
- Plaintiffs and All Non-Participating Class Members and Participating Class Members who are Aggrieved Employees are deemed to release, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, the Released Parties from all claims for PAGA penalties that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the PAGA Period facts stated in the Operative Complaint, the PAGA Notice, and/or ascertained in the course of the Action. This release shall apply to claims arising during the PAGA Period.
- 15. The Gross Settlement Amount is six-hundred and seventy thousand dollars and zero cents (\$670,000.00). The Net Settlement Amount shall be determined according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
 - 16. The Court orders the calculations and the payments to be made and administered in

accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

- 17. The Court hereby finds that Plaintiffs and Class Counsel adequately represented the Settlement Class for purposes of entering into and implementing the settlement. The Court hereby confirms Bradley/Grombacher LLP as Class Counsel in this Action.
- 18. The Court hereby finds the unopposed application of Class Counsel for a costs and attorneys' fees award provided for under the proposed Settlement to be fair and reasonable in light of all the circumstances and is hereby granted. Of the Gross Settlement Amount, \$223,311.00 shall be paid for attorney fees and \$25,000.00 shall be paid for litigation costs.
- 19. The unopposed application of Class Counsel for a Class Representative Service Payment is hereby granted. Of the Gross Settlement Amount, \$10,000.00 as Class Representative Service Payments shall be allocated to each the Named Plaintiffs Marisela Matinez De Ornelas and Margarita Urrutia.
- 20. The unopposed application of Class Counsel for claims administration fees to ILYM Group is hereby granted. Of the Gross Settlement Amount, \$7,350.00 shall be paid for settlement administration fees.
- The Court approves the PAGA Penalties in the amount of \$20,000.00. The Court approves 75% of the PAGA Penalties being allocated to the LWDA in the amount of \$15,000.00. The Court further directs that the remining 25% of the PAGA Penalties, in the amount of \$5,000.00 shall be allocated to the Aggrieved Employees according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 22. If a Participating Class Member does not cash his or her settlement check within 180 days, the uncashed funds shall be transmitted by the Settlement Administrator to the California Controller's Unclaimed Property Fund..
- 23. Defendant shall have no further liability for costs, expenses, interest, attorneys' fees, or for any other charge, expense, or liability, except as provided for in the Settlement Agreement.
- 24. The Parties are authorized, without further approval from the Court, to agree to and to adopt such amendments, modifications and expansions of this Stipulation and all exhibits attached hereto as (i) are consistent with the Final Judgment; and (ii) do not limit the rights of Settlement Class Members under the Stipulation.

- 25. Pursuant to California Rule of Court Rule 3.769(h) and C.C.P. §664.4, the Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction over the Actions, the Parties, and the Class, as well as the administration and enforcement of the terms of the Settlement of this action to enforce the terms of the judgment. Without affecting the finality of the Final Judgment, the Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction over the Actions, the Parties, and the Class, as well as the administration and enforcement of the Settlement. Any disputes or controversies arising with respect to the interpretation, consummation, enforcement, or implementation of the Settlement shall be presented by motion to the Court; provided however, that nothing in this Part shall restrict the ability of the Parties to exercise their rights to terminate the Settlement pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
 - 26. This Final Order shall constitute a final judgment.
- 27. The Court hereby dismisses the action (including all individual claims and Released Claims presented thereby) with prejudice, without fees or costs to any party except as provided in the Settlement Agreement.
- 28. A compliance hearing is set to be held on June 3, 2026 at 8:30 a.m. in Department 26 of the San Bernardino County Superior Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:	0	16	, 2025
Dated:	10	146	, 202

HON, CHRISTIAN TOWNS

JUDGE OF THE SAN BERNARDINO SUPERIOR COURT