5

8

9 10

11

16

17

19

21

27

This matter, having come before the Honorable Garen Horst of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Placer, on December 7, 2023, for the motion by Plaintiff Kevin Harris ("Plaintiff") for Preliminary Approval of Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement that resolves all claims alleged by Plaintiff and the Class against Defendant McKesson Medical-Surgical, Inc. ("Defendant") (collectively referred to herein as "Parties"). The Court, having considered the briefs, argument of counsel and all matters presented to the Court, and good cause appearing, hereby GRANTS Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of the joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

- The Court preliminarily approves of the Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Settlement") upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement, which is attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of James R. Hawkins in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement. This is based on the Court's determination that the Settlement is within the range of possible final approval, pursuant to the provisions of Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure and California Rules of Court, rule 3.769.
- 2. For purposes of this Preliminary Approval Order and Judgement ("Order"), the Court hereby adopts and incorporates all definitions set forth in the Settlement.
- 3. The Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement is the product of informed, noncollusive negotiations conducted at arms' length by the Parties. The Court has considered the alleged merit of Plaintiff's claims, Defendant's potential liability, the allocation of Settlement proceeds among Class Members and PAGA Employees, and the fact that the Settlement represents a compromise of the Parties' respective positions. The Court therefore preliminarily finds that the Settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation and the significant risks related to certification, liability, and damages issues, subject to further consideration at the Final Fairness and Approval Hearing described below.
- 1. Solely for the purpose of settlement in accordance with the Settlement, the Court finds 28 that the requirements for certification under section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure

and other laws applicable to preliminary settlement approval of class actions have been satisfied, and the Court hereby certifies, for settlement purposes only, the following Class (the "Class"): all current and former non-exempt employees of Defendant in the State of California who hold/held delivery driver positions from April 3, 2016 to January 27, 2023 (the "Class Period").

- 4. Pursuant to the Settlement, and for settlement purposes only, the Court further finds as to the Class that:
 - a. The Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable;
 - b. There are questions of law or fact common to the Class which predominate over the questions affecting only individual members;
 - c. The claims of the Class Representative is typical of the claims of the Class that the Class Representative seeks to certify;
 - d. The Class Representative, Plaintiff Kevin Harris, will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class is, therefore, appointed as the representative of the Class;
 - e. Class Counsel, James R. Hawkins and Christina M. Lucio of James Hawkins APLC will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and are qualified to represent the Class and are, therefore, appointed as attorneys for the Class for purposes of settlement only; and
 - f. Certification of the Class is superior to other available methods for fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 5. PAGA Employees are defined as all current and former non-exempt employees of Defendant in the State of California who hold/held delivery driver positions from April 3, 2019 to January 27, 2023 (the "PAGA Period").
- 6. ILYM Group, Inc. is hereby appointed to serve as the Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator will administer the applicable provisions of the Settlement, will include, inter alia: (i) printing and mailing and re-mailing (if necessary) of Notices of Class Action and PAGA Settlement to Class Members and PAGA Employees and receiving Requests for Exclusion and Objections from Class Members; (ii) preparing and submitting to Settlement Class Members,

13

14

17

18

21

27

28

1 PAGA Employees, and government entities all appropriate tax filings and forms; (iii) computing the amount of and distributing Individual Settlement Payments, Class Representative Service Payment, and Class Counsel Attorneys' Fees, Costs and Expenses; (iv) processing and validating disputes concerning the calculation of Individual Settlement Payments; (v) establishing a Qualified Settlement Fund, as defined by the Internal Revenue Code; and (vi) calculating and remitting to the appropriate government agencies all employer and employee payroll tax obligations arising from the Settlement and preparing and submitting filings required by law in connection with the payments required by the Settlement; (vii) performing a National Change of Address (NCOA) search and a skip trace in order to obtain the best possible address for Class Members and PAGA Employees; and (viii) providing necessary reports and declarations, as requested by the Parties.

- 7. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, Defendant is hereby directed to prepare and provide the Class and PAGA Data to the Settlement Administrator within twenty-one (21) days of entry of this Order.
- 8. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, ILYM Group, Inc. is hereby directed to mail the Notice of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Notice") to all Class Members and PAGA Employees via first-class regular U.S. Mail within fourteen (14) days of receiving the Class and PAGA Data from Defendant.
- 9. The Court approves as to form and content the Notice attached as Exhibit 2 to the Declaration of James R. Hawkins. The Court finds that the Notice appears to inform the Class and PAGA Employees fully and accurately of all material elements of the proposed Settlement, of the Class Members' right to be excluded from the Class by submitting a written Opt-Out request, and of each Class Member's right and opportunity to object to the Settlement. The Court further finds that the distribution of the Notice in the manner and form set forth in the Settlement and this Order meets the requirements of due process, is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitutes valid, due and sufficient notice to all members of the Class and PAGA Employees entitled thereto. The Court orders the mailing of the Notice by first class mail, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Settlement.
 - 10. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the proposed procedure for exclusion from

5

9

11

12

13

17

18

20

21

22

- 11. Any Class Member wishing to object to this Settlement may submit a written objection or appear at the Final Fairness and Approval Hearing in person or through counsel of their choice following the procedure set forth in the Notice and prescribed by Section C.5 of the Settlement. Any Class Member who wishes to submit a written Objection to the Agreement must submit their objection on or before the Response Deadline.
- 12. Any Class Member who has submitted such written objections may, but is not required to, appear in person, or through counsel, at the Final Fairness and Approval Hearing and object to the approval of the Settlement or the award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses to counsel. Any written objections submitted by Class Members shall be turned over to Class Counsel, who shall then file the objections and any response thereto with the Court. The Parties may file any response to the objections submitted by objecting Class Members, if any, no later than three (3) Court days prior to the Final Fairness and Approval Hearing, or on another date set by the Court. Any Class Member who has not opted out may appear at the final approval hearing and may object or express the Class Member's views regarding the Settlement, and may present evidence and file briefs or other papers that may be proper and relevant to the issues to be 28 heard and determined by the Court as provided in the Notice.

only, and shall not constitute or be construed as a finding by the Court, or an admission on the part

contemplated herein and in the Agreement are stayed and suspended until further order of this Court.

The Court recognizes that certification under this Order is for settlement purposes

18.

27

28

1	of Defendant or any of the Released Parties, of any fault or omission with respect to any claim or
2	that this Action is appropriate for class or representative treatment for litigation purposes. The Cour
3	recognizes that Defendant has denied the allegations asserted in the Action and denied liability.
4	Entry of this Order is without prejudice to the rights of Defendant or any of the Released Parties to
5	oppose class certification in this Action should the proposed Settlement not be granted final
6	approval.
7	19. If for any reason the Court does not execute and file a Final Order and Judgment, or i
8	the Effective Date of the settlement, as defined in the Settlement, does not occur for any reason
9	whatsoever, the Settlement and the proposed settlement that is the subject of this Order, and all
10	evidence and proceedings had in connections therewith, shall be without prejudice to the status quo
11	ante rights of the Parties to the litigation, as more specifically set forth in the Settlement.
12	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	
15	DATED: Ræ) *æ AGÉGEG 1 100 CAPENHOPES
16	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
17	Michael W. Jones
18	Who had w. Jones
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	