1	John G. Yslas (SBN 187324)		
2	john.yslas@wilshirelawfirm.com Samantha A. Smith (SBN 233331)	FILED	
	samantha.smith@wilshirelawfirm.com	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles	
3	Jeffrey C. Bils (SBN 301629) jeffre.bils@wilshirelawfirm.com	03/07/2025	
4	Aram Boyadjian (SBN 334009)	David W. Stayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court	
5	aram.boyadjian@wilshirelawfirm.com Andrew Sandoval (SBN 346996)	By: P. Herrera Deputy	
6	andrew.sandoval@wilshirelawfirm.com WILSHIRE LAW FIRM 3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor		
7	Los Angeles, California 90010		
8	Telephone: (213) 381-9988 Facsimile: (213) 381-9989		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10			
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
13	GEORGE MUNOZ, individually, on behalf of all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the	Case No. 23STCV04247	
14	State of California and other aggrieved persons,	CLASS & REPRESENTATIVE ACTION	
15	Plaintiff,	[Assigned for all purposes to: Hon. Elihu M. Berle, Dept. 6]	
16	v.	-	
17	CENTURY WEST, LLC dba CENTURY WEST	REVISED [PROPOSE D] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION	
18	BMW, a California limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT	
19	Defendants.	[Filed with Notice of Motion and Motion,	
20	, and the second	Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Declaration of John G. Yslas, and the Declaration of Plaintiff Munoz	
21		2	
22		PRELIMINARY APPROVAL HEARING Date: February 14, 2025	
23		Time: 11:00 a.m. Dept.: 6	
24		Complaint filed: January 24, 2023 Trial date: None set	
25		THAT GAIG. TYOHE SEL	
26			
27			
28			
	I .		

7		
8		
9		

1	4
1	5
1	6

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having reviewed Plaintiff George Munoz's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Motion"), the Declaration of John G. Yslas, Plaintiff's declaration, and the Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Release and Class Notice ("Settlement Agreement"), and good cause appearing, the Court finds and orders as follows:

- 1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based on the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff and Defendant Century West, LLC ("Defendant"), attached to the Declaration of John G. Yslas in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement as Exhibit 1.
- 2. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which could ultimately be given final approval by this Court, and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the Final Approval Hearing and final approval by this Court. The Court notes that Defendant has agreed to create a common fund of \$600,000.00 to cover (a) settlement payments to Class Members who do not validly opt out; (b) a \$30,000.00 allocation toward civil penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act, 75% of which (\$22,500.00) will be paid to the State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency and 25% of which (\$7,500.00) will be paid to eligible Aggrieved Employees; (c) Class Representative service payment of up to \$15,000.00 to Plaintiff; (d) Class Counsel's attorneys' fees, not to exceed 35% of the Gross Settlement Amount (i.e., \$210,000.00), and up to \$17,500.00 in costs for actual litigation expenses incurred by Class Counsel; and (e) Settlement Administration Costs of up to \$9,450.00.
- 3. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and applicable law. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the Settlement amount is fair and reasonable to the Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2)

significant informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties with the assistance of a well-respected class action mediator. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.

- 4. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed Settlement, attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, payment to the State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency for its share of the settlement of claims for penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act, and the class representatives' enhancement awards should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the Class is hereby set in accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below.
- 5. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class (the "Class"): "all current and former non-exempt or hourly paid employees of Defendant that have worked for Defendant in the State of California at any time during the Class Period."
 - 6. "Class Period" means the period from February 24, 2019 to March 15, 2024.
- 7. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets the requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class Members; (4) Plaintiffs and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 8. The Court appoints as Class Representative, for settlement purposes only, Plaintiff. The Court further preliminarily approves Plaintiff's ability to request an incentive award up to \$15,000.00.

- 9. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, John G. Yslas, Jeffrey C. Bils, Aram Boyadjian, Andrew Sandoval, and John Brown of Wilshire Law Firm, PLC, as Class Counsel. The Court further preliminarily approves Class Counsel's ability to request attorneys' fees of up to one-third of the Total Settlement Amount (i.e., \$210,000.00), and costs not to exceed \$17,500.00.
- 10. The Court appoints ILYM Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator with reasonable administration costs estimated not to exceed \$9,450.00.
- 11. The Court approves, as to form and content the Class Notice, attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that plan for distribution of the Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies due process, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.
- 12. The Parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. Any Class Member who does not timely and validly request exclusion from the Settlement may object to the Settlement Agreement.
 - 14. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule:

Defendant to provide Class List to the Settlement Administrator	March 7, 2025
Settlement Administrator to mail the Notice Packets	March 21, 2025
Deadline to file Motion for Final Approval, Request for Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Service Awards to Plaintiffs	April 7, 2025
Notice Response Deadline	May 21, 2025
Deadline for Administrator to Submit Report	June 13, 2025

1					
2 3	Deadline to Respond to Objections (if any)	June 13, 2025			
5	Final Approval Hearing	June 23, 2025 at 9:00 a.m.			
6	15. The Court further ORDERS that, j	pending further order of this Court, all			
7	proceedings in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.				
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
9 10		Elihu M. Berle			
11	DATE: 03/07/2025	Elihu M. Berle / Judge			
12		Hon. Elihu M. Berle Los Angeles County Superior Court			
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					