HLATHER DAVIS, SBN 239372 FILED SUPERIOR COURT heather a protection law group.com COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO AMIR NAYI BDADASH, SBN 232204 SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT amir a protectionlaw group.com BRENDAN J. BURTON, SBN 323495 SEP 0 4 2024 brendan a protectionlaw group.com PROTECTION LAW GROUP, LLP 5 149 Sheldon Street El Segundo, California 90245 Tel.: (424) 290-3095 / Fax: (866) 264-7880 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff, ALEJANDRO SALGADO 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO 11 12 Case No.: CIVSB2117457 ALEJANDRO SALGADO, individually, and [(Related to Case No.: CIVSB2117454) on behalf of other members of the general 13 public similarly situated, and as an aggrieved Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon. Jessica employee and Private Attorney General. 14 Morgan, Dept. S26 Plaintiff. 15 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING 15. 16 MOTION FOR PRELMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA LIFFTIME SOLUTIONS, INC., a California **SETTLEMENT** 17 corporation: and DOES 1 through 100. inclusive. 18 Hearing Date: September 4, 2024 Defendants. Hearing Time: 8:30 a.m. 19 Department: S26 20 21 Complaint Filed: June 17, 2021 Trial Date: Not Set 22 23 24 25

26

27

28

()

16

?()

27

28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

The Motion of Plaintiff ALEJANDRO SALGADO ("Plaintiff") for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Motion") came regularly for hearing before this Court on September 4, 2024. The Court, having considered the proposed Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement"), attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Heather Davis filed concurrently with the Motion; having considered Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement, the memorandum of points and authorities in support thereof, and supporting declaration filed therewith; and good cause appearing. HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

1. The Court GRANTS preliminary approval of the class action settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds its terms to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that ultimately could be granted approval by the Court at a Final Fairness hearing. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement. For purposes of the Settlement only, the Court finds that the proposed Class is ascertainable and that there is a sufficiently well-defined community of interest among the members of the Class in questions of law and fact. Therefore, for settlement purposes only, the Court grants conditional certification of the following settlement Class:

All current and former hourly-paid, non-exempt employees of Lifetime Solutions. Inc., who were employed by Lifetime Solutions, Inc., in the State of California from June 17, 2017, through January 29, 2024.

- 2. For purposes of the Settlement only, the Court designates Plaintiff Alejandro Salgado as Class Representative, and designates Heather Davis and Amir Nayebdadash of Protection Law Group, LLP, and Lawyers *for* Justice, PC, as Class Counsel.
 - 3. The Court designates ILYM, Inc. as the third-party Settlement Administrator.
- 4. The Parties are ordered to implement the settlement according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 5. The Court approves, as to form and content, the proposed Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Notice") attached as **Exhibit A** to the Settlement Agreement.

- 6. The Court finds that the form of notice to the Class regarding the pendency of the action and of the Settlement, the dates selected for mailing and distribution, and the methods of giving notice to members of the Class, satisfy the requirements of due process, constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitute valid, due, and sufficient notice to all members of the Class. The form and method of giving notice complies fully with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure § 382. California Civil Code § 1781, California Rules of Court §§ 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and other applicable law.
- 7. The Court further approves the procedures for Class Members to opt-out of or object to the Settlement, as set forth in the Class Notice and the Settlement Agreement. The procedures and requirements for filing objections in connection with the final fairness hearing are intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the orderly presentation of any Class Member's objection to the Settlement, in accordance with the due process rights of all Class Members.
- 8. The Court directs the Settlement Administrator to mail the Notice to the members of the Class in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.
- 9. The Notice shall provide 60 calendar days' notice for Class Members to submit disputes, opt-out of, or object to the Settlement.
- The hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Settlement on the question of whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate is scheduled in Department S-26 of this Court, located at 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, California 92415-0210, on 186/2015 at 8530 cm / p.m.
- 11. At the Final Fairness hearing, the Court will consider: (a) whether the Settlement should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate for the Class: (b) whether a judgment granting final approval of the Settlement should be entered; and (c) whether Plaintiff's application for enhancement payments, settlement administration costs, and Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and costs, should be granted.

Event	Date
Defendant to provide class contact and tax rate	September 24, 2024
information to the Settlement Administrator no later	[14 business days following
than:	preliminary approval]
Settlement Administrator to mail the Notice to the	October 1, 2024
Class no later than:	[7 calendar days following
	provision of contact information]
Deadline for Class Members to submit disputes.	November 2, 2024
request exclusion from, or object to the Settlement:	[60 calendar days after mailing of
	the Notice of Settlement]
Deadline for Plaintiff to file Motion for Final	
Approval of Class Action Settlement:	
Hearing on Motion for Final Approval of Settlement:	

- 14. Pending the Final Fairness hearing, all proceedings in this Action, other than proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of the Settlement and this Order, are stayed. To facilitate administration of the Settlement pending final approval, the Court hereby enjoins Plaintiff and all members of the Class from filing or prosecuting any claims, or suits regarding claims released by the Settlement, unless and until such Class Members have filed valid Requests for Exclusion with the Settlement Administrator.
- 15. Counsel for the Parties are hereby authorized to utilize all reasonable procedures in connection with the administration of the Settlement which are not materially inconsistent with

either this Order or the terms of the Settlement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

_

DATED:

()

1()

PROPOSEDLORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SELTILI MENT - 4

9/4/2025 By: C-Town
Hon, Jessica Morgan Christian Towns
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT