1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D.LAW, INC. Emil Davtyan (SBN 299363) Emil@d.law David Yeremian (SBN 226337) d.yeremian@d.law Gregg Lander (SBN 194018) g.lander@d.law Vanessa M. Ruggles (SBN 254031) v.ruggles@d.law Enoch J. Kim (261146) e.kim@d.law Marta Manus (260132) m.manus@d.law 450 N. Brand Blvd. Suite 840 Glendale, CA 91203 Telephone: (818) 962-6465 Facsimile: (818) 962-6469	OCT 17 2025 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SONOMA BY DEPUTY CLERK
10 11	Attorneys for Plaintiff SHANNA JIMENEZ, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated	ated
12 13 14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SONOMA	
15 16	SHANNA JIMENEZ, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,) CLASS ACTION
17	Plaintiffs,) Case No. 24CV02457
18	v.) [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING) PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
) PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
19	SOFI LENDING CORP., a California corporation; and Does 1 to 10, inclusive,) ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT
20	Defendants.	<u>Preliminary Approval Hearing:</u>Date: October 17, 2025
21	Defendants.) Time: 8:30 a.m.) Dept: 18
22) Honorable Kenneth G. English
23) Department 18
24		Action filed: April 16, 2024Trial date: None Set
25)
26		
27		
28		
		- 3 -
- 1	i	-

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT

Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement filed by named Plaintiff

Shanna Jimenez on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated ("Plaintiff"). The Court has

reviewed and considered the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support of the Motion,

, the Court conducted a hearing on the unopposed

On October 17, 2025

forth in the Settlement Agreement.

the Declarations of Counsel and the exhibits in support of the Motion, including the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement") between Plaintiff and Defendant Sofi Lending Corp. and SoFi Bank, N.A. ("Defendant"). (Plaintiff and Defendant shall be referred to collectively as the "Parties").

This Order hereby incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement as though fully set forth herein, and all terms used herein shall have the same meaning as set

NOW THEREFORE, having read and considered the foregoing, the Court HEREBY MAKES THE FOLLOWING FINDINGS:

- 1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the proposed Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness, and the terms of Settlement, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, are presumptively fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class and, therefore, meet the requirements for preliminary approval, subject only to any objections that may be raised before or at the Final Approval Hearing. It appears to the Court that the Settlement's terms are fair, adequate, and reasonable as to all potential Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation, given the risks relating to liability and damages. It further appears that extensive investigation and research have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties at this time are reasonably able to evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that the Settlement at this time would avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action. It appears the Settlement has been reached as a result of intensive, arm's-length negotiations utilizing an experienced third-party neutral.
 - 2. The Court further finds, for settlement purposes only, that the requirements of

California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and California Rules of Court, rule 3.760 *et seq.* are satisfied. Therefore, the Court certifies, for settlement purposes only, the following Class described in the Motion for Preliminary Approval and Settlement Agreement:

All persons employed by Defendant (defined as SoFi Lending Corp. and/or its affiliate SoFi Bank, N.A.) in California as non-exempt employees during the Class Period (defined as October 31, 2019, through December 12, 2024).

It shall be an opt-out class.

3. The Court further finds that the moving papers presented for the Court's review set forth a plan to provide proper notice to the Class of the terms of the Settlement and the options available to the Class, including the ability of the Class Members to opt-out or submit a Request for Exclusion from the Settlement and not be bound by the Settlement Agreement or receive any Individual Class Payment under it (except to the extent a Class Member is an Aggrieved Employee under PAGA, in which case the Class Notice makes clear that an Aggrieved Employee cannot opt out of the PAGA Penalties portion of the Settlement); to object to the terms of the Settlement; or to do nothing and receive an Individual Class Payment and be bound by the terms of the Settlement. Plaintiff has submitted to the Court a proposed Class Notice.

As a result, for good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the proposed settlement upon the terms, conditions, and all release language set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. The Court conditionally certifies and approves, for settlement purposes only, the Class of all persons employed by Defendant in California as non-exempt employees during the settlement Class Period (defined as October 31, 2019, through December 12, 2024) as described in the parties' Settlement Agreement.
- 3. For the purposes of this settlement, Emil Davtyan, Esq., Gregg Lander, Esq., Vanessa Ruggles, Esq., Enoch J. Kim, Esq., and Marta Manus, Esq. of D.Law, Inc. ("Class Counsel") are hereby appointed as Class Counsel and shall represent the Class Members in this Action. Any Class Member may enter an appearance in the Action, at their own expense, either

individually or through counsel of their own choice; however, if they do not enter an appearance, they will be represented by Class Counsel.

- 4. For the purposes of this settlement, Plaintiff Shanna Jimenez is hereby appointed as the Class Representative for the Class.
- 5. The Court confirms ILYM Group, Inc., as the Settlement Administrator. The procedures for paying the Administration Expenses Payment, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, are approved. ILYM Group, Inc., is directed to perform all responsibilities of the Settlement Administrator as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. Once entered, ILYM Group, Inc., will also post the Judgment in this matter on its website.
- 6. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice attached as **Exhibit A** to the Settlement Agreement (the "Notice"). The Court finds that the dates and procedure for mailing and distributing the Notice in the manner set forth in Paragraph 7 of this Order meet the requirements of due process and are the best notice practicable under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.
- 7. The Court directs the mailing of the Court-approved Notice via First-Class
 United States mail to the Class Members in accordance with the schedule and procedures set
 forth in the Settlement Agreement.
 - a. Within fifteen (15) calendar days of the date of preliminary approval of this
 Settlement, Defendant shall provide to the Administrator the Class Data; and
 - b. Within fifteen (15) calendar days of receiving the Class Data from Defendant, the Administrator shall mail by First-Class United States mail the Notice to each Class Member. The Administrator shall conduct a National Change of Address database search before mailing and will also use the most recent address available to the Administrator for mail delivery. Any returned mail with a forwarding address from the U.S. Postal Service shall be promptly re-mailed to the new address. The Administrator shall perform a skip trace search for a new address for any returned mail without a forwarding address.

- 8. The procedures for Class Members to opt-out by submitting a Request for Exclusion, as set forth in the Notice and Settlement Agreement, are approved. The time for Class Members to submit a Request for Exclusion shall be forty-five (45) days after the date of the first mailing of the Notices. This date shall be extended by fourteen (14) calendar days if the Notice is returned as undeliverable and then remailed to a correct or forwarding address of a Class Member.
- 9. The procedures for Class Members to object to the Settlement, as set forth in the Notice and Settlement Agreement, are approved. The time for Class Members to object to the Settlement shall be forty-five (45) days after the date of the first mailing of the Notices. This date shall be extended by fourteen (14) calendar days if the Notice is returned as undeliverable and then remailed to a correct or forwarding address of a Class Member. Class Members may appear at the Final Approval Hearing, on their own or through a counsel retained at their expense, to present their objection, whether or not they submitted a prior written objection as provided in the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. The procedures for Class Members to dispute the number of Workweeks worked, as set forth in the Notice and Settlement Agreement, are approved. The time for Class Members to submit a Workweek dispute shall be forty-five (45) days after the date of the first mailing of the Notices. This date shall be extended by fourteen (14) calendar days if the Notice is returned as undeliverable and then remailed to a correct or forwarding address of a Class Member.
- 11. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the definition and disposition of the Gross Settlement Amount as that term is defined in the Settlement Agreement. The Court preliminarily approves the distribution of the Gross Settlement Amount; all subject to the Court's final approval of the Settlement at the Final Approval Hearing. Assuming the Settlement receives final approval, Defendant shall be required to pay only the Gross Settlement Amount in the total amount of \$520,000 plus the employer's share of payroll taxes due as a result of this Settlement.
- 12. A Final Approval Hearing (the "Hearing") shall be held on April 10, 2026 at 8:30 a.m./p.m. before the Honorable Kenneth G. English in Department 18 of the Sonoma

proposed Settlement should be finally approved by the Court as fair, reasonable and adequate; (b) determine the reasonableness of Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees and costs and amount to be awarded; (c) determine the reasonableness of the Class Representative's Service Payment requested for the Class Representative and amount to be awarded; and (d) order entry of Judgment in the Class Action, which shall constitute a complete release and bar with respect to the Released Class Claims and Released PAGA Claims.

13. Class Counsel shall file and serve all papers in support of the Motion for Final

County Superior Court. The purpose of such Hearing will be to: (a) determine whether the

- 13. Class Counsel shall file and serve all papers in support of the Motion for Final Approval and any application for reimbursement of attorneys' fees and costs, including any costs associated with or incurred by the Administrator, at least sixteen (16) court days before the Final Approval hearing.
- 14. The Court reserves the right to continue the date of the Final Approval Hearing without further notice to the Class Members and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement. However, Class Counsel or the Administrator will give notice to any objecting party of any continuance of the Final Approval Hearing.
- 15. All further proceedings in this Action shall be stayed except such proceedings necessary to review, approve, and implement this Settlement.
- 16. In the event: (i) the Court does not finally approve the Settlement as contemplated by the Settlement Agreement; (ii) the Court does not enter a Final Approval Order as contemplated by the Settlement Agreement, which becomes final as a result of the occurrence of the Effective Date (as that term is defined by in the Settlement Agreement); or (iii) the Settlement does not become final for any other reason, the Settlement and any related Class shall be null and void and any order or judgment entered by this Court in furtherance of the Settlement shall be deemed as void from the beginning. In such a case, the Parties and any funds to be awarded under this Settlement shall be returned to their respective statuses as of the date and time immediately prior to the execution of the Settlement, and the Parties shall proceed in all respects as if no Class had been certified and the Settlement Agreement had not been

1	executed.	
2	17. Neither the Settlement, preliminarily approved or not, nor any exhibit, document	
3	or instrument delivered hereunder, nor any statement, transaction or proceeding in connection	
4	with the negotiation, execution or implementation of the Settlement, shall be admissible in	
5	evidence for any reason except as provided in the Settlement Agreement. The Court has made	
6	no findings on the merits, and the Defendant has denied the allegations in the operative	
7	complaint.	
8	18. The Court hereby approves the PAGA Penalties portion of the settlement.	
9	GOOD CAUSE HAVING BEEN SHOWN, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: October 17, 2025	
11	Heporable Kenneth G. English Judge of the Superior Court	
12	Judge of the Superior Court	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
2526		
27		
28		
-	-9-	

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL OR ELECTRONIC MAIL

I certify that I am an employee of the Superior Court of California, County of Sonoma, and that my business address is 3055 Cleveland Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403; that I am not a party to this cause; that I am over the age of 18 years; that I am readily familiar with this office's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; and that on the date shown below I placed a true copy of the following document: *Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement* in an envelope, sealed and addressed as shown below, for collection and mailing at Santa Rosa, California, first class, postage fully prepaid, following ordinary business practices or I electronically served by email to the person and electronic service email address listed below.

Date: October 29, 2025

Robert Oliver, Clerk of the Court

By: <u>Jennifer Ellis</u> Jennifer Ellis, Deputy Clerk

ELECTRONIC SERVICE ADDRESSES

EMIL DAVTYAN
D.LAW INC

• emil@d.law