## E-Served: Jan 5 2024 2:44PM PST Via Case Anywhere

- 1				
1	Jamie Serb (SBN 289601)			
2	jamie@crosnerlegal.com SEPIDEH ARDESTANI (SBN 274259)			
3	sepideh@crosnerlegal.com			
3	ZACHARY CROSNER (SBN 272295) zach@crosnerlegal.com			
4	CROSNER LEGAL, PC			
5	9440 Santa Monica Blvd., Ste. 301 Beverly Hills, CA 90210			
6	Tel: (866) 276-7637			
7	Fax: (310) 510-6429			
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff Daniel Guevara			
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TI	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
	FOR THE COUNT	I OF LOS ANGELES		
11	DANIEL GUEVARA, individually and on	Case No.: 20STCV 11125		
12	behalf of all others similarly situated,			
13		Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. David S. Cunningham		
14	Plaintiff,	Dept. SSC-11		
15	v.	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER		
16	CALTEK STAFFING, INC., a California			
17	corporation; ARCHIE PAYNE, an individual, and DOES 1–50 inclusive,			
18	and DOLS 1–30 inclusive,			
	Defendants.			
19		1		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$				
ا ٥٧				

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

## EXHIBIT A

Electronically Received 10/05/2023 10:03 AM

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

## 01/05/2024

	Jamie@crosnerlegal.com    SEPIDEH ARDESTANI (SBN 274259)	David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court		
		Ву:	T. Lewis	Deputy
	sepideh@crosnerlegal.com   ZACHARY CROSNER (SBN 272295)			
	zach@crosnerlegal.com			

**CROSNER LEGAL, PC** 

Jamie Serb (SBN 289601)

9440 Santa Monica Blvd., Ste. 301 5

Beverly Hills, CA 90210 Tel: (866) 276-7637

Fax: (310) 510-6429

Attorneys for Plaintiff Daniel Guevara

8

9

6

7

1

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

11

12

10

DANIEL GUEVARA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

13

Plaintiff,

14 15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CALTEK STAFFING, INC., a California corporation; ARCHIE PAYNE, an individual, and DOES 1-50 inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 20STCV11125

Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. David S. Cunningham

Dept. SSC-11

PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Date: January 3, 2024 Time: 9:00 a.m.

Dept. SSC-11

The Court, having read the papers filed regarding Plaintiff's unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, and having heard argument on the Motion, hereby finds and ORDERS as follows:

- 1. The Second Amended Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice attached as Exhibit 1 to the Second Supplemental Declaration of Sepideh Ardestani in support of Plaintiff's unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement, filed on or about October 4, 2023 (the "Settlement Agreement"), is within the range of possible recovery and, subject to further consideration at the Final Approval Hearing described below, is preliminarily approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Court, for purposes of this Order, adopts all defined terms as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- For purposes of settlement only, the Court provisionally and conditionally certifies the following class: all non-exempt individuals who are or previously were employed by Defendant Caltek Staffing, Inc. ("Caltek") in California during the Class Period of March 20, 2016 to January 31, 2023.
- 3. The Court finds the Settlement Class, consisting of approximately 231 members, is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable, and that the Settlement Class is ascertainable by reference to the business records of Defendant.
- 4. The Court finds further there are questions of law and fact common to the entire Settlement Class, which common questions predominate over any individualized questions of law or fact. These common questions include, without limitation: (1) whether Defendant provided Settlement Class Members with all required meal and rest periods, (2) whether Defendant timely paid all wages due on separation of employment, and (3) whether Defendants provided Settlement Class Members with proper itemized wage statements.
- 5. The Court finds further the claims of named Plaintiff Daniel Guevara are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class, and that he will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class. Accordingly, the Court appoints Daniel Guevara as the Class Representative, and appoints their counsel of record, Zachary M. Crosner and Sepideh Ardestani, and Crosner Legal, PC, as Class Counsel.

- 6. The Court finds further that certification of the Settlement Class is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 7. The Court finds further that, in the present case, the proposed method of providing notice of the Settlement to the Settlement Class via First Class U.S. Mail to each Settlement Class Member's last known address, is reasonably calculated to notify the Settlement Class Members of the proposed Settlement and provides the best notice possible under the circumstances. The Court also finds the Notice of Class Action Settlement form is sufficient to inform the Settlement Class Members of the terms of the Settlement and their rights thereunder, including the right to object to the Settlement or any part thereof and the procedure for doing so, their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement and the procedure for doing so, their right to obtain a portion of the Settlement proceeds, and the date, time and location of the Final Approval Hearing. The proposed Notice of Class Action Settlement, and the procedure for providing Notice set forth in the Settlement Agreement, all are approved by the Court.
- 8. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Court approves the Parties' selection of ILYM Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator is ordered to mail the Class Notice to the Settlement Class Members via First-Class U.S. Mail as specified in the Settlement Agreement, and to otherwise carry out all other duties set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Parties are ordered to carry out and comply with all terms of this Order and the Settlement Agreement, and particularly with respect to providing the Settlement Administrator all information necessary to perform its duties under the Settlement Agreement.
- 9. Any member of the Settlement Class who wishes to comment on or object to the Settlement or any term thereof, including any proposed award of attorney's fees and costs to Class Counsel or any proposed representative enhancement to the Class Representatives, shall have sixty (60) days from the mailing of the Class Notice to submit his or her comments and/or objection to the Settlement Administrator, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Class Notice.
- 10. Any member of the Settlement Class who wishes to exclude themselves from the Settlement shall have sixty (60) days from the mailing of the Class Notice to submit his or her

1	SERVICE LIST  Daniel Guevara v. Caltek Staffing Inc., et al.  Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 20STCV11125			
2	Los Angeles County Superior Court Case N	0. 2031 CV 11123		
3	Linda Auerbach Allderidce, Esq. Samuel J. Stone, Esq.	Attorney for Defendants CALTEK STAFFING, INC.		
4	HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP	ARCHIE PAYNE		
5	400 S. Hope Street, 8th Floor Los Angeles, Ca 90071			
6	Ph#213-896-2400			
7	Fax#213-896-2450 Linda.allderdice@hklaw.com			
8	Sam.stone@hklaw.com			
9	Lonnie D. Giamela	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant		
10	Christopher D. Chaplin, Esq. FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP	OAKCROFT ASSOCIATES INC. dba		
11	444 South Flower Street, Suite 1500	Roofmaster		
12	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Tel: (213) 330-4500			
13	Facsimile: (213) 330-4501			
14	lgiamela@fisherphillips.com cchaplin@fisherphillips.com			
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
2/				