1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 II 12	Nazo Koulloukian, SBN 263809 nazo@koullaw.com Hilary Silvia, Of-Counsel, SBN 237993 hilary@koullaw.com KOUL LAW FIRM, APC 217 South Kenwood Street Glendale, CA 91205 Telephone: (213) 325-3032 Facsimile: (818) 561-3938 Sahag Majarian, Esq. SBN 146621 sahagii@aol.com Garen Majarian, Esq. SBN 334104 garen@majarianlawgroup.com MAJARIAN LAW GROUP, APC 18250 Ventura Blvd. Tarzana, CA 91356 Telephone: (818) 609-0807 Facsimile: (818) 609-0892 Attorney for Plaintiff, ERICA ELAINE GARCIA And all putative class members	SEP 0 4 2025 Filed STEPHANIE BOMBER, CLERK By DEPUTY
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	<u>TE STATE OF CALIFORNIA</u>
14	COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN	
15	ERICA ELAINE GARCIA, an individual, on behalf of herself and all others similarly	Case No.: STK-CV-VOE-2024-0005922
16	situated,	Assigned for all purposes to the Honorable
17	Plaintiff,	Blanca Banuelos, Dept. 10B
18	vs.	PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
19		PRELIMINARY APPROVAL
20	COMMUNITY MEDICAL CENTERS, INC., a California corporation, and DOES 1-50,	Olera Antique Dilada Marcolo 2024
21	inclusive,	Class Action Filed: May 20, 2024 FAC Filed: May 22, 2025
23	Defendant.	
24		·
25		
26		
27		
28		

The Court has before it the Motion for Preliminary Approval brought by Plaintiff ERICA ELAINE GARCIA. After reviewing the Motion for Preliminary Approval and the Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Settlement Agreement") filed with the Court, and good cause appearing therefor, the Court hereby finds and orders as follows:

1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the settlement memorialized in the Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable, and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval. The monetary terms of the settlement detailed in the following chart are discussed further below.

Gross Settlement Amount	\$1,500,000
Plaintiff's Class Representative Award	-\$10,000
Plaintiff's Attorney Fees	-\$500,000
Plaintiff's Costs (up to)	-\$40,000
PAGA Payment	-\$50,000
Settlement Administration	-\$15,000
Net Settlement Amount for Distribution	\$885,000
to Class Members	

- 2. "Class Members" means all current or former non-exempt employees who worked for Defendant in California during the Class Period, which extends from May 20, 2020, through the date of the preliminary approval order. The Class Period is subject to Defendant's election pursuant to the escalator provision in Paragraph 9 of the Settlement. In the event that Defendant elects to exercise its right to shorten the Class Period, the Parties will submit a [Proposed] Amended Order revising the class definition.
- 3. The Court finds, for purposes of settlement only, that the Class meets the requirements for certification under Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure in that:
 (1) the Class is so numerous that joinder is impracticable; (2) there are questions of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which predominate over individual issues; (3) the named Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class; (4) the named Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class;

and (5) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

- 4. The Court appoints for settlement purposes only ERICA ELAINE GARCIA as the Class Representative. A Class Representative Award of \$10,000 to Plaintiff is conditionally approved and will be determined at final approval.
- 5. The Court appoints for settlement purposes only Koul Law Firm, APC and Majarian Law Group, APC as Class Counsel. The proposed payment to Class Counsel for reasonable attorneys' fees is an amount not to exceed 33.33% of the Gross Settlement Amount (\$500,000), which will be determined at final approval. The proposed payment to Class Counsel for actual Litigation Costs in an amount not to exceed \$40,000 is conditionally approved and will be determined at final approval.
- 6. The Court appoints ILYM Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator. The proposed payment of the Settlement Administration Costs in an amount not to exceed \$15,000 to ILYM for its services is conditionally approved and will be determined at final approval.
- The Parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
 - 8. The Court orders the following implementation schedule:
- a. Deadline for Defendant to submit Class Data to the Settlement Administrator: within fourteen (14) days after entry of the Preliminary Approval Order;
- b. Deadline for Settlement Administrator to send Class Notice to Class Members providing notice of settlement: within fourteen (14) calendar days of receipt of the Class Data
- c. Deadline for Class Members to postmark written objections, challenges to Class Workweeks and/or PAGA Pay Periods, and Requests for Exclusion (Opt-Out) related to the Settlement: Within forty-five (45) days for initial mailing of Notice Packet; to be extended by fourteen (14) days for remailing;

d. Deadline for serving and filing Motion for Final Approval, Attorneys' Fees Award, Cost Award, and Class Representative Award: Sixteen (16) court days before Final Approval Hearing in conformity with Code of Civil Procedure section 1005;

e. Final Approval Hearing: 1/16/24 at 9:00 f.m./p.m.

9. The Court approves as to form and content the Notice included as Exhibit A to the Settlement Agreement, which advises Class Members and PAGA Settlement Employees of the Settlement terms, the preliminary approval of the Settlement, and the scheduling of the Final Approval Hearing.

10. The Court finds that the timing for the mailing and distribution of the Notice meets the requirements of due process, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto. The Court directs the mailing of the Notice to all identified Class Members in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.

11. The Court retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or in connection with the Settlement.

12. If the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, or if the Settlement is not finally approved, or is terminated, canceled, or fails to become effective for any reason, this Order shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 9 4 26

Hon. Blanca Banuelos
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

PROOF OF SERVICE

Case No. STK-CV-VOE-2024-0006512 Garcia v. Community Medical Centers, Inc.,

I, NADIA CHAVEZ, declare that I am a resident of or employed in the County of Los Angeles, California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the entitled case. The name and address of my residence or business is KOUL LAW FIRM, 217 South Kenwood Street, Glendale, California 91205.

On July 16, 2025, I served the foregoing document described as:

- 1. NOTICE OF MOTION FOR: (1) PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT; (2) APPROVAL OF NOTICE TO CLASS MEMBERS; (3) APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATOR; AND (4) SETTING HEARING FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT;
- 2. PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR: (1) PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT; (2) APPROVAL OF NOTICE TO CLASS MEMBERS AND RELATED MATERIALS; (3) APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATOR; AND (4) SETTING HEARING FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT:
- 3. [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL:
- 4. DECLARATION OF NAZO KOULLOUKIAN IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT;
- 5. DECLARATION OF PLAINTIFF ERICA ELAINE GARCIA;
- 6. DECLARATION OF LISA MULLINS

X	BY E-MAIL: I hereby certify that this document was served from Glendale, California, by e-
	mail delivery on the parties listed herein at their most recent known e-mail address from e-mail
	nadia@koullaw.com pursuant to California Rules of Court. I did not receive, within a reasonable
	time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was
	unsuccessful

on the interested parties in this action by sending $[\]$ the original $[\ or]$ $[\ \checkmark]$ a true copy thereof $[\ \checkmark]$ to interested parties as follows $[\ or]$ $[\]$ as stated on the attached service list:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Nadin (havery)

Executed on this July 16, 2025, in Glendale, California.

NADIA CHAVEZ

PROOF OF SERVICE

Case No. STK-CV-VOE-2024-0006512
Garcia v. Community Medical Centers, Inc.,

Derek R. Havel
H. Sarah Fan
SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
333 South Hope Street, 43rd Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071-1422
Telephone: 213.620.1780

Facsimile: 213.620.1780
Facsimile: 213.620.1398
dhavel@sheppardmullin.com
sfan@sheppardmullin.com
KMitchell@sheppardmullin.com

Attorneys for Defendant Community Medical Centers, INC.,