

AEGIS LAW FIRM, PC
KASHIF HAQUE, State Bar No. 218672
SAMUEL A. WONG, State Bar No. 217104
JESSICA L. CAMPBELL, State Bar No. 280626
9811 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 100
Irvine, California 92618
Telephone: (949) 379-6250
Facsimile: (949) 379-6251
Email: jcampbell@aegislawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Jeremy D. Fraley and Jerry Hagerty,
individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SISKIYOU

JEREMY D. FRALEY AND JERRY HAGERY,
individually and on behalf of all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ROSEBURG FOREST PRODUCTS CO.; and
DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 23CV05757

Assigned for all purposes to:
Hon. Kevin Dixon
Dept. 2

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION AND PAGA
SETTLEMENT**

Date: April 24, 2025
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Dept.: 2

1 WHEREAS, the above-entitled action is pending before this Court as a putative class
2 action (the “Action”);

3 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Jeremy D. Fraley and Jerry Hagerty (“Plaintiffs”), individually and
4 on behalf of all others similarly situated and on behalf of the general public have applied to this
5 Court for an order preliminarily approving the settlement of the Action in accordance with the
6 Class Action And PAGA Settlement Agreement (the “Settlement” or “Agreement”) entered into
7 by Plaintiffs and Defendant Roseburg Forest Products Co. (“Defendant”) which sets forth the
8 terms and conditions for a proposed settlement upon the terms and conditions set forth therein
9 (Plaintiffs and Defendant shall be collectively referred to herein as the “Parties”); and

10 WHEREAS, the Court has read and considered Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary
11 Approval of Class Action Settlement.

12 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED
13 THAT:

14 1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement attached as
15 Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Jessica L. Campbell in Support of Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary
16 Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and all terms defined therein shall have the same
17 meaning in this Order.

18 2. It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that (a) the Settlement is fair,
19 adequate and reasonable; (b) the Gross Settlement Amount and Net Settlement Amount are fair,
20 adequate and reasonable when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating
21 to liability and damages issues; (c) sufficient investigation and research have been conducted such
22 that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions;
23 (d) settlement at this time will avoid additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the delay and
24 risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action; and (e) the Settlement has
25 been reached as the result of non-collusive, arms-length negotiations.

26 3. With respect to the Class and for purposes of proceeding pursuant to California
27 Code of Civil Procedure § 382 for approval of the settlement only, the Court finds on a
28 preliminary basis that (a) Class Members are ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all

1 Class Members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Class that
2 predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class Members; (c) Plaintiffs' claims
3 are typical of the Class' claims; (d) class certification is a superior method for implementing the
4 Settlement and adjudicating this Action in a fair and efficient manner; (e) the Class
5 Representatives can fairly and adequately protect the Class' interests; and (f) Class Counsel are
6 qualified to serve as counsel for the Class.

7 4. Accordingly, solely for purposes of effectuating this Settlement, this Court hereby
8 conditionally certifies the class for settlement purposes only. The Class is defined as all current and
9 former non-exempt employees who are or were employed by Defendant in California at any time
10 from December 23, 2018 and January 7, 2025.

11 5. Plaintiffs Jeremy D. Fraley and Jerry Hagerty are hereby preliminarily appointed
12 and designated, for all purposes, as the Class Representative and the attorneys of Aegis Law Firm,
13 PC; D.Law, Inc.; and United Employees Law Group, PC are hereby preliminarily appointed and
14 designated as counsel for the Class ("Class Counsel"). Class Counsel is authorized to act on behalf
15 of the Class Members with respect to all acts or consents required by, or which may be given
16 pursuant to, the Settlement, and such other acts reasonably necessary to consummate the Settlement.
17 Any Class Member may enter an appearance either personally or through counsel of such
18 individual's own choosing and at such individual's own expense. Any Class Member who does not
19 enter an appearance or appear on his or her own will be represented by Class Counsel.

20 6. Should, for whatever reason, the Settlement not become final, the fact that the
21 Parties were willing to stipulate to certification of the Class as part of the Settlement shall have no
22 bearing on, nor be admissible in connection with, the issue of whether a class should be certified in
23 a non-settlement context.

24 7. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the definition and disposition of the Gross
25 Settlement Amount and Net Settlement Amount and related matters provided for in the Settlement,
26 subject to modification at final approval.

27 8. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the Class Counsel Fees Payment of up to
28 35% the Gross Settlement Amount, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment not to exceed

1 \$30,000.00, Class Representative Service Payment up to \$10,000.00 to each Named Plaintiff
2 (totaling \$20,000.00), payment of PAGA Penalties in the amount of \$100,000.00, and
3 Administrator Expenses Payment to the Administrator of up to \$7,950.00, subject to final approval.

4 9. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Class Action
5 Settlement and Hearing Date for Final Approval (“Class Notice”), to be distributed to Class
6 Members. The Court finds that distribution of the Class Notice, substantially in the manner and
7 form set forth in the Settlement and this Order, meets the requirements of due process, is the best
8 notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all
9 persons entitled thereto.

10 10. The Court hereby appoints ILYM Group, Inc. as Settlement Administrator and
11 hereby directs the Settlement Administrator to mail or cause to be mailed to Class Members the
12 Class Notice using the procedures set forth in the Settlement Agreement. Class Members who wish
13 to participate in the settlement provided for by the Settlement Agreement do not need to respond to
14 the Class Notice.

15 11. All costs of mailing of the Class Notice, whether foreseen or not, shall be paid
16 from the Gross Settlement Amount, including the cost of searching for Class Members’ addresses
17 as provided in the Settlement, and all other reasonable costs of the Settlement Administrator up
18 to \$7,950.00 as provided in the Settlement.

19 12. Any Class Member may choose to opt-out of and be excluded from the Class as
20 provided in the Class Notice. Any such person who chooses to opt-out of and be excluded from the
21 Class will not be entitled to any recovery under the Settlement and will not be bound by the
22 Settlement or have any right to object, appeal or comment thereon. Class Members who have not
23 requested exclusion/opted-out shall be Participating Class Members and bound by all
24 determinations of the Court, the Settlement, and the Final Judgment.

25 13. A Final Fairness and Approval Hearing shall be held before this Court on **October**
26 **23, 2025 at 9:30 a.m.** in Department 2 of the Superior Court for the State of California, County of
27 Siskiyou, located at 411 Fourth Street, Yreka, CA 96097. All papers in support of final approval
28

1 and related awards for fees, costs, and Plaintiffs' service awards must be filed and served at least
2 16 court days before the final approval hearing.

3 14. Any Participating Class Member must object to the Settlement by following the
4 instructions for submitting written objections that are set forth in the Settlement Agreement and
5 Class Notice, and may appear at the Final Fairness and Approval Hearing. The Court shall retain
6 final authority with respect to the consideration and admissibility of any objections. Any
7 Participating Class Member who objects to the Settlement shall be bound by the order of the Court.

8 15. The Settlement is not a concession or admission, and shall not be used against the
9 Released Parties, as an admission or indication with respect to any claim of any fault or omission
10 by the Released Parties. Whether or not the Settlement is finally approved, neither the Settlement,
11 nor any document, statement, proceeding or conduct related to the Settlement, nor any reports or
12 accounts thereof, shall in any event be construed as, offered or admitted in evidence as, received as
13 or deemed to be evidence of a presumption, concession, indication or admission by Defendant of
14 any liability, fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession or damage in the Action, or in any other
15 action or proceeding, except for purposes of enforcing the Settlement once it receives final approval.

16 16. Pending the Final Approval and Fairness Hearing, all proceedings in this Action,
17 other than proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms of the Settlement and this Order,
18 are hereby stayed.

19 17. Jurisdiction is hereby retained over this Action, the Parties to the Action, and each
20 of the Class Members for all matters relating to this Action, and this Settlement, including
21 (without limitation) all matters relating to the administration, interpretation, effectuation, and/or
22 enforcement of this Settlement and this Order.

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 18. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of any hearing and all
2 dates provided for in the Settlement without further notice to Class Members, and retains
3 jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed
4 Settlement.

5
6 DATED: _____

_____ Honorable Kevin Dixon
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
FOR THE COUNTY SISKIYOU