

Electronically Received 03/23/2026 04:08 PM

1 Matthew A. Haulk (SBN 272457)
2 Email: mhaulk@hemploymentlaw.com
3 Jose M. Herrera (SBN 289590)
4 Email: jherrera@hemploymentlaw.com
5 HAULK & HERRERA LLP
6 100 Pine Street, Suite 1250
7 San Francisco, CA 94111
8 Telephone: (415) 745-3219
9 Facsimile: (415) 745-3301

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff and all others similarly situated
11 SAMARA GARCIA

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles
04/15/2026

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court
By: C. Crow Deputy

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT

SAMARA GARCIA, an individual,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CALIFORNIA PHYSICIANS' SERVICE dba
BLUE SHIELD OF CALIFORNIA, a
California Nonprofit Corporation, and DOES 1
TO 50,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 24STCV00873

[Assigned to Honorable Upinder S. Kalra,
Dept. 51]

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR FINAL
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
SETTLEMENT**

*[Notice, Memorandum of Points and
Authorities, Declarations of Matthew A.
Haulk and Jose Herrera and (Proposed)
Final Judgment filed concurrently herewith]*

Date: April 15, 2026
Time: 9:00 am
Courtroom: 51

Complaint Filed: January 12, 2024
Trial: None

1 Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "Motion"),
2 concerning the CLASS ACTION AND PAGA AGREEMENT (the "Agreement"), came on for
3 hearing on April 15, 2026, at 111 N Hill St, Los Angeles, California 90012 of the above-
4 captioned Court, the Honorable Upinder S. Kalra presiding.

5 In conformity with California Rules of Court, rule 3.769, with due and adequate notice
6 having been given to Class Members (as defined in the Agreement), and having considered the
7 declaration of the Class Administrator, Settlement Agreement, all of the legal authorities and
8 documents submitted in support thereof, all papers filed and proceedings had herein, all oral and
9 written comments received regarding the Settlement Agreement, and having reviewed the record
10 in this litigation, and good cause appearing, the Court **GRANTS** final approval of the Agreement
11 and makes the following findings, determinations, and orders:

12 1. All terms used in this order shall have the same meaning as used and/or defined
13 in the Agreement. A copy of the CLASS ACTION AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT is
14 attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Matthew Haulk in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for
15 Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement as filed in this action on July 31, 2025.

16 2. The Court granted preliminary approval of the Settlement on November 4, 2025.

17 3. Plaintiff Samara Garcia is appointed the Class Representative.

18 4. Matthew A. Haulk and Jose M. Herrera of Haulk & Herrera LLP are appointed
19 Class Counsel. The Court finds Class Counsel is adequate, as they are experienced in wage and
20 hour class action litigation and have no conflicts of interest with absent Settlement Class
21 Members, and that they adequately represented the interests of absent class members in the
22 litigation.

23 5. The following persons are certified as Class Members solely for the purpose of
24 entering a settlement in this matter:

25 All individuals who have been directly employed by Blue Shield in the
26 State of California as a Business Analyst, Experienced (Level P2), during
the Class Period.

27 6. The Court deems this definition sufficient for the purpose of California Rules of
28

1 Court, Rule 3.765(a) for the purpose of effectuating settlement.

2 7. The Court finds that an ascertainable class of 159 Class Members, of whom 158
3 are Participating Class Members, exists and a well-defined community of interest exists on the
4 questions of law and fact involved in the context of the Settlement: (i) all related matters,
5 predominate over any individual questions; (ii) the claims of the Plaintiff are typical of claims of
6 the Class Members; and (iii) in negotiating, entering into and implementing the Settlement,
7 Plaintiff and Class Counsel have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interest of
8 the Class Members.

9 8. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement has been reached as a result of
10 informed and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations with the assistance of mediator Arthur
11 Eidelhoch, Esq. The Court further finds that the Parties have conducted extensive investigation
12 and research, and their attorneys were able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions.

13 9. The Court finds that the terms of the Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate,
14 pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382. In granting final approval of the class
15 action settlement the Court has considered the factors identified in *Dunk v. Ford Motor Co.*, 48
16 Cal. App. 4th 1794 (1996), as approved in *Wershba v. Apple Computer, Inc.*, 91 Cal. App. 4th
17 224 (2001) and *In re Microsoft IV Cases*, 135 Cal. App. 4th 706 (2006). After considering the
18 monetary recovery provided as part of the Settlement in light of the challenges posed by
19 continued litigation, the Court concludes that Class Counsel secured significant relief for Class
20 Members.

21 10. The Court hereby approves the terms set forth in the Agreement and finds that the
22 Settlement is, in all respects, fair, adequate, and reasonable, consistent and compliant with all
23 applicable requirements of the California Code of Civil Procedure, the California and United
24 States Constitutions, including the Due Process clauses, the California Rules of Court, and any
25 other applicable law, and in the best interests of each of the Parties and Class Members.

26 11. The court is satisfied that ILYM Group, Inc., the Settlement Administrator,
27 completed the distribution of Class Notice in a manner that comports with California Rules of
28 Court, Rule 3.776 and the Agreement. Class Notice informed the prospective Class Members of

1 the Settlement terms, their right to do nothing and receive their settlement share, their right to
2 submit a request for exclusion, their rights to comment on or object to the Settlement, and their
3 right to appear at the Final Approval and Fairness Hearing, and be heard regarding approval of
4 the Settlement. Adequate periods of time to respond and to act were provided by each of these
5 procedures. Zero Class Member(s) filed written objections to the Settlement as part of this notice
6 process; zero Class Member(s) filed a written statement of intention to appear at the Final
7 Approval and Fairness Hearing; and one Class Member submitted a request for exclusion

8 12. The terms of the Agreement, including the Gross Settlement Amount of \$375,000
9 and the allocation for determining Individual Class Payments, are fair, adequate, and reasonable
10 to the Class and to each Class Member, and the Court grants final approval of the Settlement set
11 forth in the Agreement, subject to this Order.

12 13. The Court further approves the following distribution from the Gross Settlement
13 Amount, which fall within the ranges stipulated by and through the Agreement:

14 a. The amount of \$7,000 designated for payment to the Settlement
15 Administrator is fair and reasonable. The Court grants final approval of it and orders the Parties
16 to make the payment to the Settlement Administrator in accordance with the Agreement.

17 b. The amount requested by Plaintiff and Class Counsel for Class Counsel's
18 attorneys' fees, representing thirty-five percent of the Gross Settlement Amount or \$131,250 is
19 fair and reasonable in light of the benefit obtained for the Class. Class Counsel's fee request for
20 \$131,250 is supported by its lodestar cross-check, and the court finds that Class Counsel's time
21 spent and hourly rates are fair and reasonable. The Court grants final approval of Class Counsel's
22 fee request in the amount of \$131,250 and orders payment of this amount to be made in
23 accordance with the Agreement.

24 c. The Court awards Class Counsel \$6,114.43 in litigation costs, which is an
25 amount which the Court finds to be reflective of the actual and reasonable costs incurred. The
26 Court grants Class Counsel's litigation expenses payment and orders payment of this amount to
27 be made in accordance with the Agreement

28 d. The \$5,000 class representative enhancement payment requested by

1 Plaintiff is fair and reasonable. The Court grants final approval of the payment and orders the
2 payment be made in accordance with the Agreement.

3 e. The Court approves the payment of employer-side payroll taxes
4 attributable to the wage portion of the Individual Class Payments. Such taxes shall be paid from
5 the Gross Settlement Amount (as specified in the Agreement).

6 14. The Court orders the Parties to comply with and carry out all terms and provisions
7 of the Agreement, to the extent that the terms thereunder do not contradict with this order, in
8 which case the provisions of this order shall take precedence and supersede the Agreement.

9 15. All Participating Class Members shall be bound by the Settlement and this Order,
10 including the release of claims as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. Per the Settlement, the
11 release of claims by any participating Class Member will be effective on the date when
12 Defendants fully fund the entire Gross Settlement Amount and funds all employer payroll taxes
13 owed on the Wage Portion of the Individual Class Payments.

14 16. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement, and enforce
15 the Settlement, to hear and resolve any contested challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and
16 to supervise and adjudicate any dispute arising from or in connection with the distribution of
17 settlement benefit.

18 17. It shall not be necessary to send notice of entry of this Order or the Judgment to
19 individual Class Members. However, this Order and the Judgment shall be posted on Settlement
20 Administrator's website as indicated in the Class Notice.

21 18. The Court has set a non-appearance case review concerning disposition of the
22 settlement proceeds for November 6, 2026 at 8:30 am and orders Plaintiff's Counsel to file a
23 declaration of counsel or the Settlement Administrator no later than October 27, 2026
24 concerning the disposition of proceeds.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 DATED: 04/15/2026



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Upinder S. Kalra", is written over a horizontal line.

Hon. Upinder S. Kalra / Judge
Upinder S. Kalra / Judge
Judge of the Superior Court

PROOF OF SERVICE


Samara Garcia v. California Physicians' Service dba Blue Shield of California, et al.
Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No.: 24STCV00873

1.	At the time of service, I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this legal action.	
2.	My business address is 100 Pine Street, Suite 1250, San Francisco, CA 94111	
3.	I served copies of the following document(s): [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT	
4.	I served the documents listed above in Item 3 on the following persons at the addresses listed: Sharon Bauman, Esq. Stephanie Roeser, Esq. Katelyn Climaco, Esq. Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP 2049 Century Park East, Suite 1700 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 312-4207 Facsimile: (310) 914-5744 Email: SBauman@manatt.com SRoeser@manatt.com KClimaco@manatt.com <i>Attorney for Defendant</i> <i>California Physicians' Service dba Blue Shield of California</i>	
5.	a.	By Personal Service. I personally delivered the documents on the date shown below to the person(s) at the addresses listed above in Item 4. (1) For a party represented by an attorney, delivery was made to the attorney or at the attorney's office by leaving the documents in an envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served with a receptionist or an individual in charge of the office. (2) For a party delivery was made to the party or by leaving the documents in the party's residence between the hours of eight in the morning and six in the evening with some person not less than 18 years of age.
	b.	By United States Mail. I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package, in the mail at San Rafael, California, where I am a resident or employee in the County of Marin where the mailing occurred. I addressed the sealed envelope or package to the persons at the addresses in Item 4 and (specify one):
	(1)	Deposited the sealed envelope in a United States Postal Service mailbox with the postage fully prepaid on the date shown below
	(2)	Placed the envelope for collection and mailing on the date shown below, following our ordinary business practices and I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

		deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.
	c.	By Overnight Delivery. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 8.25, I enclosed the documents on the date shown below in an envelope or package provided by an overnight delivery carrier and addressed to the person at the addresses in Item 4. I placed the envelope or package for collection and overnight delivery at an office or a regularly utilized drop box of the overnight delivery carrier.
	d.	By Messenger Service. I served the documents on the date shown below by placing them in an envelope or package addressed to the person on the addresses listed in Item 4 and providing them to a professional messenger service for service.
	e.	By Fax Transmission. Based on an agreement to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents on the date shown below to the fax numbers of persons listed in Item 4. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used
	f. X	By Electronic Transmission. I caused the documents to be sent from the email address tgesin@hemploymentlaw.com on the date shown below to the persons at the electronic service address listed above in Item 4. I did not receive within a reasonable time after the transmission any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.
6.	I served the documents by the means described above on March 23, 2026	

I declare under penalty of perjury that this document is signed in Los Angeles, California under the laws of the State of California and that the foregoing is true and correct.

March 23, 2026	Toni Gesin	
Date	(Type or Print Name)	(Signature of Declarant)