3

4 5

67

8

9

10 11

1213

1415

17

16

18 19

2021

22

23

24

2526

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING SETTLEMENT CLASS CERTIFICATION AND PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS-WIDE SETTLEMENT - 1

2025 SEP 22 AM 9: 03
Hearing Date: September 22, 2025 at 8:30 a.m.
GRAYS HANDOR CO.
KYM FOSTER
COUNTY CLERK

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF GRAYS HARBOR

MADELINE ANDERSON, individually and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

GRAYS HARBOR COMMUNITY HOSPITAL, a Washington non-profit corporation,

Defendant.

No. 24-2-00013-14

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING SETTLEMENT CLASS CERTIFICATION AND PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS-WIDE SETTLEMENT

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Settlement Class Certification and Preliminary Approval of Class-Wide Settlement. The Court has reviewed the papers filed in connection with the Motion, including the declaration of Plaintiff's counsel, Carson Phillips-Spotts, and exhibits thereto.

The Court GRANTS the motion and preliminarily approves of the parties' proposed class-wide settlement. The Court further ORDERS as follows:

1. The Court finds that the prerequisites of CR 23(a) and (b)(3) are satisfied for the Settlement Class, as defined by the parties and set forth below. Specifically, the Settlement Class, which consists of approximately 750 individual workers, is sufficiently numerous such that joinder of all members is impracticable. Further, there are questions of law and fact

SCHROETER GOLDMARK & BENDER 401 Union Street • Suite 3400 • Seattle, WA 98101 Phone (206) 622-8000 • Fax (206) 682-2305

23

24

25

26

common to the Settlement Class, including, but not limited to: whether the operational requirements of Class Members' jobs prevented them from getting adequate meal breaks; whether GHCH provided sufficient staffing and other opportunities for employees to receive meal breaks; whether GHCH provided compensation to hourly-paid employees for their missed meal breaks; and whether GHCH's practices constituted willful withholding of wages under the Wage Rebate Act, RCW 49.52. The Court also finds that the Named Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class, and that Plaintiff and her counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class. Finally, certification of a settlement class under CR 23(b)(3) is appropriate because questions of law and fact common to all Settlement Class Members predominate over any questions that might affect only individual members in the context of the proposed settlement, and a class action settlement is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient resolution of this controversy.

2. Pursuant to Civil Rule 23(a) and (b)(3), the Court certifies this matter as a class action for settlement purposes, with the Settlement Class defined as follows:

Plaintiff and all other hourly paid employees of GHCH in Washington State between January 8, 2021, and May 25, 2024 (the "Settlement Class Period").

3. The Court appoints Named Plaintiff Madeline Anderson as Class Representative, and Carson Phillips-Spotts, Lindsay Halm, and Adam Berger of Schroeter Goldmark & Bender and Ross Shanberg and Shane Stafford of Shanberg Stafford LLP as Class Counsel.

- 4. The Court preliminarily finds that the parties' proposed Settlement falls within the range of a fair, reasonable, and adequate settlement and notice of the proposed Settlement should be given to the Settlement Class.
- 5. The Court approves the appointment of ILYM Group, Inc. as Settlement Administrator with the duties and responsibilities set forth by the parties in the Settlement Agreement. The Court preliminarily approves ILYM's fee for these services, in an amount not to exceed \$11,000.
- 6. The Court approves the form and content of the parties' proposed notice to the Settlement Class (the "Notice") that is attached as Exhibit 2 to the Declaration of Carson Phillips-Spotts.
- 7. The Court concludes that the manner of giving notice by U.S. mail and also by making the Notice available to be read online satisfies CR 23 and the requirements of due process. Consistent with the terms of the parties' Settlement Agreement, the Court directs Defendant to provide the Settlement Administrator with current contact information for each member of the Settlement Class within ten business (10) days of the entry of this Order; and further directs the Settlement Administrator to, in turn, mail a copy of the Notice to each member of the Settlement Class within fifteen (15) calendar days of the date of preliminary approval.
- 8. Individuals who receive the Notice and wish to exclude themselves ("opt out") from the Settlement Class must do so within thirty (30) days of the Notice date by following the instructions as set forth in the Notice.
- 9. Members of the Settlement Class who do not "opt out" may submit a written objection to any of the terms of the proposed settlement within thirty (30) days of the Notice

date, by following the instructions as set forth in the Notice. Only individuals who file a timely, written objection to the settlement will be permitted to appeal or seek review of this Court's decision approving or rejecting the settlement.

- 10. The Court conditionally approves Class Counsel's request for an attorneys' fee award of 25% of the Maximum Settlement Amount (or \$525,000.00) plus reasonable litigation costs, not to exceed \$15,000.00. This approval is preliminary and is subject to modification at the time of final settlement approval.
- 11. The Court preliminarily approves an incentive payment of \$10,000 to Named Plaintiff Madeline Anderson in recognition of her efforts in this case and service to the Settlement Class. This approval is preliminary and is subject to modification at the time of final settlement approval.
- 12. On 12/1/, 2025, at 8:30 a.m., the Court will conduct a hearing ("Final Settlement Hearing") to determine whether to approve the settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate, which, if so approved, will result in a dismissal of the case with prejudice. The Final Settlement Hearing may, without further notice to the Settlement Class, be continued or adjourned by order of this Court. Such hearing will take place at the Grays Harbor County Superior Court in located at 102 W Broadway Ave Ste 203, Montesano, WA.
- 13. Settlement Class Members are not required to attend the Final Settlement Hearing in order to be considered part of the Settlement Class, but may appear if they so choose.
- 14. The Court directs Class Counsel to submit a motion for final approval of the settlement, along with a proposed order approving the settlement and awarding Class Counsel's fees/costs and an incentive payment for the Class Representative no later than six

In the event the parties' proposed settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement or is not finally approved by this Court, Judge, Grays Harbor County Superior Court VINI E. SAMUEL JUDGE

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING SETTLEMENT CLASS CERTIFICATION AND PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS-WIDE SETTLEMENT - 5