· · ·	
Haig B. Kazandjian, Esq., Bar No. 278622 haig@hbklawyers.com Cathy Gonzalez, Esq., Bar No. 310625 cathy@hbklawyers.com Amy Nshanyan, Esq., Bar No. 321275 amy@hbklawyers.com HAIG B. KAZANDJIAN LAWYERS, APC 801 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 1015 Glendale, California 91203 Telephone: 1-818-696-2306 Facsimile: 1-818-696-2307	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles AUG 21 2025 David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court By: P. Salcido, Deputy
Attorneys for Plaintiff Franky Beltran individually and on behalf of all other Aggrieve	ed Employees
SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELI	ES – STANLEY MOSK COURTHOUSE
FRANKY BELTRAN, individually and on behalf of all other Aggrieved Employees; Plaintiff, vs. UNLIMITED ENTERPRISES, LLC; AMAZON LOGISTICS, INC.; RODERICK HENLEY, an individual; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, Defendants.	[Assigned for all purposes to Hon. Tony L. Richardson, Dept. 39] PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLA ACTION SETTLEMENT [Filed concurrently with Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motio for Preliminary Approval; Declaration of Plaintiff Franky Beltran; and Declaration Haig B. Kazandjian] Complaint Filed: January 11, 2023 Trial Date: Not yet set
	-

ORDER GRANTING Y APPROVAL OF CLASS *TLEMENT*

ntly with Memorandum of porities in Support of Motion Approval; Declaration of Beltran; and Declaration of ljian]

This matter came before the Honorable Tony L. Richardson of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Los Angeles, on August 21, 2025 for hearing on the unopposed motion by Plaintiff Franky Beltran ("Plaintiff") for preliminary approval of the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Agreement" or "Settlement") with Defendants Unlimited Enterprises, LLC, Amazon Logistics, Inc., and Roderick Henley, an individual (collectively "Defendants"). The Plaintiff and Defendants shall collectively be referred to as the "Parties." The Court, having considered the briefs, arguments of counsel and all matters presented to the Court and good cause appearing, hereby GRANTS Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The Court preliminarily approves the Settlement attached as **Exhibit 1** to the Declaration of Haig B. Kazandjian in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. This is based on the Court's determination that the Settlement set forth in the Agreement is within the range of possible final approval, pursuant to the provisions of Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure and California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769.
- 2. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Agreement, and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Agreement.
- 3. The Gross Settlement Amount that Defendants shall pay is One Hundred and Eighty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$185,000.00). It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the Settlement amount and terms are fair, adequate and reasonable as to all potential Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation and the significant risks relating to certification, liability and damages issues. It further appears that investigation and research have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that the Settlement will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be

presented by further prosecution of the Action. It further appears that the Settlement has been reached as the result of serious and non-collusive, arms-length negotiations.

- 4. The Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement appears to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery that is being granted as part of the Settlement and preliminarily finds that the monetary settlement awards made available to the Class is fair, adequate, and reasonable when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation and the significant risks relating to certification, liability, and damages issues.
- 5. The Agreement specifies for an attorneys' fees award not to exceed one-third (1/3) of the Gross Settlement Amount in the amount of \$61,660.50, an award of litigation expenses incurred, not to exceed \$12,000, and a proposed Class Representative Service Payment to Plaintiff in an amount not to exceed \$7,500.00. The Court will not approve the amount of attorneys' fees and costs, nor the amount of any service award, until the Final Approval Hearing. Plaintiff will be required to present evidence supporting these requests, prior to final approval.
- 6. The Court recognizes that Plaintiff and Defendants stipulate and agree to representative treatment and certification of a class for settlement purposes only. This stipulation will not be deemed admissible in this or any other proceeding should this Settlement not become final. For settlement purposes only, the Court conditionally certifies the following Class: "all persons who are or were employed by Unlimited and alleged to be jointly employed by Defendants in California and classified as hourly, non-exempt employees during the Class Period of January 11, 2019 through the date the Court grant's Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Settlement."
- 7. The Court concludes that, for settlement purposes only, the Class meets the requirements for certification under Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure in that: (a) the Class is ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members of the Class is impracticable; (b) common questions of law and fact predominate, and there is a well-defined community of interest amongst the members of the Class with respect to the subject matter of the litigation; (c) the claims of the Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the members of the Class;

(d) the Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) counsel for the Class is qualified to act as counsel for the Class and Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class.

- 8. The Court provisionally appoints Plaintiff as the representative of the Class. The Court provisionally appoints Haig B. Kazandjian Lawyers, APC as Class Counsel.
- 9. The Agreement provides for PAGA Penalties out of the Gross Settlement Amount of \$20,000.00, which shall be allocated \$15,000 to the Labor & Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") as the LWDA's 75% share of the settlement of civil penalties paid under this Agreement pursuant to the PAGA, and \$5,000 to the allegedly Aggrieved Employees. "Aggrieved Employees" are all individuals who are or were previously employed by Unlimited and alleged to be jointly employed by Defendants in the State of California who were classified as hourly, non-exempt employees during the period of February 17, 2021, to the date the Court grants Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement (the "PAGA Period")."
- 10. Pursuant to Labor Code Section 2699, subdivision (*l*)(2), the LWDA has been provided notice of the Agreement and these settlement terms. The Court finds the PAGA Penalties to be reasonable.
- the Agreement as **Exhibit A**. The Court finds that the Class Notice appears to fully and accurately inform the Class of all material elements of the proposed Settlement, of the Class Members' right to be excluded from the Class by submitting a written opt-out request, and of each member's right and opportunity to object to the Settlement. The Court further finds that the distribution of the Class Notice substantially in the manner and form set forth in the Agreement and this Order meets the requirements of due process, is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto. The Court orders the mailing of the Class Notice by first class mail pursuant to the terms set forth in the Agreement. If a Class Notice Packet is returned because of an incorrect address, the Administrator will promptly search for a more current address for the Class Member and re-

mail the Class Notice Packet to any new address for the Class Member no later than three (3) business days after the receipt of the undelivered Class Notice.

- 12. The Court hereby appoints ILYM Group, Inc. as the Administrator. No later than fifteen (15) days after this Order, Unlimited will provide to the Administrator an electronic database containing the Class Data. The Administrator will perform address updates and verifications as necessary prior to the first mailing. Using best efforts to mail it as soon as possible, and in no event later than fourteen (14) days after receiving the Class Data, the Administrator will mail the Class Notice Packet to all Class Members via first-class regular U.S. Mail to their last known address.
- from the Settlement. Any Class Member may individually choose to opt out of and be excluded from the Class as provided in the Class Notice by following the instructions for requesting exclusion from the Class that are set forth in the Class Notice. All requests for exclusion must be postmarked or received no later than forty-five (45) calendar days after the date of the mailing of the Class Notice ("Response Deadline"). If a Class Notice Packet is re-mailed, the Response Deadline for requests for exclusion will be extended an additional fourteen (14) days. A Request for Exclusion may also be faxed or emailed to the Administrator as indicated in the Class Notice. Any such person who chooses to opt out of and be excluded from the Class will not be entitled to any recovery under the Class Settlement and will not be bound by the Class Settlement or have any right to object, appeal or comment thereon. Class Members who have not requested exclusion shall be bound by all determinations of the Court, the Agreement and the Judgment. A request for exclusion may only opt out that particular individual, and any attempt to effect an opt-out of a group, class, or subclass of individuals is not permitted and will be deemed invalid.
- 14. Any Class Member who has not opted out may appear at the final approval hearing and may object or express the Member's views regarding the Settlement, and may present evidence and file briefs or other papers that may be proper and relevant to the issues to be heard and determined by the Court as provided in the Notice. Class Members will have until the Response Deadline to submit their written objections to the Administrator. Written objections

may also be faxed or emailed to the Administrator as indicated in the Class Notice. If a Class Notice Packet is re-mailed, the Response Deadline for written objections will be extended an additional fourteen (14) days. Alternatively, Class Members may appear at the Final Approval Hearing to make an oral objection.

- 8:30 a.m.; OR ______ at ______ in Department 39 at the Stanley Mosk Courthouse of the Los Angeles County Superior Court to hear the Motion for Final Approval and the Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and to determine all necessary matters concerning the Settlement, including, whether the proposed settlement of the Action on the terms and conditions provided for in the Agreement is fair, adequate and reasonable and should be finally approved by the Court; whether the Final Approval Order and Judgment should be entered herein; whether the plan of allocation contained in the Agreement should be approved as fair, adequate and reasonable to the Class Members; and to finally approve attorneys' fees and costs, service awards, and the fees and expenses of the Administrator. All papers in support of the Motion for Final Approval and for attorneys' fees, costs and service awards shall be filed with the Court and served on all counsel no later than sixteen (16) court days before the final approval hearing.
- 16. Neither the Settlement nor any exhibit, document, or instrument delivered thereunder shall be construed as a concession or admission by Defendants in any way that the claims asserted have any merit or that this Action was properly brought as a class or representative action, and shall not be used as evidence of, or used against Defendants as, an admission or indication in any way, including with respect to any claim of any liability, wrongdoing, fault or omission by Defendants or with respect to the truth of any allegation asserted by any person. Whether or not the Settlement is finally approved, neither the Settlement, nor any exhibit, document, statement, proceeding or conduct related to the Settlement, nor any reports or accounts thereof, shall in any event be construed as, offered or admitted in evidence as, received as or deemed to be evidence for any purpose adverse to the Defendants, including,

but not limited to, evidence of a presumption, concession, indication or admission by Defendants of any liability, fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession or damage.

- 17. In the event the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Agreement, or the Settlement is not finally approved, or is terminated, canceled or fails to become effective for any reason, this Order shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the Parties shall revert to their respective positions as of before entering into the Agreement, and expressly reserve their respective rights regarding the prosecution and defense of this Action, including all available defenses and affirmative defenses, and arguments that any claim in the Action could not be certified as a class action and/or managed as a representative action. In such an event, the Court's orders regarding the Settlement, including this Order, shall not be used or referred to in litigation for any purpose. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to alter the terms of the Agreement with respect to the effect of the Agreement if it is not approved.
- 18. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the final approval hearing and all dates provided for in the Agreement without further notice to Class Members, and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 21, 2025

TONY L.RICHARDSON
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF

CALIFORNIA

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	Franky Beltran v. Unlimited Enterprises, LLC, et al. 23STCV00639
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
4	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)
5	I, Eva Gomez, state that I am employed in the aforesaid County, State of California; I am
6	over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 801
7	North Brand Blvd., Suite 1015, Glendale, California 91203. My electronic service address is eva@hbklawyers.com.
8	On April 28, 2025 I served the following:
9	1. NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
10	2. MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF
11	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
12	3. DECLARATION OF PLAINTIFF FRANKY BELTRAN IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
13	SETTLEMENT 4. DECLARATION OF HAIG B. KAZANDJIAN IN SUPPORT OF MOTION
14	FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT 5. [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
15	ACTION SETTLEMENT
16	on the interested parties by following one of the methods of service as follows:
17	
18	OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C. Tim L. Johnson, CA Bar No. 265794
19	tim.johnson@ogletree.com Yousaf M. Jafri, CA Bar No. 314773
20	yousaf.jafri@ogletree.com
21	Keenan P. O'Connor, CA Bar No. 311800 keenan.oconnor@ogletree.com
	4660 La Jolla Village Drive, Suite 900 San Diego, CA 92122
22	Telephone: 858-652-3100
23	Facsimile: 858-652-3101 Attorneys for Defendants Unlimited Enterprises, LLC and Roderick
24	Herley
25	
26	
^7	

PROOF OF SERVICE

1	Samuel S. Hyde
ż	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
3	400 Capitol Mall, Suite 2400 Sacramento, CA 95814
3	Tel: 916-442-1111
4	Fax: 916-448-1709 hydes@gtlaw.com
5	
6	Philip I. Person GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
7	101 Second Street, Suite 2200
	San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: 415.655.1300
8	Facsimile: 415.707.2010
9	personp@gtlaw.com Attorneys for Defendant Amazon Logistics, Inc.
10	
11	
12	(X) BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: I hereby certify that this document was served from Los
13	Angeles, California, by e-mail delivery on the parties listed herein at their most recent known email address or e-mail of record in this action.
14	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
15	foregoing is true and correct.
16	Executed on April 28, 2025 at Glendale, California.
17	/s./ Eva Gomez
18	Eva Gomez
19	
{	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	PROOF OF SERVICE