2747.018/2084164.1

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Nazo Koulloukian, SBN 263809 nazo@koullaw.com Hilary Silvia, Of-Counsel, SBN 237993 hilary@koullaw.com KOUL LAW FIRM, APC 3435 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1710 Los Angeles, CA 90010 Telephone: (213) 325-3032 Facsimile: (818) 561-3938 Attorneys for Plaintiff, JUAN ORANTES and all putative class members	FILED Superior Count of California County of Los Angeles 02/26/2025 Dawks W.Stayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Count By: Benuty	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
12	JUAN ORANTES, an individual, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,) Case No.: 23STCV20745 (Lead Case)) (Consolidated with Case No. 23STCV20782)	
13 14	Plaintiff,		
15	vs.) [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING) PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR) PRELIMINARY APPROVAL	
16	LOS ANGELES TIMES) RELIMINARY AFFROVAL	
17 18	COMMUNICATIONS LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and DOES 1-50, inclusive,		
19	Defendant.		
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	ORANTES in the consolidated actions titled <i>Jude LLC</i> , (LASC Case No. 23STCV20745, lead <i>Communications LLC</i> (LASC Case No. 23STCV20745). Preliminary Approval and the Class Action	The Court has before it the Motion for Preliminary Approval brought by Plaintiff JUAN ES in the consolidated actions titled <i>Juan Orantes v. Los Angeles Times Communications</i> ASC Case No. 23STCV20745, lead case) and <i>Juan Orantes v. Los Angeles Times nications LLC</i> (LASC Case No. 23STCV20782). After reviewing the Motion for lary Approval and the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Settlement ent") filed with the Court, and good cause appearing therefor, the Court hereby finds and so follows:	
		ED] ORDER	

1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement memorialized in the Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable, and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval. The monetary terms of the settlement, detailed in the following chart, are discussed further below.

Gross Settlement Amount	\$1,160,000
Plaintiffs' Enhancements	-\$10,000
Plaintiffs' Attorney Fees	-\$386,628
Plaintiffs' Costs	-\$20,000
PAGA Payment	-\$50,000
Settlement Administration	-\$12,250
Net Settlement Amount for Distribution	\$681,122
to the Class	

2. The Court conditionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class:

All persons who are employed or have been employed by Defendant in the State of California at the Olympic Plant as hourly, non-exempt employees at any time within the period beginning August 28, 2019, and ending on September 11, 2024.

3. The Court finds, for purposes of settlement only, that the Class meets the requirements for certification under Section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure in that: (1) the Class is so numerous that joinder is impracticable; (2) there are questions of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which predominate over individual issues; (3) the named Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class; (4) the named Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class; and (5) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

Settlement: Within forty-five (45) days for initial mailing of Notice Packet; to be extended by Deadline for serving and filing Motion for Final Approval, Attorneys' Fees Award, Cost Award, and Class Representative Enhancement Payment: Sixteen (16) court days before the Final Approval Hearing in conformity with Code of Civil Procedure section 1005; a.m./p.m. [PROPOSED] ORDER 2747.018/2084164.1

- 9. The Court approves as to form and content the Notice included as Exhibit A to the Settlement Agreement, which advises Class Members and PAGA Aggrieved Employees of the Settlement terms, the preliminary approval of the Settlement, and the scheduling of the Final Approval Hearing.
- 10. The Court finds that the timing for the mailing and distribution of the Notice meet the requirements of due process, provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto. The Court directs the mailing of the Notice to all identified Class Members in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. The Notice is hereby found to be the best means practicable of providing notice under the circumstances, and, when completed, shall constitute due and sufficient notice of the class and representative actions, proposed Settlement, and the Final Approval Hearing to all persons affected by and/or authorized to participate in the Settlement, in full compliance with due process and the notice requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 877.6.
- 12. The Court retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or in connection with the Settlement.
- 13. If the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, or if the Settlement is not finally approved, or is terminated, canceled, or fails to become effective for any reason, this Order shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 02/26/2025 A.F. Nathburgan

HON. WILLIAM F. HIGHBERGER JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

PROOF OF SERVICE

Case No. 23STCV20745 Consolidated Case No. 23STCV20782 Orantes v. Los Angeles Times Communications, LLC

I, NADIA CHAVEZ declare that I am a resident of or employed in the County of Los Angeles, California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the entitled case. The name and address of my residence or business is KOUL LAW FIRM, 3435 Wilshire Blvd. Ste. 1710, Los Angeles, California 90010.

On October 14, 2024, I served the foregoing document described as:

- 1. PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION FOR: (1) PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT (2) APPROVAL OF NOTICE TO CLASS MEMBERS (3) APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATOR; AND (4) SETTING HEARING FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT:
- 2. PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR: (1) PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT (2) APPROVAL OF NOTICE TO CLASS MEMBERS (3) APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATOR; AND (4) SETTING HEARING FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT;
- 3. DECLARATION OF NAZO KOULLOUKIAN IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT;
- 4. DECLARATION OF PLAINTIFF JUAN ORANTES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION APPROVAL;
- 5. [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL;
- 6. DECLARATION OF LISA MULLINS

BY E-MAIL: I hereby certify that this document was served from Los Angeles, California, by e-mail delivery on the parties listed herein at their most recent known e-mail address from e-mail nadia@koullaw.com pursuant to California Rules of Court. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

on the interested parties in this action by sending [] the original [or] [\checkmark] a true copy thereof [\checkmark] to interested parties as follows [or] [] as stated on the attached service list:

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this October 14, 2024, in Los Angeles, California.

NADIA CHAVEZ

Elizabeth T. Ferguson

Bartko Zankel Bunzel & Miller,

1 Embarcadero Ctr, Ste 800, San Francisco, CA 94111-3629

Phone: 415-956-1900 Fax: 415-956-1152

Email: eferguson@bzbm.com

lfernandez@bzbm.com

An Nguyen Ruda, Esq.

aruda@bartkolaw.com

Louise Ann "Luzann" Fernandez, Esq.

lfernandez@bartkolaw.com

Elizabeth T. Ferguson, Esq.

eferguson@bartkolaw.com

BARTKO LLP

One Embarcadero Center, Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94111

Attorneys for Defendant, Los Angeles Times Communications, LLC