

1 DAVID GLENN SPIVAK (SBN 179684)
david@spivaklaw.com
2 CAROLINE TAHMASSIAN (SBN 285680)
caroline@spivaklaw.com
3 MAYA CHEAITANI (SBN 335777)
maya@spivaklaw.com
4 THE SPIVAK LAW FIRM
8605 Santa Monica Bl
PMB 42554
5 West Hollywood, CA 90069
Telephone: (213) 725-9094
6 Facsimile: (213) 634-2485

ELECTRONICALLY FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Santa Barbara
Darrel E. Parker, Executive Officer
7/19/2024 12:33 PM
By: Sarah Sisto , Deputy

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
8 JOSE ANGEL FRAUSTO VILLEGAS and JOSE MANUEL BARRAGAN AGUILAR
9 (Additional attorneys for Plaintiff(s) on following page)

10 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

11 **FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA**

12 **(UNLIMITED JURISDICTION)**

13 JOSE FRAUSTO VILLEGAS, on behalf of
14 himself, all others similarly situated, and the
15 general public,

16 *Plaintiff,*

17 vs.

18 DLP MANAGEMENT CO., INC., a California
19 corporation d/b/a DLP MANAGEMENT CO and
20 DLP MANAGEMENT INC.; and DOES 1–50,
inclusive,

21 *Defendants.*
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Lead Case No.: 21CV04500
Consolidated with: 22CV01392
[Hon. Thomas P. Anderle, Department 3]

**DECLARATION OF LOUIS M.
BENOWITZ IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

1 JOSE MANUEL BARRAGAN, on behalf of
2 himself, all others similarly situated, and
the general public,

3 Plaintiff,

4 vs.

5 DLP MANAGEMENT CO., INC., a
6 California corporation doing business in
7 California as DLP MANAGEMENT CO and
8 as DLP MANAGEMENT INC. and DLP
9 MANAGEMENT INC; DARIO L. PINI, an
individual; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,

10 Defendants.

Case No.: 22CV01392

11 **ADDITIONAL ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF(S)**

12 LOUIS M. BENOWITZ (SBN 262300)

13 louis@benowitzlaw.com

14 BENOWITZ LAW CORPORATION

15 8605 Santa Monica Boulevard

16 PMB 97638

17 West Hollywood, CA 90069

18 Telephone: (747) 233-2600 Work

19 Facsimile: (818) 839-9610
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**DECLARATION OF LOUIS M. BENOWITZ IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

I, LOUIS M. BENOWITZ, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of California and am an attorney of record for Plaintiffs Jose Angel Frausto Villegas and Jose Manuel Barragan Aguilar (collectively “Plaintiffs”) in their lawsuit against Defendants DLP Management Co., Inc. and Dario L. Pini (collectively “Defendants”). I am a member in good standing of the State Bar of California. I make this Declaration in support of Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. I make this Declaration based on my personal knowledge and if called to testify I could and would competently testify to the matters contained in this Declaration.

2. Based on my extensive experience in class and representative wage and hour litigation (as set forth herein), and for the reasons set forth in Plaintiffs' Motion and the other supporting Declarations, I believe that the settlement in this case is fair, adequate, and reasonable, and thus warrants this Court's approval.

3. I completed my undergraduate studies at Cornell University in Ithaca, New York, where I was admitted as a Pauline and Irving Tanner Dean's Scholar and earned a Bachelor of Arts degree in English in May of 2003. I completed my legal studies at Loyola Law School in Los Angeles, California, where I received a Juris Doctor degree in May of 2008 and graduated in the top 15% of my class. At Loyola Law School, I served as Research Editor on the Loyola of Los Angeles Entertainment Law Review, was a member of the Scott Moot Court Honors Board and the St. Thomas More Law Honor Society and was a research assistant to Professor Theodore Seto.

4. Since being admitted to the State Bar of California in February of 2009 after passing the California Bar Examination on my first attempt, I have almost exclusively practiced in the areas of civil litigation and employment law. I am a member of the California Employment Lawyers Association (“CELA”), and the vast majority of my work during my time in active legal practice has consisted of wage and hour and other employment law cases on behalf of employees, with a few matters on behalf of employers. I currently have numerous pending employment law

1 cases at various stages of litigation, the majority of which are wage and hour class actions.

2 5. With respect to wage and hour class actions specifically, a sampling of matters in
3 which I have been appointed as class counsel is as follows:

4 a. *Vang v. Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corp., et al.*, U.S. District Court,
5 Central District of California, No. 09-CV-8061-CAS (appointed class counsel and granted final
6 approval of settlement on behalf of retail store employees in case involving, among other things,
7 alleged vacation pay forfeitures, failures to provide meal and rest periods, and failures to pay
8 overtime wages based on employee misclassification).

9 b. *Garcia v. Am. Gen. Fin. Mgmt. Corp., et al.*, U.S. District Court, Central District
10 of California, No. 09-CV-1916-DMG (appointed class counsel and granted final approval of
11 settlement on behalf of account managers in case involving, among other things, alleged
12 overtime miscalculations and meal and rest period violations).

13 c. *Cerdenia v. USA Truck, Inc.*, U.S. District Court, Central District of California,
14 Case No. 10-CV-1489-JVS (appointed class counsel and granted final approval of settlement on
15 behalf of truck drivers).

16 d. *Jones v. Shred-It, Inc.*, U.S. District Court, \Central District of California, Case
17 No. 2:11-cv-00526-SVW-FFM (appointed class counsel and granted final approval of settlement
18 on behalf of customer service representatives and balers in case involving alleged off-the-clock
19 work and meal and rest period violations).

20 e. *Douglas v. California Credit Union*, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No.
21 BC445050 (appointed class counsel and granted final approval of settlement on behalf of
22 customer service representatives for overtime miscalculation claims)

23 f. *Alvarez v. Gary Grace Enterprises, LP*, Marin Superior Court, Case No. CIV
24 1002553 (appointed class counsel and granted final approval of settlement on behalf of hair salon
25 employees for overtime miscalculation and related claims).

26 g. *Calderon v. Greatcall, Inc.*, San Diego Superior Court, Case No. 37-2010-
27 00093743-CU-OE-CTL (appointed class counsel and granted final approval of settlement on
28

1 behalf of customer service employees in case involving, among other things, alleged meal and
2 rest period violations and overtime calculation errors).

3 h. *Butler v. Lexxiom, Inc.*, San Bernardino Superior Court, Case No. CIVRS 1001579
4 (appointed class counsel and granted final approval of settlement on behalf of debt resolution
5 center employees in case involving, among other things, alleged meal and rest period violations
6 and overtime calculation errors).

7 i. *Valencia v. SCIS Air Security Corp.*, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No.
8 BC421485 (granted class certification through contested motion and appointed class counsel in
9 case on behalf of former security workers based on late final wage payments in violation of
10 Labor Code §§ 201–203; also granted final of proposed class action settlement on behalf of
11 certified class).

12 j. *Sandoval v. Rite Aid Corp.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No.
13 BC431249 (granted class certification through contested motion and appointed class counsel in
14 case on behalf of former pharmacy employees based on late final wage payments in violation of
15 Labor Code §§ 201–203; also granted final approval of class action settlement on behalf of
16 certified class).

17 6. In addition to the class actions for which I am currently, or have been, an attorney
18 of record, I have also performed work on several other wage and hour class action cases, including
19 the preparation of liability exposure analyses and settlement approval motions. Further, both
20 before and during law school, I worked as a law clerk for the Law Offices of Spivak and Harrison
21 (now The Spivak Law Firm), where the majority of my work consisted of law and motion and
22 discovery in California employment law cases.

23 7. Though not a traditional class action, I successfully prosecuted an eleven-day
24 bench trial with David Spivak, one of my co-counsel in this action, in the case of *Alina Ghrdilyan,*
25 *et al. v. RJ Financial, Inc., et al.*, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC430633 (2012), the
26 Honorable Ronald Sohigian presiding. To my knowledge, this is the first and only case to be
27 successfully prosecuted through trial in a non-class representative capacity under the Labor Code
28 Private Attorney Generals Act of 2004, Labor Code § 2698 *et seq.* on behalf of both named

1 plaintiffs and aggrieved employees against someone other than an employer for civil penalties
2 including unpaid wages. The case involves claims of unpaid overtime, unprovided rest and meal
3 periods, unpaid vacation, untimely interval and final wages, and unreimbursed expenses.

4 8. In addition to my experience in representing plaintiffs in class action litigation, I
5 also represented two of the defendants the case of *Sam Jaicaman v. Mustafa Ertan Tuysuzoglu,*
6 *et al.*, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC467147. In that case, a putative wage and hour
7 class action alleging off-the-clock, meal and rest period, and related claims, I, along with counsel
8 for other defendants, successfully opposed the plaintiff's motion for class certification.

9 9. I authored and submitted an amicus curiae brief on behalf of CELA in support of
10 the plaintiffs and the certified class in the case of *Augustus v. ABM Security Services, Inc.* at both
11 the Court of Appeal and Supreme Court levels. The ultimate decision from the Supreme Court in
12 favor of the plaintiffs incorporates much of the reasoning and arguments set forth in my briefs.

13 10. I am also one of the counsel for the plaintiff in *Troester v. Starbucks Corp.*, which
14 the California Supreme Court resolved in favor of the plaintiff. I have been involved in that case
15 at all points since its inception. In that case, the California Supreme Court decided that a de
16 minimis exception does not exist under California law as a defense to wage and hour claims
17 (unlike under federal law) and thus expanded the rights of employees in California.

18 11. I have also been counsel for the plaintiffs in two recent successful appeals in
19 employment law cases. In *Alejandro Chavez v. Southern California Edison Co.*, Court of Appeal,
20 Second Appellate District, Division Two, Case No. B253514, a male-on-male sexual harassment
21 case, my co-counsel and I obtained a reversal of a defense verdict after trial based on an erroneous
22 jury instruction with respect to the standard for establishing that workplace harassment is
23 "because of" sex. In *Valencia v. SCIS Air Security Corporation*, Court of Appeal, Second
24 Appellate District, Division One, Case No. B255199, in a published opinion, my co-counsel and
25 I obtained reversals of a denial of class certification and a grant of summary adjudication based
26 on the trial court's drawing of an erroneous conclusion with respect to Airline Deregulation Act
27 preemption in a case centering around alleged meal and rest period violations and related unpaid
28 time.


1 12. I have no conflicts of interest with the class or with the Class Representative. I am
2 not related to the representative plaintiff. I have not previously represented Defendant in any
3 matter. I do not represent opposing factions within the class in that all claims are predicated upon
4 the same theories of liability and benefit all class members equally. In sum, I am well-suited to
5 act as Class Counsel and will continue to vigorously represent the interests of the class.

6 13. I am not aware of any class, representative, or other collective action in any other
7 court, in this, or any other jurisdiction, that asserts claims similar to those asserted in this action,
8 on behalf of the class or group of individuals, some or all of whom would also be members of the
9 class to find in this action. I have made a reasonable inquiry of the other members of my law firm
10 to determine whether they are aware of any such similar actions. Based on these inquiries, I am
11 aware of no such similar actions.

12 14. For the reasons set forth in the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support
13 of Plaintiff's Motion for Approval of Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement and the
14 supporting declarations, I concur that the Settlement is a fair, adequate, and reasonable
15 compromise of the disputed claims in this case in view of the risks of continued litigation.

16 I declare under the penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the
17 foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

18
19 Executed on July 11, 2024, at Los Angeles, California.



LOUIS M. BENOWITZ,
Declarant