

FILED

Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

08/25/2026

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: A. Rosas Deputy

FRANK H. KIM, State Bar No. 264609
fkim@kim-legal.com

KIM LEGAL, APC
3435 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 2700
Los Angeles, CA 90010
Telephone: (323) 482-3300

Attorneys for Plaintiff
SADYR DIOUF

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

SADYR DIOUF, individually and on behalf of
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GOOD COMPANY LA, LLC, a foreign limited
liability company f/k/a GOOD COMPANY
PICTURES, LLC; RYAN HEIFERMAN, an
individual; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 23STCV22176
Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl, Dept. 12

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT**

Date: August 25, 2026
Time: 10:30 AM
Dept.: 12

Complaint Filed: September 14, 2023
Trial Date: None Set

**~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT**

Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement came before the Court. Having considered the Motion, the supporting declarations, the Settlement Agreement and exhibits, and the papers and records on file, and good cause appearing, the Court ORDERS as follows:

1. The Court preliminarily approves the Settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Settlement is the product of arm's-length, non-collusive negotiation, including a mediation, and falls within the range of reasonableness warranting notice to the Class and a final approval hearing.

2. For settlement purposes only, the Court conditionally certifies the following Class: all non-exempt employees of Defendants in California who worked as background talent in exchange for a daily rate (as opposed to an hourly rate) during the Class Period.

3. The "Class Period" is September 14, 2019 through the date of this Order.

4. The "Aggrieved Employees" are the non-exempt background talent paid a daily rate during the PAGA Period, and the "PAGA Period" is September 12, 2022 through the date of this Order.

5. For settlement purposes only, the Court preliminarily finds that: (a) the Class is so numerous that joinder is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Class; (c) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class; (d) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class; (e) common questions predominate; and (f) a class action is superior to other available methods of adjudication.

6. The Court appoints Plaintiff Sadyr Diouf as Class Representative and Frank H. Kim of Kim Legal, APC as Class Counsel.

7. The Court appoints ILYM Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator.

8. The Court approves, as to form and content, the proposed Class Notice attached to the Settlement Agreement as Exhibit A, and finds that mailing the Notice as provided in the Settlement constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances and satisfies due process.

1 9. The Court approves the procedures by which Class Members may participate in,
2 request exclusion from, object to, or dispute their workweeks under the Settlement, as set forth in
3 the Class Notice.

4 10. The Court finds that Plaintiff has given notice of the PAGA claims to the Labor and
5 Workforce Development Agency consistent with Labor Code section 2699, and that the PAGA
6 allocation, apportioned 75% to the LWDA and 25% to the Aggrieved Employees, is preliminarily
7 approved, subject to final approval.

8 11. The Court acknowledges that, as security for the installment payments, Defendants'
9 principals have executed a personal guarantee and Defendants and the guarantors have executed a
10 stipulation for entry of judgment under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, to be held by Class
11 Counsel and filed only upon an uncured default.

12 12. The following implementation schedule shall govern:

13 (a) Defendants shall deliver the Class Data to the Settlement Administrator no
14 later than 15 days after this Order;

15 (b) the Settlement Administrator shall mail the Class Notice no later than 14 days
16 after receiving the Class Data;

17 (c) Class Members shall have 45 days from the mailing of the Class Notice to
18 request exclusion, object, or dispute their workweeks (the "Response
19 Deadline");

20 (d) Plaintiff shall file the Motion for Final Approval no later than
21 16 court days prior to the final approval hearing date; and

22 (e) the Final Approval Hearing shall be held on Jan 12, 2027 at 10:30 am at
23 _____ in Department 12.

24 13. If the Settlement does not become final, or final approval or judgment is not
25 entered, the Settlement shall be void, the Parties shall return to their positions as if the Settlement
26 had not been made, and nothing in the Settlement or this Order shall be admissible for any purpose.

27 14. All other proceedings in this action are stayed pending the Final Approval Hearing,
28 except as necessary to implement the Settlement.

1 15. The Court reserves the right to continue the Final Approval Hearing without further
2 notice to the Class.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4
5 DATED: 08/25/2026



Carolyn B. Kuhl / Judge

Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl
Judge of the Superior Court