	ELECTRONICALLY RECEIVED - 3/28/2025 10:59 AM - By: Raymond Rojas, DEPUT		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Raul Perez (SBN 174687) Raul.Perez@capstonelawyers.com Orlando Villalba (SBN 232165) Orlando.Villalba@capstonelawyers.com Helga Hakimi (SBN 257381) Helga.Hakimi@capstonelawyers.com CAPSTONE LAW APC 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 556-4811 Facsimile: (310) 943-0396 Attorneys for Plaintiff Daniela Garibay	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT APR 2 2 2025 EY VALERIE URUENA, DEPUTY	
8	[Additional counsel listed on next page]		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	FOR THE COUNTY	OF SAN BERNARDINO	
11	DANIELA GARIBAY, individually, and on	Case No. CIVDS2011129	
12	behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated,	Assigned to the Hon. Christian Towns	
13 14	Plaintiff, vs.	[PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION	
15	PACIFIC STEEL GROUP, a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENT PAYMENTS	
17	Defendants.	Date: April 22, 2025 Time: 8:30 a.m.	
18		Place: Department S26	
19 20		Complaint Filed: May 29, 2020 Trial Date: None Set	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	ODINED AND ILITYCMENT GO ANTING MOTION FOR ENTA	Page 1	

1	Larry W. Lee (State Bar No. 228175) DIVERSITY LAW GROUP, P.C.
2	515 S. Figueroa St., Suite 1250 Los Angeles, California 90071
3	(213) 488-6555 (213) 488-6554 facsimile
4	
5	William L. Marder, Esq. (CBN 170131) Polaris Law Group
6	501 San Benito Street, Suite 200 Hollister, CA 95023
7	Tel: (831) 531-4214 Fax: (831) 634-0333
8	Dennis S. Hyun (State Bar No. 224240) HYUN LEGAL, APC
9	515 S. Figueroa St., Suite 1250 Los Angeles, California 90071
10	(213) 488-6555 (213) 488-6554 facsimile
11	
12	Edward W. Choi, Esq. SBN 211334 LAW OFFICES OF CHOI & ASSOCIATES 515 S. Figueroa St., Suite 1250
13	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 381-1515
14	Facsimile: (213) 465-4885
15	Email: edward.choi@choiandassociates.com
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff Darren Morris
17	Arby Aiwazian (SBN 269827)
18	Joanna Ghosh (SBN 272479) Arman Marukyan (SBN 327154) LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC
19	450 North Brand Blvd., Suite 900
20	Glendale, California 91203 Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021
21	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Brandon Gay and Israel Berber
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Page 2

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

This matter came before the Court for a hearing on the Motion for Final Approval of the Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Class Representative Enhancement Payments (collectively, the "Motions"). Due and adequate notice having been given to Class Members as required by the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, and the Court having reviewed the Motions, and determining that the settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable, and otherwise being fully informed and GOOD CAUSE appearing therefore, it is hereby ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. For the reasons set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order, which are adopted and incorporated herein by reference, this Court finds that the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and rule 3.769 of the California Rules of Court have been satisfied.
- 2. This Order hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the terms and conditions of the Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Release ("Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement"), together with the definitions and terms used and contained therein.
- 3. The Court finds that it has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action and over all parties to the action, including all members of the Settlement Class.
- 4. The Class Notice fully and accurately informed Class Members of all material elements of the proposed settlement and of their opportunity to opt out or object; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California and due process. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the settlement and provided Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.
- 5. Class Members were given a full opportunity to participate in the Final Approval hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely and properly opt out of the settlement are bound by this Order.
- 6. The Court has considered all relevant factors for determining the fairness of the settlement and has concluded that all such factors weigh in favor of granting final approval. In particular, the Court finds that the settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation

conducted by Plaintiffs' Counsel; that the settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arm's-length negotiations between the Parties; and that the terms of the settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable.

- 7. In so finding, the Court has considered all evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiffs' case; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of counsel. The Parties have provided the Court with sufficient information about the nature and magnitude of the claims being settled, as well as the impediments to recovery, to make an independent assessment of the reasonableness of the terms to which the Parties have agreed.
- 8. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and expressly finds that the settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the entire Settlement Class and hereby directs implementation of all remaining terms, conditions, and provisions of the Settlement Agreement. The Court also finds that settlement now will avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. Additionally, after considering the monetary recovery provided by the settlement in light of the challenges posed by continued litigation, the Court concludes that the settlement provides Class Members with fair and adequate relief.
- 9. The Settlement Agreement is not an admission by Defendant or by any other Released Party, nor is this Order a finding of the validity of any allegations or of any wrongdoing by Defendant or any other Released Party. Neither this Order, the Settlement Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement Agreement, may be construed as, or may be used as, an admission of any fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession, waiver of defenses, or liability whatsoever by or against Defendant or any of the other Released Parties.
- 10. Final approval shall be with respect to: All current and former non-exempt workers employed by Defendant in the State of California and worked at least one day during the period from April 6, 2016 to July 11, 2024.
 - Plaintiffs Daniela Garibay, Darren Morris, Brandon Gay, and Israel Berber are adequate
 Page 4

and suitable representatives and are hereby appointed the Class Representatives for the Settlement Class. The Court finds that Plaintiffs' investment and commitment to the litigation and its outcome ensured adequate and zealous advocacy for the Settlement Class, and that their interests are aligned with those of the Settlement Class.

- 12. The Court hereby awards Plaintiffs Class Representative Enhancement Payments of \$10,000, each, for their service on behalf of the Settlement Class, and for agreeing to general releases of all claims arising out of their employment with Defendant.
- 13. The Court finds that the attorneys at Capstone Law APC; Diversity Law Group, P.C.; the Law Offices of Choi & Associates, PLC; Polaris Law Group; Hyun Legal, APC; and Lawyers for Justice, PC have the requisite qualifications, experience, and skill to protect and advance the interests of the Settlement Class. The Court therefore finds that counsel satisfy the professional and ethical obligations attendant to the position of Class Counsel, and hereby appoints counsel as Class Counsel.
- 14. The settlement of civil penalties under PAGA in the amount of \$200,000 is hereby approved. Seventy-Five Percent (75%), or \$150,000, shall be paid to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency. The remaining Twenty-Five Percent (25%), or \$50,000, will be paid to PAGA Members.
- 15. The Court hereby awards \$1,225,000 in attorneys' fees and \$100,000 in costs and expenses to Class Counsel. The award of attorneys' fees and costs will be divided as follows: (a) \$408,333.34 in attorneys' fees and \$26,427.26 in litigation costs to Capstone Law APC; (b) \$408,333.33 in attorneys' fees and \$22,882.62 in litigation costs to Diversity Law Group, P.C., Polaris Law Group, Hyun Legal, APC, and Law Offices of Choi & Associates; and (c) \$408,333.33 in attorneys' fees and \$28,924.36 in litigation costs to Lawyers for Justice, PC.
- The Court approves settlement administration costs and expenses in the amount of \$32,550 to ILYM Group, Inc.
- 17. All Class Members were given a full and fair opportunity to participate in the Approval Hearing, and all members of the Settlement Class wishing to be heard have been heard. Members of the Settlement Class also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the proposed settlement and the class. Accordingly, the terms of the Settlement Agreement and of the Court's Order

1	may be approved.	
2	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED,	AND DECREED.
3	Dated: 4-22-2025	C. Town
4		Hon. Christian Towns San Bernardino County Superior Court Judge
5		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		Page 7