

**HAIG B. KAZANDJIAN LAWYERS, APC**

Haig B. Kazandjian, Esq. SBN 278622

[haig@hbklawyers.com](mailto:haig@hbklawyers.com)

Cathy Gonzalez, Esq. SBN 310625

[cathy@hbklawyers.com](mailto:cathy@hbklawyers.com)

Christina N. Mirzaie, SBN 333274

[christina@hbklawyers.com](mailto:christina@hbklawyers.com)

801 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 1015

Glendale, California 91203

Telephone: 1-818-696-2306

Facsimile: 1-818-696-2307

**FILED**

Superior Court of California  
County of Los Angeles

07/15/2025

David W. Stoyke, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: K. Metoyer Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiffs NORA RIDGE AND LETICIA VELAZQUEZ  
on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – SANTA MONICA COURTHOUSE**

NORA RIDGE and LETICIA  
VELAZQUEZ, on behalf of themselves  
and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SIMONMED IMAGING, A  
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION;  
SIMONMED IMAGING MSO, LLC, a  
Delaware Limited Liability Company; and  
DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

**Case No. 23SMCV04110**

*[Assigned for all purposes to the Honorable Mark  
A. Young, Dept. M]*

**CLASS ACTION**

**AMENDED [~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER  
GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY  
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION  
SETTLEMENT**

*[Filed concurrently with Notice of Motion; and  
Memorandum of Points and Authorities;  
Declaration of Haig B. Kazandjian; and  
[Proposed] Order]*

Hearing Date: June 6, 2025

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Dept.: M

Action Filed: September 01, 2023

Trial Date: None Yet Set

**RESERVATION ID: 980703129132**

The Court, having read the papers filed regarding Plaintiff's unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, and having heard argument regarding the Motion on June 6, 2025, hereby finds and ORDERS as follows:

1           1.     The Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice  
2     ("Settlement Agreement") attached to the Kazandjian Declaration as **Exhibit 1**, is within the  
3     range of possible recovery and, subject to further consideration at the Final Approval Hearing  
4     described below, is preliminarily approved as fair, reasonable, and in the best interest of the  
5     absent class members;

6           2     For purposes of settlement only, the Court provisionally and conditionally  
7     certifies the following class: All persons who are or were employed by Defendants Simonmed  
8     Imaging; Simonmed Imaging MSO, LLC; SMI IMAGING, LLC, and Health Diagnostics Of  
9     California, a Professional Corporation in California and classified as hourly, non-exempt  
10    employees during the Class Period of June 27, 2020, to the date the Court grants Plaintiffs'  
11    motion for preliminary approval of the Settlement.

12          3.     The Court finds the Settlement Class, consisting of approximately 445 members,  
13    is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable, and that the Settlement Class is  
14    ascertainable by reference to the business records of Defendants.

15          4.     The Court finds further there are questions of law and fact common to the entire  
16    Settlement Class, which common questions predominate over any individualized questions of  
17    law or fact. These common questions include, without limitation: (1) whether Defendants paid  
18    Settlement Class Members for all hours worked, (2) whether Defendant provided Settlement  
19    Class Members with all required meal and rest periods, or compensation in lieu thereof, (3)  
20    whether Defendants properly reimbursed Settlement Class Members for business expenses, and  
21    (4) whether Defendants provided Settlement Class Members with proper itemized wage  
22    statements.

23          5.     The Court finds further the claims of named Plaintiffs Nora Ridge and Leticia  
24    Velazquez are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class, and that they will fairly and  
25    adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class. Accordingly, the Court appoints  
26    Plaintiffs Nora Ridge and Leticia Velazquez as the Class Representatives, and appoints their  
27    counsel of record Haig B. Kazandjian Lawyers, APC, as Class Counsel.

28          6.     The Court finds further that certification of the Settlement Class is superior to

other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

7. The Court finds further that, in the present case, the proposed method of providing notice of the Settlement to the Settlement Class via First Class U.S. Mail to each Settlement Class Member's last known address, is reasonably calculated to notify the Settlement Class Members of the proposed Settlement and provides the best notice possible under the circumstances. The Court also finds the Notice of Class Action Settlement form is sufficient to inform the Settlement Class Members of the terms of the Settlement and their rights thereunder, including the right to object to the Settlement or any part thereof and the procedure for doing so, their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement and the procedure for doing so, their right to obtain a portion of the Settlement proceeds, and the date, time and location of the Final Approval Hearing. The proposed Notice of Class Action Settlement (Exhibit A to the Settlement Agreement) and the procedure for providing Notice set forth in the Settlement Agreement, are approved by the Court.

8. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Court approves the Parties' selection of ILYM Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator is ordered to mail the Class Notice to the Settlement Class Members via First-Class U.S. Mail as specified in the Settlement Agreement, and to otherwise carry out all other duties set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Parties are ordered to carry out and comply with all terms of this Order and the Settlement Agreement, and particularly with respect to providing the Settlement Administrator all information necessary to perform its duties under the Settlement Agreement.

9. Any member of the Settlement Class who wishes to be excluded, or object to the Settlement or any term thereof, including any proposed award of attorney's fees and costs to Class Counsel or any proposed representative enhancement to the Class Representatives, shall have forty-five (45) days from the mailing of the Class Notice to request exclusion and/or to object, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Class Notice.

10. A Final Approval Hearing is hereby set for December 10, 2025, at 8:30a.m; OR for \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, 2026, at \_\_:\_\_ a.m./p.m. in Department M of the Los Angeles County Superior Court, Santa Monica Courthouse, to consider any objections to the Settlement,

determine if the proposed Settlement should be found fair, adequate and reasonable and given full and final approval by the Court, and to determine the amount of attorney's fees and costs awarded to Class Counsel, the amount of any representative enhancement award to the Class Representative, and to approve the fees and costs payable to the Settlement Administrator. All legal memoranda, affidavits, declarations, or other evidence in support of the request for final approval, the award of attorney's fees and costs to Class Counsel, the enhancement award to the Class Representative, and the fees and costs of the Settlement Administrator, shall be filed no later than sixteen (16) court days prior to the Final Approval Hearing. The Court reserves the right to continue the Final Approval Hearing without further notice to the Settlement Class Members.

11. Provided he or she has not submitted a timely and valid Request for Exclusion, any Settlement Class Member may appear, personally or through his or her own counsel, and be heard at the Final Approval Hearing regardless of whether he or she has submitted a written objection.


12. The Court orders the following **Schedule** for further proceedings:

a.	Deadline for Defendant to submit Class List and Data Report to Settlement Administrator	June 26, 2025 <sup>1</sup> ;
b.	Deadline for Settlement Administrator to Mail the Notice Packets to Class Members	July 22, 2025 OR _____, 2025
c.	Deadline for Settlement Class Members to postmark Requests for Exclusions	September 5, 2025  OR _____, 2025 [45 days after mailing of the Notice Packet to Class Members]

<sup>1</sup>Settlement Administrator received Class Data on June 26, 2025.

d.	Deadline for the postmark of any Objections to the Settlement	September 5, 2025  OR _____, 2025 [45 days after mailing of the Notice Packet to Class Members]
e.	Deadline for Class Counsel to File Motion for Final Approval of Settlement Including the requested attorneys' fees and costs including any expenses and declarations in support thereof; and deadline to file declaration by ILYM Group Inc. Re Service of Class Notice, receipt and filing of objections	November 14, 2025  OR _____, 2025 [16 court days prior to hearing on Motion for Final Approval]
f.	Final Approval Hearing	December 10, 2025 at 8:30 a.m. OR _____, __, 2025 at _____ a.m./p.m  [16 court days after filing date of Motion for Final Approval]

Dated: 07/15/2025

  
Honorable Mark A. Young  
Judge of the Superior Court

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 801 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 1015, Glendale, California 91203.

On July 14, 2025, I served the foregoing document(s) described as:

**AMENDED [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

on the interested parties in this action by method indicated below:

Brandon D. Saxon, Esq.  
bsaxon@grsm.com  
Lisa R. Crowley, Esq.  
lcrowley@grsm.com  
GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI,  
LLP  
101 W. Broadway, Suite 2000  
San Diego, CA 92101  
Telephone: (619) 696-6700  
Facsimile: (619) 696-7124

Attorneys for Defendants  
SIMONMED IMAGING;  
SIMONMED IMAGING MSO, LLC

[ X] **BY ELECTRONIC MAIL:** Based on a court order or agreement by the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I transmitted the foregoing document(s) by electronic mail from osbaldo@hbklawyers.com to the electronic mail addresses indicated on the mailing list. The transmission was reported as complete and without error.

[X] (STATE): I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 14, 2025, at Glendale, California.

/s/ Osbaldo Bautista  
Osbaldo Bautista