

JAN 1 6 2025

CLERK OF THE COUP BY: Alle , CALL

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

**COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO** 

**DEPARTMENT 304** 

JAVIER JIRON, an individual, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

WIPRO LIMITED, an India corporation; and DOES 1 TO 50,

Defendants.

Case No. CGC-23-608621

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA **SETTLEMENT** 

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

Plaintiff Javier Jiron ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Wipro Limited ("Defendant") have reached terms of settlement for a putative class and representative action.

Plaintiff filed a Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement as memorialized in the Settlement Agreement.<sup>1</sup>

The Court having reviewed the papers filed in support of this motion, including the Settlement Agreement, and the record in the matter, HEREBY ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. The Court grants preliminary approval of the settlement based upon the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate, fall within the range of final approval, and appear to be presumptively valid. The Court finds that the Settlement has been reached as a result of intensive, serious and non-collusive arms-length negotiations with the assistance of a neutral private mediator, Kelly Knight, Esq. The Court further finds that the parties have conducted thorough investigation and research, and the attorneys for the parties were able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions.
  - 3. Solely for the settlement purposes, the Court certifies the following Class:

All current and former sales employees who worked for Defendant in California and incurred necessary and reasonable business expenses at any time or times between August 24, 2019, through January 8, 2025, and excluding persons who are currently represented by counsel and have a civil action pending, and also excluding any persons who opt-out of the class.

- 4. For settlement purposes only, the Court finds the proposed Class meets the requirements for certification under Code of Civil Procedure section 382.
- 5. For settlement purposes only, Plaintiff Javier Jiron is appointed as the Class Representative. The Court preliminarily finds that Plaintiff will adequately represent the Class in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement" or "Agreement" refers to the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Matthew Haulk filed on November 15, 2024 as amended by the First Amendment to Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice attached as Exhibit 1 to the Second Supplemental Declaration of Matthew Haulk filed on January 13, 2025.

accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 382 for settlement purposes.

- 6. For settlement purposes only, Matthew A. Haulk and Jose M. Herrera of Haulk & Herrera LLP are appointed as Class Counsel. The Court preliminarily finds that Class Counsel will represent the interests of the Class fairly and adequately in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 382 for settlement purposes.
- 7. The Court hereby appoints ILYM Group, Inc. to act as the Settlement Administrator, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Agreement.
- 8. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice, attached as Exhibit 2 to the Second Supplemental Declaration of Matthew Haulk on January 13, 2025.
- 9. The Court finds that the proposed notice plan set forth in the Settlement Agreement is reasonable; that it constitutes due, adequate and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to be provided with notice; that it meets due process requirements; and such notice is the best notice practicable. The parties' proposed notice plan is sufficient to inform Class Members of the terms of the Settlement, their rights under the settlement, their rights to object to the Settlement, their right to receive a payment under the settlement or elect not to participate in the Settlement, and the processes for doing so, and the date and location of the final approval hearing.
- 10. The Court hereby directs the Parties and the Settlement Administrator to execute the notice plan in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. The procedures set forth in the Settlement Agreement for objecting to and requesting exclusion from the proposed settlement are approved. The deadline for Class Members to submit written objections or requests for exclusion is (45) days after mailing of the Class Notice The deadline shall be extended an additional fourteen (14) days for all Class Members whose notice is re-mailed.
- 12. Class Members will be bound by the Agreement unless they submit a timely and valid written request to be excluded from the Settlement within 45 days after mailing of the Class Notice.
- 13. Defendants are directed to provide the Settlement Administrator the Class Data in the manner and within the time frame specified in the Agreement.

26

27

28

## CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE

(CCP 1010.6(6) & CRC 2.260(g))

I, Felicia Green, a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, certify that I am not a party to the within action.

On January 16, 2025, I electronically served ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT via File & ServeXpress on the recipients designated on the Transaction Receipt located on the File & ServeXpress website.

Dated:

JAN 16 2024

Brandon E. Riley, Court Executive Officer

Bv:

Felicia Green, Deputy Clerk