1 2 3 4 5	MELMED LAW GROUP P.C. Jonathan Melmed (SBN 290218) jm@melmedlaw.com Laura Supanich (SBN 314805) lms@melmedlaw.com 1801 Century Park East, Suite 850 Los Angeles, California 90067 Phone: (310) 824-3828 Fax: (310) 862-6851	FILED SUPERIOR COURT of CALIFORNIA COUNTY of SANTA BARBARA 1/17/2025 Darrel E. Parker, Executive Officer BY Mendez, Robert Deputy Clerk
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff, the Putative Class, and the	Aggrieved Employees
8 9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA DF SANTA BARBARA
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	GERARDO MARTINEZ and DAYSI GONZALEZ, individuals, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. BOB CAMPBELL RANCHES, INC., a California Corporation; SURF PACKING, INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1 TO 50, Defendants.	Case Number: 21CV02718 Amended [Proposed] Order and Judgment Granting Final Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement
 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 		

1 (PROPOSED) ORDER 2 On January 8, 2025, the Court held a hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement. 4 In conformity with California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769, with due and adequate notice having 5 been given to Class Members, and the Court having considered the <u>Settlement Agreement and Release</u> of Class and PAGA Action (the "Settlement Agreement"), all of the legal authorities and documents 6 7 submitted in support thereof, all papers filed and proceedings has herein, all oral and written comments received regarding the proposed settlement, and having reviewed the record in this litigation, and good 8 9 cause appearing, the Court GRANTS final approval of the Settlement and **ORDERS AND MAKES** THE FOLLOWING FINDINGS AND DETERMINATIONS AND ENTERS FINAL 10 JUDGMENT AS FOLLOWS: 11 12 1. All terms used in this Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement (the 13 "Order") shall have the same meanings given as those terms are used and/or defined in the parties' Settlement Agreement. 14 2. 15 The Court has personal jurisdiction over the Parties to this litigation and subject matter 16 jurisdiction to approve this Settlement and all exhibits thereto. 17 3. For settlement purposes only, the Court finally certifies the Class, as defined in the Agreement and as follows: 18 19 all individuals who are or were employed by Defendant as non-exempt employees in 20 California, but excluding any individuals or periods of time for individuals who have 21 previously settled the Released Class Claims against Defendant, for claims that accrued 22 during the Class Period. The "Class Period" is defined as June 30, 2017 through August 23 7, 2024. 24 4. The Court deems this definition sufficient for the purpose of California Rule of Court 25 26 3.765(a), and solely for the purpose of effectuating the Settlement. 27 28

- 5. The Court finds that an ascertainable class of 810 Class Members exists and a well-defined community of interest exists on the questions of law and fact involved because in the context of the Settlement: (i) all related matters, predominate over any individual questions; (ii) the claims of the Plaintiffs are typical of claims of the Class Members; and (iii) in negotiating, entering into and implementing the Settlement, Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interest of the Class Members.
- 6. The Court is satisfied that ILYM Group, Inc., which functioned as the Settlement Administrator, completed the distribution of Class Notice and Share Form to the Class in a manner that comports with California Rule of Court 3.766. The Class Notice informed 810 Class Members of the Settlement terms, their rights to do nothing and receive their settlement share, their rights to submit a request for exclusion, their rights to comment on or object to the Settlement, and their rights to appear at the Final Approval Hearing and be heard regarding approval of the Settlement. Adequate periods of time to respond and to act were provided by each of these procedures. No Class Members filed written objections to the Settlement as part of this notice process, and no Class Member filed a written statement of intention to appear at the Final Approval Hearing. No Class Members submitted a request for exclusion.
- 7. The Court hereby approves the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds that the Settlement Agreement is, in all respects, fair, adequate, and reasonable, consistent and compliant with all applicable requirements of the California Code of Civil Procedure, the California and United States Constitutions, including the Due Process clauses, the California Rules of Court, and any other applicable law, and in the best interests of each of the Parties and Class Members.
- 8. The Court directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement Agreement according to its terms and declares the Settlement Agreement to be binding on all Class Participants, Releasing Parties, Aggrieved Employees, and the State of California.
- 9. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement has been reached as a result of informed and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations. The Court further finds that the Parties have conducted

- 10. The Court also finds that the Settlement now will avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks of the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. Additionally, after considering the monetary recovery provided as part of the Settlement in light of the challenges posed by continued litigation, and Court concludes that Class Counsel secured significant relief for Class Members.
- Neither this Order nor the Settlement Agreement (or any other document referred to 11. herein, or any action taken to carry out this Order) is, may be construed as, or may be used as, an admission of liability or fault by Defendants or the Released Parties, or a finding as to the validity of any claims in the Action or of any wrongdoing or violation of law that is otherwise alleged, or could have been alleged, against Defendants or the Released Parties. The Settlement Agreement is not a concession by the Parties and, to the extent permitted by law, neither the Settlement Agreement nor this Order and Judgment, nor any of their terms or provisions, nor any of the negotiations or proceedings connected with them, shall be offered as evidence or received in evidence in any pending or future civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding to establish any liability of, or admission by the Released Parties. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this Order and Judgment shall be interpreted as prohibiting the use of this Order and Judgment in a proceeding to consummate or enforce the Settlement Agreement, or the use of this Order and Judgment to defend against the assertion of claims in any other proceeding, or as otherwise required by law. This Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement may be filed in any action by Defendants or the Released Parties to support a defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, waiver, good-faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, full faith and credit, or any other theory of claim preclusion, issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.
- 12. The Court appoints Plaintiffs Gerardo Martinez and Daysi Gonzalez as Class Representatives and finds them to be adequate.

27

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

1	24. All Class Participants and Releasing Parties are deemed to release Released Parties from
2	all claims alleged, or that reasonably could have been alleged, arising out of or related to the allegations
3	set forth in the Complaint and/or PAGA notice to the LWDA, which arose during the Class Period,
4	including claims for: (1) failure to pay minimum wage for all hours worked in violation of Labor Code
5	sections 1194 and 1194.2, and the Applicable Wage Order; (2) failure to pay proper overtime wages in
6	violation of Labor Code sections 510, 1197, and 1198, and the Applicable Wage Order; (3) failure to
7	provide compliant rest periods and pay missed rest break premiums in violation of Labor Code section
8	226.7 and the Applicable Wage Order; (4) failure to provide compliant meal periods and pay missed
9	meal period premiums in violation of Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512, and the Applicable Wage
10	Order; (5) failure to pay timely wages during employment in violation of Labor Code sections 204,
11	210; (6) failure to pay all wages due and owing at separation in violation of Labor Code sections 201,
12	202, and 203; (7) failure to reimburse business expenses in violation of Labor Code sections 2802 and
13	2804; (8) failure to provide complete and accurate wage statements in violation of Labor Code sections
14	226 and 226.3; (9) deceptive, fraudulent, or otherwise unlawful business practices based on the
15	foregoing in violation of California's Unfair Competition Law (Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 17200–17210);
16	and (10) all claims for liquidated damages, penalties, interest, fees, costs based on the foregoing.
17	25. Aggrieved Employees are deemed to release Released Parties from all claims for civil
18	penalties under PAGA alleged, or that reasonably could have been alleged, arising out of or related to
19	the allegations set forth in the Complaint and/or PAGA notice to the LWDA, which arose during the
20	PAGA Period, including but not limited to claims for PAGA civil penalties arising out of alleged: (1)
21	failure to pay minimum wage for all hours worked in violation of Labor Code sections 1194 and 1194.2,
22	and the Applicable Wage Order; (2) failure to pay proper overtime wages in violation of Labor Code
23	sections 510, 1197, and 1198, and the Applicable Wage Order; (3) failure to provide compliant rest

used on the foregoing. es from all claims for civil arising out of or related to OA, which arose during the s arising out of alleged: (1) e sections 1194 and 1194.2, in violation of Labor Code 1197, and 1198, and the Applicable Wage Order; (3) failure to provide compliant rest periods and pay missed rest break premiums in violation of Labor Code section 226.7 and the Applicable Wage Order; (4) failure to provide compliant meal periods and pay missed meal period premiums in violation of Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512, and the Applicable Wage Order; (5) failure to pay timely wages during employment in violation of Labor Code sections 204, 210; (6) failure

24

25

26

27

1	to pay all wages due and owing at separation in violation of Labor Code sections 201, 202, and 203	
2	(7) failure to reimburse business expenses in violation of Labor Code sections 2802 and 2804; and (8)	
3	failure to provide complete and accurate wage statements in violation of Labor Code sections 226 and	
4	226.3.	
5	26. The terms of the Settlement, and this Order and Judgment, shall be binding on Plaintiffs,	
6	Class Participants, Released Parties, the State of California, and the Aggrieved Employees, and those	
7	terms shall have res judicata, collateral estoppel and other preclusive effect in all pending and future	
8	claims, lawsuits or other proceedings maintained by or on behalf of any such persons or entities, to the	
9	extent those claims, lawsuits or other proceedings constitute Released Class Claims or Released PAGA	
10	Claims as set forth in the Settlement. Upon entry of this Order, the Court hereby enters judgment, for	
11	the reasons described above and in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. Upon	
12	entry of this Order, the Court permanently enjoins and forever bars Plaintiffs, the State of California,	
13	and the Aggrieved Employees from instituting or prosecuting any claims against Defendants or the	
14	Released Parties that were resolved as part of the Settlement Agreement and/or pursuant to this Order.	
15	Except as otherwise provided in this Order and the Settlement Agreement, all parties shall bear their	
16	own attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred by them in or arising out of the lawsuit and shall not	
17	seek reimbursement thereof from the Released Parties.	
18	27. The Court hereby sets a hearing date of1/28/2026 atpm/am for a	
19	hearing on the final accounting and distribution of the settlement funds.	
20	AT IC CO OPPERED. AD HID CED. AND DECREES	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:	
22	Dated: 1/17/2025 Peter LKelly	
23		
24	Judge of the Superior Court, County of Santa Barbara	
25	Patricia Kelly	
26		
27		
28		
	$oldsymbol{\S}$	

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA I am over the age of 18 years and am employed in the county of Los Angeles, State of 2 California. I am not a party to this action. My business address is 1801 Century Park East, Suite 3 850, Los Angeles, California 90067. 4 I declare that on the date hereof, December 16, 2024, I served the foregoing document(s) described as: 5 AMENDED [PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT By causing a true copy thereof to be sent to the following individuals and/or parties: 8 Rafael Gonzalez 9 rgonzalez@mullenlaw.com Christina M. Behrman 10 cbehrman@mullenlaw.com 11 MULLEN & HENZELL L.L.P. 112 East Victoria Street 12 Post Office Drawer 789 Santa Barbara, CA 93102-0789 13 [XX] BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE. Based on a court order, local rule, or agreement of the 14 parties to accept service by email or electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to 15 the person(s) at the email address(es) listed herein. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was 16 unsuccessful. 17 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the above is true and correct. I further declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at 18 whose direction the service was made. 19 Executed on December 16, 2024, in Los Angeles, California. 20 21 22 Alma Hernandez 23 24 25 26 27 28