| 1 2 | Arby Aiwazian (SBN 269827) Joanna Ghosh (SBN 272479) Yasmin Hosseini (SBN 326399) | Sup | FILED perior Court of California county of Los Angeles |
|----------|---|--|--|
| 3 | LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC | | 11/05/2024 |
| | 410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203 Glendale, California 91203 | | ytan, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court L. Ennis Deputy |
| 5 | Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class | Ву: | Deputy |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | SUPERIOR COURT OF T | HE STATE OF CAI | LIFORNIA |
| 9 | FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES—SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE | | |
| 10 11 | MARK JOHN SANTOS, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general | Case No. 22STCV1 Related to Case No | |
| 12 | public similarly situated; and SAMANTHA SANCHEZ, individually, and on behalf of other aggrieved employees pursuant to the | Honorable Stuart M Department SSC-1 | . Rice |
| 13 | California Private Attorneys General Act, | CLASS ACTION | |
| 14 | Plaintiffs, | [PROPOSED] FIN | |
| 15 | VS. | ORDER AND JUL | JGEMENT |
| 16 17 | MEDZED, LLC, a Georgia limited liability company; MEDZED PHYSICIAN SERVICES, INC., a California corporation; | Date: Time: Department: | November 1, 2024 10:30 a.m. SSC-1 |
| 18 | and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. | Complaint Filed: FAC Filed: | May 10, 2022 December 27, 2023 |
| 19 | | Trial Date: | None Set |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | J | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | | |
| | [PROPOSED] FINAL APPRO | OVAL ORDER AND JUI | DGMENT |

This matter has come before the Honorable Stuart M. Rice in Department SSC-1 of the above-entitled Court, located at 312 N. Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012, on Plaintiffs Mark John Santos and Samantha Sanchez's (together, "Plaintiffs") Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Class Counsel Fees Payment, Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, and Service Payments ("Motion for Final Approval"). Lawyers *for* Justice, PC appeared on behalf of Plaintiffs, and Holland & Knight LLP appeared on behalf of Defendants MedZed, LLC and MedZed Physician Services, Inc., (collectively, "Defendants").

On July 16, 2024, the Court entered the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order"), thereby preliminarily approving the settlement of the above-entitled action ("Action") in accordance with the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Settlement," "Agreement," or "Settlement Agreement"), which, together with the exhibits annexed thereto set forth the terms and conditions for settlement of the Action.

Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and duly considered the parties' papers and oral argument, and good cause appearing,

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES AS FOLLOWS:

- This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement, and all capitalized terms used, but not defined herein, shall have the same meanings as in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Class Members asserted in this proceeding and over all parties to the Actions.
- 3. The Court finds that the applicable requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, *et seq.* have been satisfied with respect to the Class and the Settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional certification of the Class for settlement purposes, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Class is hereby defined to include:

All current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt individuals who are or previously were employed by any of the Defendants in the State of California at any time during the Class Period ("Class" or "Class Members").

4. The Court Approved Notice of Class Action Settlement and Hearing Date for Final

Court Approval ("Class Notice") that was provided to the Class Members and Aggrieved Employees, fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the Settlement and of their opportunity to participate in the Settlement, object to or comment to the Class Settlement, or to seek exclusion from the Class Settlement; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process and other applicable law. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided the Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.

- 5. Pursuant to California law, the Court hereby grants final approval of the Settlement and finds that it is reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class as a whole. More specifically, the Court finds that the Settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by Lawyers *for* Justice, PC ("Class Counsel"); that the Settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arms-length negotiations between the parties; and that the terms of the Settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable. In so finding, the Court has considered all of the evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiffs' claims; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in the Settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of Class Counsel. The Court has further considered the absence of objections to the Class Settlement submitted by Class Members. Accordingly, the Court hereby directs that the Settlement be affected in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the following terms and conditions.
- 6. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate in the Final Approval Hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. The Class Members also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the Class Settlement. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who have not submitted a timely and valid Request for Exclusion from the Class Settlement ("Participating Class Members"), are bound by the Class Settlement and by this order and judgment ("Final Approval Order and Judgment"), and the State of California and (a) all current and former hourly-paid or

non-exempt employees employed by any of the Defendants in the State of California at any time during the PAGA Period, including, but not limited to all current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees who earned shift differentials or non-discretionary bonuses or non-discretionary performance pay which was not used to calculate the correct regular rate of pay used to calculate the overtime rate ("PAGA Group A"); and (b) all salaried managers, or persons who held similar job titles and/or performed similar job duties, who are or previously were employed by any of the Defendants in the State of California in such positions during the PAGA Period, allegedly misclassified as exempt ("PAGA Group B") (together, "Aggrieved Employees") are bound by the PAGA Settlement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

- 7. The Court finds that allocation of \$85,000.00 toward penalties under the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA Penalties"), is fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and hereby approved. The Administrator shall distribute the PAGA Penalties as follows: the amount of \$63,750.00 to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA PAGA Payment"), the amount of \$12,750.00 to be distributed to PAGA Group A, and the amount of \$8,500.00 to be distributed to PAGA Group B (i.e., Individual PAGA Payment), in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Agreement.
- 8. The Court finds that payment of Administration Costs in the amount of \$9,000.00 is appropriate for the services performed and costs incurred and to be incurred for the notice and settlement administration process. It is hereby ordered that the Administrator, ILYM Group, Inc., shall issue payment to itself in the amount of \$9,000.00, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Agreement.
- 9. The Court finds that the Service Payments sought are fair and reasonable for the work performed by Plaintiffs on behalf of the Class, the State of California, and Aggrieved Employees. It is hereby ordered that the Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$5,000.00 each to Plaintiffs Mark John Santos and Samantha Sanchez for their Service Payments, according to the terms and methodology set forth in the Agreement.
- 10. The Court finds that the requested Class Counsel Fees Payment in the amount of \$261,010.12 to Class Counsel falls within the range of reasonableness, and the results achieved

justify the award sought. The requested attorneys' fees to Class Counsel are fair, reasonable, and appropriate, and are hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$261,010.12 to Class Counsel for attorneys' fees, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Agreement.

11. The Court finds that the requested Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment of \$13,627.75 to Class Counsel is reasonable, and hereby approved. It is hereby ordered that the Administrator issue payment in the amount of \$13,627.75 to Class Counsel for reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses, in accordance with the terms and methodology set forth in the Agreement.

12. The table set forth below shows the calculation of the Net Settlement Amount, to be distributed pursuant to the Settlement:

| Increased Gross Settlement Amount | \$783,030.36 |
|--|--------------|
| Class Counsel Fees Payment | \$261,010.12 |
| Class Counsel Litigation Expenses | \$13,627.75 |
| Payment | |
| Service Payments | \$10,000.00 |
| Administration Costs | \$9,000.00 |
| PAGA Penalties | \$63,750.00 |
| Net Settlement Amount to be paid to | \$404,392.49 |
| Participating Class Members | |

In addition to the Net Settlement Amount, \$21,250.00 will be distributed to Aggrieved Employees on a *pro rata* basis.

13. The Court hereby enters Judgment by which Participating Class Members shall be conclusively determined to have given a release of any and all Released Class Claims against the Released Parties, and the State of California and all Aggrieved Employees shall be conclusively determined to have given a release of any and all Released PAGA Claims against the Released Parties, as set forth in the Agreement and Class Notice.

- 14. It is hereby ordered that Defendants shall fully fund the Gross Settlement Amount, and also fund the amounts necessary to fully pay Defendants' share of payroll taxes by transmitting the funds to the Administrator no later than thirty (30) calendar days after the Effective Date.
- 15. It is hereby ordered that within fifteen (15) calendar days after the Administrator receives the Gross Settlement Amount, the Administrator will mail checks for all Individual Settlement Payments, all Individual PAGA Payments, the LWDA Payment, the Administration Costs, the Class Counsel Fees Payment, the Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment, and the Service Payments. Disbursement of the Class Counsel Fees Payment, the Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment and the Service Payments shall not precede disbursement of Individual Settlement Payments and Individual PAGA Payments.
- 16. Each Individual Settlement Payment and Individual PAGA Payment check shall prominently state the date (not less than one hundred eighty (180) calendar days after the date of mailing) when the check will be voided. The Administrator will cancel all checks not cashed by the void date. For any Class Member or Aggrieved Employee whose Individual Settlement Payment check or Individual PAGA Payment check is uncashed and cancelled after the void date, the Administrator shall transmit the funds represented by such checks to Los Angeles Bar Association's Domestic Violence Project, a nonprofit organization or foundation consistent with Code of Civil Procedure Section 384, subd. (b) (the "Cy Pres Recipient"). Participating Class Members whose Individual Settlement Payment checks are cancelled shall, nevertheless, be bound to the Class Settlement. Aggrieved Employees whose Individual PAGA Payment checks are cancelled shall, nevertheless, be bound to the PAGA Settlement.
- 17. After entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(h), the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement, and enforce the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, to hear and resolve any contested challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute arising from or in connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.
- 18. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to the Participating Class Members and Aggrieved Employees by posting a copy of the Final Approval

| 1 | Order and Judgment on the Administrator's website for a period of at least sixty (60) calendar days | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | after the date of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment. Individualized notice is not | | |
| 3 | required. OZÁÞ[}ËÖE]^ækæ)&^ÁÔæ•^ÁÜ^çãN¸ÁÜ^kÁØða)æ∮ÁÜ^][¦dÁsrÁn^dÁ[¦ÁFFBÆIBЀGÍÁææÁ k€€ÁÚT É | | |
| 4 | 19. A Final Compliance Hearing is set for at | | |
| 5 | a.m./p.m. in Department SSC-1. Class Counsel shall submit a final accounting report regarding | | |
| 6 | the status of the settlement administration at least five (5) court days prior to the Final Compliance | | |
| 7 | Hearing. åæe^È | | |
| 8 | M. M.C | | |
| 9 | Dated: 11/05/2024 Stuart M. Rice / Judge | | |
| 10 | Honorable Stuart M. Rice Judge of the Superior Court | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | | |

[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT