ORIGINAL

JCL LAW FIRM, APC Jean-Claude Lapuyade (State Bar #248676) Sydney Castillo-Johnson (State Bar #343881) COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO 5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600 3 SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT San Diego, CA 92121 Telephone: (619) 599-8292 NOV 0 1 2023 Facsimile: (619) 599-8291 5 ilapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com scastillo@jcl-lawfirm.com 6 **ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC** Shani O. Zakay (State Bar #277924) Jackland K. Hom (State Bar #327243) 5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600 9 San Diego, CA 92121 Telephone: (619) 255-9047 10 Facsimile: (858) 404-9203 shani@zakaylaw.com 11 jackland@zakaylaw.com 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff Alissa Whitney 13 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 14 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO 15 ALISSA WHITNEY, an individual, on behalf of Case No. CIVSB2129063 16 herself, and on behalf of all persons similarly 17 situated. [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL **APPROVAL** 18 Plaintiff. Date: November 1, 2023 19 Time: 9:00 a.m. VS. 20 FIVE J'S FAMILY ENTERPRISES L.P., a Judge: Hon. David S. Cohn 21 California Limited Partnership; and Does 1 Dept.: 26 through 50, Inclusive, 22 Defendant. 23 24 25 26 27 28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Plaintiff's motion for an order finally approving the Class, Collective, and Representative Settlement Agreement and Class Notice ("Agreement") and Motion for Class Counsel Fees and Litigation Expenses Payment and Class Representative Service Payment duly came on for hearing on November 1, 2023, before the above-entitled Court. Zakay Law Group, APLC, and the JCL Law Firm, APC, appeared on behalf of Plaintiff ALISSA WHITNEY ("Plaintiff"). Clark Hill, LLP appeared on behalf of Defendant FIVE J'S FAMILY'S ENTERPRISES L.P., a California Limited Partnership (hereinafter "Defendant").

I.

FINDINGS

Based on the oral and written argument and evidence presented in connection with the motion, the Court makes the following findings:

- 1. All capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Agreement.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation pending in the California Superior Court for the County of San Bernardino ("Court"), Case No. CIVSB2129063, entitled *Whitney v. Five J's Family Enterprises L.P.* and over all Parties to this litigation, including the Class.

Preliminary Approval of the Settlement

3. On June 7, 2023, the Court granted preliminary approval of a class-wide settlement. At this same time the court approved certification of a provisional settlement class for settlement purposes only. The Court confirms this Order and finally approves the settlement and the certification of the Class.

Notice to the Class

- 4. In compliance with the Preliminary Approval Order, the Class Notice was mailed by first class mail to the Class Members at their last known addresses on July 13, 2023.
- 5. Mailing of the Class Notice to their last known addresses was the best notice practicable under the circumstances and was reasonably calculated to communicate actual notice of the litigation and the proposed settlement to the members of the Class Members. The Court finds

111

9. The Agreement is finally approved as fair, adequate, and reasonable and in the best interests of the Participating Class Members.

PAGA Penalties

10. The Agreement provides for a payment of PAGA Penalties in the amount of \$50,000.00. The Court has reviewed the PAGA Penalties amount and finds and determines that the PAGA Penalties and the allocation of \$37,500.00 to LWDA and \$12,500.00 of the PAGA Penalties to Aggrieved Employees is fair and reasonable and complies with the requirements set forth in *Moniz v. Adecco USA, Inc.* (2021) 72 Cal.App.5th 56.

Attorneys' Fees and Costs

- 11. The Agreement provides for a Class Counsel Attorneys' Fees and Costs in the amount of up to Three Hundred Forty-One Thousand, Six Hundred Sixty-Six Dollars and Sixty-Six Cents (\$341,666.66). Subject to Court approval, the Class Counsel Attorneys' Fees and Costs consists of attorneys' fees equal to one-third (1/3) of the Gross Settlement Amount, or Three Hundred Sixteen Thousand, Six Hundred Sixty-Six Dollars and Sixty-Six Cents (\$316,666.66) and reimbursement of costs and expenses in the amount of Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$25,000.00).
- Thousand, Six Hundred Sixty-Six Dollars and Sixty-Six Cents (\$341,666.66) comprised of attorneys' fees in the amount of Three Hundred Sixteen Thousand, Six Hundred Sixty-Six Dollars and Sixty-Six Cents (\$316,666.66) and reimbursement of costs and expenses in the amount of Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$25,000.00) is reasonable in light of the contingent nature of Class Counsel's fee, the hours worked by Class Counsel, and the results achieved by Class Counsel. The requested attorneys' fee award represents 1/3 of the common fund, which is reasonable, and is supported by Class Counsel's lodestar.

Class Representative Service Payment

13. The Agreement provides for a Class Representative Service Payment of up to Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$10,000.00) for Plaintiff, Alissa Whitney, subject to the Court's approval. The Court finds that the amount of Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents

future parents, subsidiaries, affiliated companies, agents, managing agents, exempt-employees,

servants, officers, directors, owners (whether direct or indirect), general partners, limited partners,

27

28

trustees, representatives, shareholders, stockholders, members, mortgagees or ground lessors, attorneys, parents, subsidiaries, equity sponsors, related companies/corporations and/or partnerships, divisions, assigns, predecessors, successors, insurers, consultants, joint venturers, joint employers, potential and/or alleged joint employers, temporary staffing agencies, dual employers, potential and/or alleged dual employers, co-employers, potential and/or alleged co-employers, common law employers, contractors, affiliates, service providers, alter-egos, potential and/or alleged alter-egos, vendors, affiliated organizations, any person and/or entity with potential or alleged to have joint liability, and all of their respective past, present and future employees, directors, officers, members, owners, agents, representatives, payroll agencies, attorneys, stockholders, fiduciaries, parents, subsidiaries, other service providers, and assigns and any and all persons and/or entities acting under, by, through or in concert with any of them.

- b. The "Released Class Claims" include any and all claims, wage and hour claims, rights, demands, liabilities and causes of action of any nature or description alleged/asserted in the Action arising from and/or related to the facts and claims alleged/asserted in the Action, that could have been alleged/asserted in the Action based on the facts and claims alleged in the Action, and the facts and claims asserted in, arising from or related to, or could have been alleged in the PAGA Notice identified on the LWDA's website as LWDA-CM-840158-21. The Released Class Claims expressly excludes release of PAGA claims, which are separately released herein below as "Released PAGA Claims."
- c. The "Aggrieved Employees" are defined as all individuals who are or previously were employed by Defendant in California during the PAGA Period. The PAGA Period is defined as the period from August 3, 2020 up to and including April 7, 2023.
- d. The "Released PAGA Claims" include any and all claims for PAGA penalties asserted in the Action and arising from or reasonably related to the facts and claims alleged in the Action, or that could have been alleged in the Action based on the facts and claims alleged in the Action, and/or Plaintiff's PAGA Notice identified on the LWDA's website as LWDA-CM-840158-21, including, without limitation, claims for PAGA penalties arising from Labor Code sections 200, 201, 201.3, 201.5, 202, 203, 204, 205.5, 210, 218.5, 218.6, 226, et seq., 226.2, 226.3,

226.7, 246, 510, et seq., 512, 515, 558, 1174(d), 1174.5, 1182.12, 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1197.14, 1198, et seq., 1198.5, 1199, 2695, et seq., 2698, et seq., 2699, 2699.3, 2802, 2804, Cal. Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 11070(14), Cal. Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 1 1070(14)(failure to provide suitable seating), and the applicable Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order(s), including Wage Order 4-2001, Section 14.

- 5. Class Counsel are awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of Three Hundred Forty-One Thousand, Six Hundred Sixty-Six Dollars and Sixty-Six Cents (\$341,666.66) comprised of attorneys' fees equal to one-third (1/3) of the Gross Settlement Amount, or Three Hundred Sixteen Thousand, Six Hundred Sixty-Six Dollars and Sixty-Six Cents (\$316,666.66) and reimbursement of costs and expenses in the amount of Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$25,000.00). Class Counsel shall not seek or obtain any other compensation or reimbursement from Defendants, Plaintiff, or members of the Class.
- 6. The payment of the Class Representative Service Payment to the Plaintiff in the amount of \$10,000.00 is approved.
- 7. The payment of \$18,850.00 to the Claims Administrator for Claims Administration Costs is approved.
- 8. The PAGA Penalties of \$50,000.00 is hereby approved as fair, reasonable, adequate, and adequately protects the interests of the public and the LWDA. Further, the Court finds that Plaintiff and Class Counsel negotiated the PAGA Penalties at arms-length, absent of any fraud or collusion.
- 9. Final Judgment is hereby entered in this action. The Final Judgment shall bind each Participating Class Member.
- 10. Final Judgment shall also bind Plaintiffs, acting on behalf of the State of California and all PAGA Members, pursuant to the California Private Attorneys' General Act ("PAGA").
- 11. The Court further finds and determines that Class Counsel satisfied California Labor Code § 2699(I)(2) by giving the LWDA notice of the proposed Settlement of claims arising under the Private Attorney General Act ("PAGA") on May 15, 2023, and again on July 6, 2023.

7

10

16

15

17 18

19

2021

2223

2425

2627

28 / / /

- 12. The Court orders Class Counsel to comply with California Labor Code § 2699(l)(3) by providing the LWDA a copy of this order within ten (10) calendar days of the Court's entry of this Order.
- 13. The Agreement is not an admission by Defendant, nor is this Final Approval Order and Judgment, a finding of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any wrongdoing by Defendant. Neither this Final Approval Order, the Settlement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement is, may be construed as, or may be used as an admission by or against Defendant of any fault, wrongdoing, or liability whatsoever. The entering into or carrying out of the Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings related thereto, shall not in any event be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission or concession with regard to the denials or defenses by Defendant and shall not be offered in evidence in any action or proceeding against Defendant in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal for any purpose as an admission whatsoever other than to enforce the provisions of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Settlement, or any related agreement or release. Notwithstanding these restrictions, any of the Parties may file in the Action or in any other proceeding this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Agreement, or any other papers and records on file in the Action as evidence of the Settlement to support a defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, or other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense as to the claims being released by the Settlement.
- 14. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to Class Counsel on behalf of Plaintiff and all Class Members. It shall not be necessary to send notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment to individual Class Members and the Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be posted on Settlement Administrator's website as indicated in the Class Notice.
- 15. After entry of Final Judgment, the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement, and enforce the Settlement, to hear and resolve any contested challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute arising from or in connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.

1	16. If the Settlement does not become final and effective in accordance with the
2	terms of the Settlement, resulting in the return and/or retention of the Gross Settlement Amount to
3	Defendant consistent with the terms of the Settlement, then this Final Approval Order and Judgment,
4	and all orders entered in connection herewith shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated.
5	AT IC CO OPPEDED
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	DATED:
8	$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}$
9	Hon, David Cohn Jessica L. Morgan
10	Hon/ David Cohn Jessica L. Morgan JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	